Magnetic Criticality Enhanced Hybrid Nanodiamond Thermometer under Ambient Conditions
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Nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond are attractive as quantum sensors owing to their superb coherence under ambient conditions. However, the NV center spin resonances are relatively insensitive to some important parameters such as temperature and pressure. Here we design and experimentally demonstrate a hybrid nanothermometer composed of NV centers and a magnetic nanoparticle (MNP), in which the temperature sensitivity is enhanced by the critical magnetization of the MNP near the ferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition temperature. The temperature susceptibility of the NV center spin resonance reaches 14 MHz/K, nearly 200 times larger than that of bare NV centers. The sensitivity of a hybrid nanothermometer composed of a Cu$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$ MNP and a nanodiamond is measured to be 11 mK/√Hz under ambient conditions. The working range of the hybrid thermometer can be designed from cryogenic temperature to about 600 K by tuning the chemical composition of the Cu$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$ MNP. We demonstrate in situ detection of the magnetic phase transition of a single magnetic nanoparticle using the hybrid nanothermometer. This hybrid nanothermometer provides a novel approach to studying a broad range of thermal processes at nanoscales such as nanoplasmonics, heat-stimulated subcellular processes, and thermodynamics of nanosystems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nanoscale temperature sensing is important for studying a broad range of phenomena in physics, biology, and chemistry, such as the temperature heterogeneities in living cells [1–3], heat dissipation in nanocircuits [4], nanoplasmonics, and nanomagnetism (like thermal remanent magnetism of nanoparticles [5]). There have been a number of nanoscale temperature detection schemes [6], including scanning thermal microscopy [7,8], SQUID-based nanothermometer [9], and fluorescence thermometers [10] based on rare-earth nanoparticles [11], nanogels [12], dyes [13], or proteins [14]. However, these techniques are limited by various factors, such as low sensitivity (rare-earth nanoparticles), contact-related artifacts (scanning thermal microscopy), fluorescence instability (dyes), or the requirement of extreme working conditions (SQUID-based nanothermometer). Nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond is a promising nanosensor [15–24] owing to their atomic size and long spin coherence time [25,26] under ambient conditions. The spin resonances of NV centers shift with temperature, providing a mechanism for atomic-scale temperature sensors [27]. However, the temperature dependence of NV center spin resonances, which results from the shift of the zero-field splitting D, is a small effect (dD/dT ≈ −74 kHz/K) [27]. Therefore, even with single NV centers in ultrahigh purity bulk
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diamond sample and pulse control techniques, the sensitivity for temperature sensing is limited to a level of several mK/√Hz (Refs. [20–22]).

Here, we propose and demonstrate the strategy of hybridization [28–30] to improve the sensitivity of diamond nanothermometers by converting the temperature variation to a magnetic field change. NV centers have been demonstrated to be ultrasensitive to external magnetic field [15–17,31]. The magnetization of a magnetic nanoparticle (MNP) can be used to monitor its local temperature, and this mechanism becomes ultrasensitive when the temperature is close to the magnetic phase transition point (i.e., critical point) of the MNP. The sensitivity of a hybrid nanothermometer composed of a Cu$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$ MNP and a nanodiamond under ambient conditions was measured to be 11 mK/√Hz (for comparison, the best sensitivity of nanodiamond thermometer achieved before was 130 mK/√Hz; see Ref. [21]). The working range of the hybrid thermometer can be designed by choosing materials with different critical temperatures (e.g., via tuning the chemical composition of the Cu$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$ MNP). This hybrid nanothermometer provides a novel approach to studying a broad range of thermal processes at nanoscales, such as nanoplastonics, subcellular heat-stimulated processes, and thermodynamics of nanostructures.

II. SCHEME OF THE HYBRID NANOSENSOR AND THEORETICAL SENSITIVITY

The hybrid nanothermometer is composed of a fluorescent nanodiamond (FND) and a MNP, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The ground state of the NV center is a spin triplet ($S = 1$) with a zero-field splitting $D \approx 2.87$ GHz between the $m_S = 0$ state and the $m_S = \pm 1$ states. The spin transitions of NV centers in the FND are shifted by the magnetic field from the MNP, $B_{\text{MNP}}(T)$. The Hamiltonian of a NV center can be written [32] as

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = D(T)S_z^2 + E(S_x^2 - S_y^2) + \gamma S \cdot B_{\text{MNP}}(T),
\]

where $E$ is the lattice strain effect and $\gamma = 28$ MHz/mT is the electron gyromagnetic ratio. The field from the MNP $B_{\text{MNP}}(T)$ is determined by its magnetization $M(T)$,

\[
M(T) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \chi(T) T)
\]

where $\chi(T)$ is the temperature susceptibility.

FIG. 1. Principle of magnetic criticality enhanced hybrid nanothermometers. (a) Schematic of a hybrid nanosensor, composed of a fluorescent nanodiamond (FND) and a magnetic nanoparticle (MNP). (b) The magnetic moment $M$ (black solid line) of the MNP decreases dramatically with temperature increasing to the ferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition, with the temperature susceptibility $dM/dT$ (red dotted line) peaking at the critical temperature $T_C$. The transition frequencies of the NV center spins in the FND depend sensitively on the magnetic moment of the MNP and hence the temperature. (c) Fine structure of the NV center ground state as a function of an axial magnetic field. The zero-field splitting $D$ varies with temperature with a rate $dD/dT \approx -74$ kHz/K. In the hybrid nanosensor, the magnetic field from the MNP $B_{\text{MNP}}(T)$ results in a much more sensitive dependence of the transition frequencies on the temperature. (d) Theoretical sensitivity of the hybrid sensor composed of a FND and a Cu$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$ MNP with different chemical composition $x$. The dashed line is the sensitivity of the bare NV-based thermal sensor. A spherical CuNi MNP with diameter of 200 nm is assumed. The FND is assumed of size $\approx 100$ nm with 500 NV centers (randomly distributed and orientated) and located 65 nm away from the MNP.
which depends sensitively on the temperature when the temperature is close to the ferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition point [Fig. 1(b)]. Therefore, the spin resonances of the NV centers in the FND present abrupt dependence on temperature near the transition point of the MNP. The spin transition frequencies can be measured by optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR). Previously, the temperature shift of zero-field splitting \( dD/dT \approx -74 \text{ kHz/K} \) was measured to extract the temperature change [20–22]. Here, the transition frequencies \( \omega_\perp \) (for \( m_s = 0 \leftrightarrow m_s = \pm 1 \)) of the NV center spins are measured to extract the magnetization properties of the adjacent MNP [Fig. 1(c)], which serves as a transducer and amplifier of the local temperature variation.

The sensitivity of the hybrid sensor is determined by the magnetization of the MNP, the number of NV centers, the spin coherence, and the relative position and orientation between the two nanoparticles. FNDs with size \( \approx 100 \text{ nm} \) containing 500 NV centers were used in experiments. As for MNPs, copper-nickel (CuNi) alloy nanoparticles were chosen for their chemical stability under ambient conditions. To estimate the sensitivity of the hybrid nanothermometer, we consider a spherical \( \text{Cu}_{0.30}\text{Ni}_{0.70} \) MNP of diameter 200 nm located 65 nm away from a FND. The stability and repeatability of the magnetization can be ensured by the large anisotropic energy of the MNP with diameter of hundreds of nanometres. Taking into account the strain distribution (3 MHz), the random spatial and orientation distributions of the NV centers in the FND, and the magnetic field gradient from the MNP, the optimal sensitivity is estimated to be about 3 mK/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) (details in Note 1 of Supplemental Material [33], which includes Refs. [34–41]), as plotted by black dots in Fig. 1(d). This represents an improvement by 2 orders of magnitude from the sensitivity of a bare FND sensor (the previously recorded of similar FND sensors was 130 mK/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \), as reported in Ref. [21]).

The optimal working range of the hybrid sensor, which is within \( \approx 20^\circ \text{C} \) below the Curie temperature, can be designed by choosing MNPs of different Curie temperatures. Taking \( \text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_{x} \) MNPs as an example, their Curie temperature is tunable from near 0 to 637 K with the nickel composition \( x \) from 45% to 100% [42]. Together with the very broad working temperature of NV centers in diamond [43,44], the hybrid sensor can be designed to fulfill a wide range of applications. Figure 1(d) presents the composition dependence of the sensitivity of the \( \text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_{x} \) MNP-based hybrid sensors in their optimal working ranges. The best temperature sensitivity for each hybrid sensor is better than 10 mK/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) from cryogenic temperature to 600 K, which has taken into account the variation of the ODMR contrast with temperature [43].

III. PROOF-OF-PRINCIPLE EXPERIMENT

As a proof-of-principle demonstration of the magnetic criticality enhanced quantum sensing, we tested a hybrid sensor composed of a gadolinium (Gd) particle (with size \( \approx 2 \text{ mm} \) and mass \( \approx 30 \text{ mg} \)) and a single NV center in a bulk diamond (3 × 3 × 1 mm\(^3\)). The distance between the NV center and the Gd particle is about 2 mm (comparable to the size of the Gd particle). Such a distance is chosen to simulate the configuration of a MNP coupled to a FND since the field from a magnetic particle, in the dipole approximation, is proportional to the total volume and inversely proportional to the cubic distance. Gd has the ferromagnetic-paramagnetic transition near room temperature (\( T_C \approx 19^\circ \text{C} \)) [45]. Continuous-wave (cw) ODMR measurement was carried out for temperature between 11°C and 37°C under a uniform external magnetic field (\( B \approx 100 \text{ G} \) with an angle of 20° from the NV axis, estimated by the second-order frequency shift due to the transverse component of the magnetic field; for details of the method, see Note 3 of Supplemental Material [33]). The temperature was controlled by an incubator (INSTEC) and independently monitored by a Pt thermocouple.

Figure 2(a) presents the ODMR spectra of the hybrid sensor at various temperatures. The frequency shifts of the \( m_s = 0 \leftrightarrow m_s = \pm 1 \) transitions [Fig. 2(b)] are enlarged near the critical temperature of Gd, with \( dD/dT \) reaching its maximum value \( \approx 14 \text{ MHz/K} \) at 19°C. The induced magnetic moment is deduced from the ODMR spectrum, which is consistent with the magnetic moment of the same Gd particle measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (Quantum Design; see Note 3 of Supplemental Material [33]). For comparison, the ODMR spectral shift of the same NV center with the Gd particle removed is shown in Fig. 2(c), which presents only a weak dependence on temperature, \( dD/dT = -71 \pm 2 \text{ kHz/K} \) [Fig. 2(d)], consistent with previous reports [27]. The magnetic criticality of the Gd particle induces an enhancement of spectral susceptibility to temperature by a factor of 200. The magnetically enhanced temperature sensitivity of the NV center is evaluated with Eq. (A2) in the Appendix, with the experimentally measured factors including \( dD/dT \), the spin resonance width, the contrast, and the photon counts. The experimentally realized temperature sensitivity of 45 mK/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \) was limited by the weak photon counts (80 kps per NV center) in our system, yet it is already 200 times better than that of a bare NV sensor under the same circumstance.

To achieve a better temperature sensitivity of the hybrid sensor, we replaced the single-NV bulk diamond with a FND containing ensemble NV centers (from Adamas Nanotechnologies, with about 500 NV centers per FND) to enhance the photon counts of the hybrid sensor. To show the dependence of the sensitivity on temperature, we measured the sensitivity at different temperatures with a photon count of 6 Mps. The resonant frequency \( \omega_\perp \) as a function of temperature is shown as an inset in Fig. 3(a) (with a sharp change near \( T_C \)). The sensitivity as a function of temperature, determined by Eq. (A2) in the Appendix, is shown in Fig. 3(a).
FIG. 3. Sensitivity of a magnetic criticality enhanced Gd-FND temperature sensor. (a) The sensitivity of the hybrid sensor at various temperatures. The red dots are sensitivity determined by Eq. (A2) using the experimentally measured photon counts, ODMR contrast, $d\omega/dT$, and spin resonance width $\Delta \omega$. The laser power is chosen such that the photon count is $\approx 6 \text{Mps}$. The optimal sensitivity $\approx 7.3 \text{mK/Hz}$ is achieved at $T_C = 19^\circ\text{C}$. Inset: Frequency shift of the Gd-FND sensor as a function of temperature under an external magnetic field of 100 G. (b) The standard deviation of temperature measurement as a function of data acquisition time for various photon counts (due to different laser powers) using the three-point method. The slopes of the curves give the shot-noise-limited sensitivity of the hybrid sensor. The green dashed line corresponds to the temperature stability of the incubator.
For optical detection sensing, a fundamental limitation to sensitivity is the shot noise of photon counts [32]. To check whether the sensitivity in our system is limited by photon shot noise, we measured the temperature with various data acquisition time. The three-point cw ODMR method is adapted from Refs. [20,46] for real-time temperature determination (see Appendix A and Note 2 of Supplemental Material [33] for details). The temperature range was chosen near the optimal value ($T_C$ of Gd). Figure 3(b) shows the standard deviation $\delta T$ of the temperature measurement as a function of the data acquisition time. With long enough integration time for all three photon count rates (corresponding to three different excitation laser powers), the minimum measurable temperature variation $\delta T$ approaches a lower bound of about 10 mK, which was limited by the temperature stability of the incubator. The linear dependence of $\delta T$ on the inverse square root of integration time gives the photon shot-noise-limited sensitivity. As expected, higher photon counts lead to higher sensitivity. A shot-noise-limited sensitivity of $7.3 \text{ mK}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ was achieved with the configuration of Gd particle and FND.

IV. NANOTHERMOMETER-CuNi MNP AND FND

Next, we demonstrate that the criticality enhanced sensing scheme works at nanoscale. Since gadolinium nanoparticles are easily oxidized under ambient conditions, we use copper-nickel (CuNi) MNPs instead of Gd nanoparticles in the hybrid nanosensor. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the pattern matching between the confocal image and the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image identifies a CuNi MNP located in the proximity of a FND. The temperature change of the CuNi MNP is induced by in situ laser heating of the carbon film on the TEM grid (the direct heating of the MNP and the FND by laser and microwave is negligible; see Note 4 of Supplemental Material [33]). Since the heating laser spot (300 nm in diameter) is larger than the distance between the two particles (less than 100 nm), we assume that the temperature at the FND and
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the MNP is identical. The temperature was calibrated by the zero-field splitting $D$ (details in Appendix C and Note 4 of Supplemental Material [33]) of FND. During the nanothermometer experiments, no external magnetic field was applied.

The temperature response of the nanothermometer is determined by measuring the resonant transition frequencies $\omega_{\pm}$ of NV centers. The gradient of the magnetic field from the CuNi MNP, the spatial distribution of NV centers in the FND, and the four possible crystallographic orientations of NV centers in diamond bring extra broadening in the ODMR spectra, as shown in Fig. 4(b), upper panel. Nevertheless, the ODMR dips due to Zeeman splitting are well discernible. For all different laser powers (hence, temperatures), the ODMR spectra are well consistent with the theoretical simulation that includes the Zeeman splitting, the MNP gradient-induced broadening, and the strain distribution of ensemble NV centers in the FND (see Note 4 of Supplemental Material [33]).

The frequency shift induced by the magnetization of the MNP is reversible when the temperature is scanned back and forth around the critical temperature of the CuNi MNP, as shown in Fig. 4(c). The reversibility is ascribed to the large anisotropy energy of CuNi nanoparticles. Furthermore, we found this hybrid sensor is very stable. It shows negligible changes in the long-term measurement lasting for near one month (see Note 4 of Supplemental Material [33] for more information). From the resonance frequencies, the magnetization of the CuNi MNP at different temperatures can be determined by numerical simulation, which agrees well with the critical behavior of CuNi [Fig. 4(d)]. The Curie temperature of this CuNi MNP is determined to be about 340 K, consistent with its composition (Cu$_{0.26}$Ni$_{0.74}$) measured by the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy in TEM [42]. The consistency verifies that the temperatures of the MNP and the FND are identical to each other under cw laser heating.

V. NANOTHERMOMETER SENSITIVITY MEASUREMENT

In the ODMR spectra of the nanosensor, due to the magnetic field gradient and NV center distribution in the FND, the changes in line shape (both the line width and contrast) are much more significant than the frequency shift with temperature, especially for temperatures close to the critical point. As illustrated in Fig. 4(b), upper panel, with 1 °C temperature variation near $T_C$ (67 °C), the ODMR line shape changes significantly. From the difference between the two spectra, we find that the most sensitive point for temperature sensing (maximum contrast change $dS/dT$) is close to the middle point of the two dips [Fig. 4(b), lower panel]. According to Eq. (A1) in the Appendix, a sensitivity of 11 mK/√Hz was realized at this point ($dS/dT = 0.025/K$ at $\omega = 2866$ MHz with photon counts $L = 12$ Mps). This temperature sensitivity is close to the theoretical optimal value (3 mK/√Hz) of a FND-CuNi-MNP hybrid sensor shown in Fig. 1(d). Note that in this configuration, the magnetic gradient-induced broadening is greater than the difference of the Zeeman splittings between NV centers along different crystallographic directions. Therefore, the sensitivity of the hybrid sensor is relatively independent of the magnetization axis of the MNP (see Note 1 of Supplemental Material [33] for more discussions).

With the high temperature sensitivity and a three-point method (see the Appendix for details), we can monitor real-time temperature variation with high time resolution. With the three-point method, the minimum measurable temperature variations for different integration time are presented in Fig. 5(a), which gives the shot-noise-limited sensitivity of the hybrid sensor (20 mK/√Hz). The light gray region is limited by the temperature stability of the experimental system. Furthermore, we use in situ laser heating to generate a fast temperature variation at the sample position by modulating the intensity of the excitation laser (the

FIG. 5. Shot-noise-limited sensitivity and real-time temperature tracking at nanoscale. The hybrid sensor [the one in Fig. 4(a)] was used to monitor fast temperature variations at nanoscale. The local temperature was controlled by in situ laser heating, which has tunable amplitude. (a) Dependence of the temperature standard deviation on data acquisition time with the laser power (and hence temperature) kept constant. The shot-noise-limited sensitivity is derived from the slope of the curve. (b) With the laser power being modulated, the temperature variation (with frequency of 1 Hz and amplitude of 0.45 °C) was tracked with various acquisition time (3, 15, 30, and 90 ms per data point, from top to bottom). The 0.9 °C temperature variation is distinguishable with an integration time as small as 15 ms per data point.
temperature is calibrated by the $D$ shift as a function of the laser power above Curie temperature). As shown in Fig. 5(b), with different integration time, the periodic temperature (1 Hz) alternation between two values ($63 \pm 0.45 ^\circ C$) is tracked. With an integration time 3 ms (1 ms for measurement at each frequency point), the 0.9 $^\circ C$ temperature variation is faintly visible, which approaches the best shot-noise-limited sensitivity. When increasing the integration time, the shot noise of photon counts is suppressed and the signal-to-noise ratio of the temperature signal becomes better. With an integration time of 90 ms, the 0.9 $^\circ C$ temperature vibration is well discerned in real-time sensing. Note that the measured temperature signal resembles the shape of the driving laser pulse, indicating good reversibility and stability of this hybrid sensor.

### VI. DISCUSSION

The temperature sensitivity can be further improved by using a NV center of longer spin coherence time and employing pulse control protocols. Figure 6(a) shows the configuration of a hybrid sensor composed of a 200 nm Cu$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$ MNP on a diamond nanopillar with a single NV center under zero magnetic field. The diamond nanopillar has the advantages of longer spin coherence time $T_2^*$ (similar to single NV centers in bulk diamond, which is longer than 1 $\mu$s in diamond of natural isotope abundance [24,32]), high photon collection efficiency (with photon counts $\approx 1$ Mps [47]), and absence of extra broadening due to the magnetic field gradient of the MNP. To be specific, we assume the magnetic field from the MNP is along the NV axis. The sensitivity as a function of temperature is plotted as red dots in Fig. 6(c), and the required microwave pulse sequence for the Ramsey interference between the $|m_s = +1\rangle$ and $|m_s = -1\rangle$ states is shown in Fig. 6(b). A sensitivity of 4 $\mu$K/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ can be achieved near the Curie temperature of the CuNi MNP. In comparison with the simulation results of the bare NV thermometer [with echo control protocol adopted [20–22], as shown by blue triangles in Fig. 6(c)], the sensitivity can be improved by 2–3 orders of magnitude with our criticality enhanced scheme. For magnetic fields away from the NV axis, the sensitivity is only modestly reduced from the optimal orientation. In the case that the magnetic field from the MNP is perpendicular to the NV axis (the worst scenario), the first-order Zeeman shift becomes zero, and the resonance splitting will have a second-order dependence ($\sim \gamma B^2/D$). The sensitivity due to the second-order effect is reduced by a factor of $\gamma B/D$, but for the MNP and the single NV center in nanopillar under consideration, a sensitivity of 80 $\mu$K/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ is still achievable (see Fig. S1F in Note 1 of Supplemental Material [33] for numerical simulations).

With its superb sensitivity and designable working temperature range, the hybrid nanothermometer enables in situ and real-time observation of nonuniform heat dissipation of microelectronic or nanoelectronic devices [4], nanolasmonics, subcellular thermal processes, and chemical reaction at nanoscale [48]. The FND-CuNi MNP nanothermometer can work under zero magnetic field. That allows the setup to be miniaturized and the sensor to be applied to liquid environments where the rotation of the sensor under an external field would cause complications.
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**FIG. 6.** Theoretical sensitivity of a hybrid nanothermometer composed of a MNP and a single NV center in a diamond nanopillar. The nanostructure-enhanced fluorescence collection efficiency and long coherence times of single NV centers in diamond nanopillars greatly improve the temperature sensitivity. (a) Schematic of the hybrid sensor that contains a MNP on the top of a diamond nanopillar with a single NV center 25 nm below the surface. (b) Microwave control pulse sequence for Ramsey interference between the $|m_s = -1\rangle$ and $|m_s = +1\rangle$ states. The $\pi/2$ pulses and $\pi$ pulses are applied on the $m_s = 0 \leftrightarrow m_s = -1$ and $m_s = 0 \leftrightarrow m_s = +1$ transitions, respectively. (c) Sensitivity versus temperature. The red circles are for the hybrid sensor and the blue triangles are for a bare NV center sensor under the control scheme in Refs. [20–22]. The NV spin coherence times are assumed as $T_2^* \approx 1.9$ $\mu$s (used for the hybrid sensor) and $T_2 \approx 85$ $\mu$s [22] (used for the bare NV center sensor), and the photon count rate is $L \approx 1.7$ Mps [47].
due to variation of the ODMR spectra. Furthermore, nanodiamond-based sensors are biocompatible and robust against systematic errors such as fluorescence fluctuation in complicated intracellular environments. These unique merits enable direct monitoring of cell metabolism, and may help to clarify the controversies on temperature imaging in single living cells [1–3].
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APPENDIX

1. Sensitivity—Theoretical estimation and experimental measurements

Magnitization of the Cu_{0.3}Ni_{0.7} alloy MNP is calculated with a mean-field theory. Transition frequencies of the NV center spins are obtained by diagonalizing the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). For ensemble NV centers in a FND, cw ODMR is considered for temperature sensing. The sensitivity is [15]

$$\eta_{cw} = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{\Delta \omega}{C \cdot \left| \frac{dS(\omega)}{dT} \right|_{\max}}^{1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

where $S(\omega)$ is the normalized ODMR spectrum and $L$ is the photon count rate. For details, see Note 1 of Supplemental Material [33]. If the ODMR spectrum is of Lorentzian shape and only resonant frequencies change with temperature, the sensitivity can be optimized by choosing the frequency near the half-height of the resonance. The result is

$$\eta_{cw} = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{\Delta \omega}{C \cdot \left| \frac{dS(\omega)}{dT} \right|_{\max}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where $\Delta \omega$ is the line width and $C$ is the ODMR contrast. Three-point ODMR measurements were employed for the demonstration of shot-noise-limited sensitivity. One point was chosen as the reference microwave frequency to normalize the laser fluctuation for long-term measurement. The sensitivity evaluated from the three-point method is larger by $\sqrt{3}/2$ than the sensitivities evaluated from Eqs. (A1) and (A2), since $1/3$ of the acquisition time was used to take reference data (details in Note 2 of Supplemental Material [33]). The standard deviation of temperature $\delta T$ as a function of the square root of the data acquisition time $\Delta t$ determines the temperature sensitivity, $\eta = \delta T/\sqrt{\Delta t}$. For the three-point method, we apply different evaluation methods to extract the temperature information for different shapes of the ODMR spectra. In the Gd-FND experiment, $F = (N_1 - N_2)/(N_1 + N_2 - 2N_3)$ was measured to extract the temperature information ($N_{1,2}$ is the photon counts near the frequencies of full width at half maximum in corresponding acquisition times, and $N_3$ is the photon count for the reference frequency). In the CuNi-FND nanosensor measurement, $F = (N_1 + N_2 - 2N_3)/N_3$ was measured ($N_{1,2}$ is the photon counts for the frequencies where the contrast changes the most, and $N_3$ is photon counts for reference). Details are in Note 2 of Supplemental Material [33].

2. Sample preparation

The bulk diamond (from Element Six) is a high-purity type-IIa sample with a natural 1.1% ^{13}C abundance. The FNDs (from Adamas Nanotechnologies) are of type Ib, prepared by high-pressure high-temperature synthesis. Each FND contains about 500 NV centers as claimed by Adamas and confirmed by the high fluorescence rate. The CuNi MNPs were prepared by ball milling of micron-size Cu_{0.30}Ni_{0.70} alloy (from Sigma-Aldrich) or of micron-size Cu and Ni particles with a designed ratio. The composition of the MNPs (hence, the Curie temperature) can be readily tuned by choosing the ratio of Ni and Cu particles. The composition and relative positions of the two types of nanoparticles were characterized by TEM techniques. The featured patterns of carbon film on the TEM grid were used to match the confocal and TEM images.

3. Experimental setup

We used a home-built confocal microscope for imaging and ODMR measurement. NV center spins are optically
pumped by a 532 nm laser, manipulated by resonant microwave fields applied through a 25 μm diameter gold wire, and detected via spin-state-dependent fluorescence measurements. The power of the microwave is adjusted to maximize the ODMR contrast but without extra heating effect and is kept unchanged through the experiments. For FNDs, the width of ODMR spectra is mainly determined by the inhomogeneous broadening of ensemble NV centers (which is much greater than the power broadening induced by the laser excitation). For the CuNi-FND hybrid sensor, the nanoscale magnetic field gradient causes extra broadening to the ODMR spectra (see Notes 1 and 4 of Supplemental Material [33] for details). An incubator from INSTECS was used in the Gd-related experiments to control the temperature with the stability of sub 10 mK. A Pt thermocouple was placed near the Gd particle to monitor the local temperature. The heating and cooling rate of the thermocouple was measured by laser heating effect and is kept unchanged through the experiments. For the CuNi-FND hybrid sensor, the nanoscale magnetic field gradient causes extra broadening to the ODMR spectra. The measured thermal stability of laser heating on the TEM grid is better than 0.5 °C/ min, and ODMR measurements were carried out after the sample reached its thermal equilibrium state (in about 20 min). In the experiments on the CuNi MNP-FND sensor, the MNP were in situ heated by light absorption of the carbon film on the TEM grid. The local temperature of the hybrid sensor was tuned by laser intensity, which was controlled by the acoustic optical modulator before the fiber entrance of the microscope. The liquid environment of the hybrid sensor (oil immersed) stabilizes the laser heating effect. The measured thermal stability of laser heating on the TEM grid is better than 0.5 °C, in the temperature range from room temperature (25 °C) to about 100 °C. The local temperature of the hybrid sensor has linear dependence on the laser power. And it is calibrated above the $T_C$ of the CuNi MNP in the absence of an external field, at which the MNP is demagnetized and the zero-field splitting $D$ becomes a good parameter to deduce the local temperature (since the effect of transverse magnetic field is absent). Under cw excitation of different laser power, two-peak Lorentzian fitting is used to extract the resonance frequencies ($\omega_+$ and $\omega_-$) of the ODMR spectra, as shown in Fig. 4(c), and $D = (\omega_+ + \omega_-)/2$ is used to deduce the local temperature shift of the hybrid sensor (data points above $T_C$). The temperature calibration is further confirmed by numerical simulation; see Note 4 of Supplemental Material [33] for details.
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