

Friction-induced budding of a cancer cell monolayer

Mathieu Dedenon ^{1,2,3} Jorge Barbazán ^{4,5} Carlos Pérez-González ⁴ Danijela Matic Vignjevic ⁴ and Pierre Sens ^{1,*}¹*Institut Curie, PSL Research University, CNRS UMR 168, F-75005 Paris, France*²*Department of Biochemistry, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland*³*Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland*⁴*Institut Curie, PSL Research University, CNRS UMR 144, F-75005 Paris, France*⁵*Translational Medical Oncology Group (ONCOMET), Health Research Institute of Santiago de Compostela (IDIS), 15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

(Received 27 March 2024; accepted 27 January 2026; published 13 April 2026)

The environment surrounding a tumor plays a crucial role in cancer cell dissemination. Within this microenvironment, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) can collectively combine their tensile stress to actively remodel tumors. Using *in vitro* circular clusters of cancer cell monolayers surrounded by CAFs, we generate structures that are reminiscent of multicellular buds observed *in vivo* for colorectal cancer. A supracellular contractile ring spontaneously assembles at the inner edge of the CAF monolayer and drives its closure on top of the cancer cells through a purse-string mechanism. This CAF-driven constriction triggers multilayering of cancer cells, culminating in the growth of a bud pinched by the CAF ring. We propose that this process is powered by frictional shear stress from CAF motion and develop a theoretical model based on continuum mechanics that quantitatively reproduces the experimental observations. The model captures the early reshaping of the cancer cell layer. Invoking a generic deformation threshold for multilayering, it identifies the physical conditions that favor budding and predicts how budding probability and size scale with initial cluster diameter. Our results establish a general framework for friction-induced morphogenesis in cell layers and reveal how active mechanical coupling between tumors and their microenvironment can shape tumor morphology and behavior.

DOI: [10.1103/kxwl-2tv1](https://doi.org/10.1103/kxwl-2tv1)

I. INTRODUCTION

Tumor invasion is a critical stage of tumor development that initiates the metastasis process, significantly influencing patient survival. Cancer cells disseminate from the primary tumor either as single cells, through a process called epithelial to mesenchymal transition [1–3], or by the detachment of small cell clusters through the process called tumor budding [4]. These multicellular buds are notably linked to a higher metastatic occurrence and a decrease in patient survival rates [5,6]. Cancer invasion is not a cell-autonomous process; the tumor microenvironment, including various types of stromal cells and the extracellular matrix, plays an important role in cancer dissemination. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are key players in cancer progression [7,8]. They promote tumor dissemination by breaking the basement membrane [9], remodeling the extracellular matrix [10,11], stimulating cancer cell migration [12,13], and secreting growth factors that modulate cancer cell behavior and the infiltration of immune cells [14]. However, due to their highly contractile nature, CAFs can also act in a protective manner by encapsulating

the tumor, potentially hindering its spread [15–17]. Tumor budding is especially common in colorectal cancer [4], a type of cancer where CAFs are particularly abundant [18].

In the present work, we investigate the role of CAFs on “tumor budding” in an idealized *in vitro* context and propose a theoretical model based on frictional forces between tissues in relative motion. Our study highlights the importance of friction forces in driving tissues reshaping, which has recently been invoked in a variety of morphogenetic processes, from oocyte fertilization [19] and *Drosophila* cellularization [20] to the gastrulation of insects [21] and vertebrates [22]. In these studies, physical modeling is often used to quantitatively infer friction parameters from velocity profiles for a given shape. In one notable exception dealing with intracellular friction forces [19], shape changes are included in the model, where the flow of the actomyosin cortex drives shape change (buckling) of the adjacent myoplasm layer. Here, we focus on the active role of friction in remodeling entire cell monolayers, where the flow of CAFs drives shape changes of the adjacent cancerous layer. It reveals how the friction force can sculpt tissues in development and disease.

A. Experimental system

To mimic the tumor and its microenvironment in a controllable manner, we designed a two-dimensional *in vitro* system made of primary cancer cells (CCs) surrounded by CAFs [Fig. 1(a)]. The experimental setup and its precise characterization are described in detail in Ref. [17]. The CCs are

*Contact author: pierre.sens@curie.fr




FIG. 1. Experimental system of *in vitro* coculture. (a) Phase contrast images of the experimental coculture, made of a monolayer of CCs with controlled size surrounded by CAFs, at three different times from left to right. CAFs assemble a supracellular contractile ring at the edge of CCs (left), climb on top of CCs to undergo gap closure (middle), and undergo either full closure or stall around a CC bud (right). $R_0 = 150 \mu\text{m}$. See Movie S1 of the Supplemental Material [26]. (b) Sketch of a side view of the CAF-CC system (left) showing CAFs on top of CCs, undergoing gap closure thanks to the ring (orange) with instantaneous radius $R_c(t)$. The system can evolve into CC budding phenotype at steady state (top right) or into full CAF closure (bottom right). (c) Microscopic fluorescent image of the system where a small (top) or large (bottom) bud has emerged from 3D cell rearrangements and arrests CAF closure, for $R_0 = 150 \mu\text{m}$. (d) Evolution of radii displacements $\Delta R(t) = R(t) - R(0)$ of CCs (gray) and CAFs (orange), for large clusters $R_0 = 200 \mu\text{m}$ ($n = 3$). Additional data are shown in Fig. S2 of the Supplemental Material [26]. Dashed lines indicate velocities $V_T = 1.25 \mu\text{m/h}$ (gray) and $V_c = 2.5 \mu\text{m/h}$ (orange). (e) CC budding frequency as a function of pattern radius, observed for $R_0 = 100$ ($n = 14$), 150 ($n = 8$), or 200 ($n = 7$) microns. (f) Bud projected area as a function of pattern radius, observed for $R_0 = 100 \mu\text{m}$ ($n = 24$), $150 \mu\text{m}$ ($n = 27$), or $200 \mu\text{m}$ ($n = 32$). The area is measured from a top view at steady state.

initially patterned to form a cluster of a controlled radius. CAFs are later seeded to surround the cancer cells [Fig. 1(b)]. After a phase of proliferation, CAFs surrounding the CC cluster align tangentially to its periphery and spontaneously assemble a supracellular contractile ring enriched in actin and myosin II [Fig. 1(a), left and Ref. [17]]. Ring contraction triggers the closing of the CAF monolayer on top of the CC cluster [Fig. 1(a), middle] through a purse-string mechanism also seen in epithelial gap closure experiments [23–25]. Ring closure may be successful, with the CAF monolayer completely covering the CC cluster, or incomplete when the ring stalls around a bulge of cancer cells [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) and Movie S1 of the Supplemental Material [26]]. The latter originates from a three-dimensional (3D) reorganization of CCs into a multicellular “bud,” which resists ring compression [Fig. 1(a), right and Ref. [17]]. CAF closure on top of the CC monolayer generates an inward CC motion. The relative motion of the two monolayers can be quantified by comparing the evolution of the CAF ring radius $R_c(t)$ and the outer

radius of the CC monolayer $R_T(t)$ over time [Fig. 1(d)]. Both radii decrease linearly at early time, but with different slopes: $V_c \equiv |dR_c/dt| \sim 2.5 \mu\text{m/h}$ and $V_T \equiv |dR_T/dt| \sim V_c/2$, for cluster of initial radius $R(0) = 200 \mu\text{m}$. CCs remain as a monolayer and no budding is observed when CCs are cultured without CAFs or when CAF contractility is inhibited [17], showing that the budding phenotype is actively driven by CAFs. We reasoned that the CC inward motion is driven by CAFs through frictional forces, eventually leading to bud formation.

A natural control parameter to understand the appearance of the budding phenotype is the size of the cancer cell cluster [Fig. 1(b)]. Indeed, among a population of clusters, the experimental budding frequency is found to be correlated with the pattern size [Fig. 1(e)]. In addition at steady state, the projected area of buds also appears correlated with pattern size despite some large dispersion on individual clusters [Fig. 1(f)]. These two features will serve as important experimental tests for the theoretical model of the early stage of CC budding proposed in this paper, which culminates into phase diagrams describing the budding likelihood.

B. Theoretical model

The driving force for the budding process is the CAF contractile ring. In agreement with Laplace’s law, the tension due to actomyosin contraction and the curvature of the ring generate an inward stress. The ring is modeled here as a one-dimensional circular cable of radius $R_c(t)$ and a line tension Γ , producing an inward force per unit of ring length Γ/R_c [Ref. [17] and Fig. 1(b)]. The ring line tension ($\Gamma \sim 0.5\text{--}1 \mu\text{N}$) has been measured by replacing the CC clusters with elastic pillars of known stiffness [17]. Although the actomyosin ring does not apply direct pressure on the CCs prior to multilayering, the CCs in the central, CAF-free region are nevertheless compressed, as shown by laser ablation experiments in Ref. [17]. A natural explanation is that the relative motion of the CAF layer on top of the CC cluster generates a frictional shear that drives inward CC motion and the compression of the CC cluster. The shear stress is proportional to the velocity difference $\tau = \xi(v_{\text{CAF}} - v_{\text{CC}})$ with a friction coefficient ξ (Fig. 2). If no such mechanical interaction exists ($\xi = 0$), the two tissues evolve independently with the CAFs closing on top of an unperturbed CC cluster. Friction creates inward CC compression, which may lead to budding. This morphological transition requires 3D cell rearrangements, where some cells lose their basal connection with the substrate. Because the experimental population of clusters nicely separates into two phenotypes [Figs. 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e)], it seems reasonable to assume a strict causal link between the triggering of CC multilayering and the budding phenotype. Starting from a weakly deformed CC monolayer [Fig. 2(a), left], multilayering events are assumed to occur when cells reach a critical height h^* [Fig. 2(a), middle], which then triggers a cascade of events from a localized protobud [Fig. 2(a), right] to the late budding state. We assume rotational symmetry (a circular ring) for simplicity and focus on the early evolution of the monolayer height field $h(r, t)$ [Fig. 2(b)]. Calling, respectively, $R_T(t)$ and $R_c(t)$ the instantaneous radii of the CC monolayer and CAF ring, the system is separated in three




FIG. 2. Theoretical model. (a) Left: Sketch and microscopic fluorescent image of the CAF-CC system when the CC cluster is weakly deformed as a monolayer. Middle: The loss of basal interface is assumed to be triggered when the cell height h is above the critical value h^* . Right: Sketch and microscopic fluorescent image after few CC multilayering events, showing the localized rim of deformation). (b) Sketch for the theoretical model of bud initiation showing a deformed CC monolayer with height field $h(r)$ and peripheral radius R_T , the CAF layer with tension field $\gamma_{CAF}(r)$ and surrounding pretension $\gamma_\infty = \gamma_{CAF}(r = \infty)$, and the actomyosin ring at radius R_c with line tension Γ . CC mechanics is described through an elastic stiffness k and equilibrium height h_0 and an apical tension γ . The tensions are mechanically equilibrated at the CAF ring (inset). Dynamic friction occurs at CC-substrate and CAF-CC interfaces, with respective coefficients ξ_s and ξ . This ensures the localization of CC deformation in a rim of width Δ on the CAF-free region.

spatial domains [Fig. 2(b)]: the CAF-free region ($0 < r < R_c$), the CAF-covered region ($R_c < r < R_T$), and the surroundings ($r > R_T$). Here, we neglect cell divisions of CCs and assume cell incompressibility, so that monolayer deformation leads to a displacement of peripheral radius $R_T(t)$.

We adopt the framework of continuous mechanics to derive equations for the evolution of the local CC height. We assume a linear-elastic behavior for the CC monolayer, prior to the plastic multilayering events. We describe the equations for a three-dimensional material and perform a thin film approximation. Further details can be found in Sec. S3 of the Supplemental Material [26]. We consider a three-dimensional material with isotropic incompressible elasticity of modulus E under rotational invariance. In cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) , its unstressed configuration is described by a thickness h_0 and a cluster radius R_0 . The nonzero stress components are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{rr} &= -P + \frac{2E}{3} \partial_r u_r, & \sigma_{\theta\theta} &= -P + \frac{2E}{3} \frac{u_r}{r}, \\ \sigma_{zz} &= -P + \frac{2E}{3} \partial_z u_z, & \sigma_{rz} &= \sigma_{zr} = \frac{E}{3} (\partial_z u_r + \partial_r u_z) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for a pressure P , a radial displacement $u_r(r, z)$, and a vertical displacement $u_z(r, z)$ constrained by local incompressibility $\partial_r(r u_r) + r \partial_z u_z = 0$. The free interface is parametrized by an height profile $z = h(r, t)$ defining normal $\mathbf{n}$ and tangent $\mathbf{s}$ vectors. The velocity field is defined as $\mathbf{v} = \partial_t \mathbf{u}$.

The flow of CCs over the substrate is associated with pressure gradients. We consider a generic frictional stress between the CCs and the substrate proportional to the radial CC velocity field $v_r(r)$, with a friction coefficient ξ_s [Fig. 2(b)]. Then, vertical boundary conditions at the CC substrate $z = 0$ are no-penetration $u_z = v_z = 0$, and viscous friction of CCs $\sigma_{rz} = \xi_s v_r$. At the free interface $z = h$ on the free region $r < R_c$, boundary conditions are kinematic transport of the interface $v_z = \partial_t h + v_r \partial_r h$, absence of shear stress $\mathbf{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, and Laplace's law $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\gamma C_{\text{free}}$, where C_{free} is the interface curvature in the free region and γ the apical surface tension. We detail the physics on the CAF-covered region below.

We now assume a separation of scales between the radial direction $L_r \sim R_0$ and the vertical direction $L_z \sim h_0$, which defines the thin film parameter $\epsilon = L_z/L_r \ll 1$. For consistency, one requires $\partial_r h^2 \ll 1$, which simplifies the curvature into $C(r) \simeq -\partial_r^2 h - \partial_r h/r$. In addition, all characteristic length scales of the problem should be larger than h_0 . The frictional shear condition at $z = 0$ gives a diffusive length $\lambda_t \sim \sqrt{E h_0 t / \xi_s}$, and one asks for $h_0 / \lambda_t \ll 1$ at short times, or $\xi_s \sim \epsilon^2$ at scaling level. We also assume $\gamma \sim \epsilon^{-1}$ for the Laplace pressure $P \sim \gamma h_0 / R_0^2$ to be of the same order as the stress $\sigma \sim E$. Expanding the different fields as powers of ϵ , one finds at least order that pressure and radial displacement are independent of z , whereas incompressibility gives $v_z(r, z) \simeq v_z(h) z / h$ and $\partial_t h + \partial_r(r h v_r) / r \simeq 0$. The boundary condition for the pressure becomes a constitutive equation for the free region:

$$P_{\text{free}}(r) \simeq k[h_{\text{free}}(r) - h_0] - \gamma C_{\text{free}}(r). \quad (2)$$

Deviation from a natural height h_0 causes an intracellular pressure associated with a stiffness $k \equiv 2E/(3h_0)$. This equation is shown to be consistent with the mechanics of a bubbly vertex model in the weak deformation limit, as detailed in Sec. S7 of the Supplemental Material [26]. Horizontal force balance integrated over thickness gives at least order

$$-h_0[\partial_r P_{\text{free}}(r) + k \partial_r h_{\text{free}}(r)] = \xi_s v_{\text{free}}(r) \quad (3)$$

with $v_{\text{free}}(r) = v_r(r)$ in the free region. Eliminating pressure and velocity fields yields a partial differential equation (PDE) for the height field $h_{\text{free}}(r, t)$ on the CAF-free region

$$\partial_t h_{\text{free}} = \frac{D_s}{r} \partial_r [2r \partial_r h_{\text{free}} - \lambda^2 \cdot r \partial_r C_{\text{free}}] \quad (4)$$

with a diffusion constant $D_s \equiv k(h_0)^2 / \xi_s$ and a mechanical length $\lambda \equiv \sqrt{\gamma/k}$. The shape of the CAF-free CC region thus obeys a diffusionlike equation with a moving boundary (the CAF ring), which leads to the formation of a localized rim of width Δ , consistent with our observations [Fig. 2(a)]. This localized deformation is reminiscent of the rim observed during liquid film dewetting [27–29], although in that case the rim width is controlled by the slip length $b \equiv \eta / \xi_s$ associated with film viscosity η and film-substrate friction ξ_s .

On the CAF-covered region, the thin CAF layer is described as a continuous surface that follows the CC monolayer shape. Its tension $\gamma_{CAF}(r)$ varies in space because of CAF-CC friction [Fig. 2(b)], and local force balance gives $\partial_r \gamma_{CAF}(r) \simeq \xi[v_{CAF}(r) - v_{\text{cov}}(r)]$ for weak CC deformations. Assuming surface incompressibility for CAFs, one can directly obtain the CAF lowest-order velocity field

$v_{\text{CAF}}(r, t) = -V_c(t)R_c(t)/r$ from the closure velocity $V_c(> 0)$. The rate of gap closure results from a balance between the driving force (the ring line tension Γ) and dissipation. Possible mechanisms are discussed in Sec. S1 of the Supplemental Material [26]. We assume here that dominant dissipation comes from the CAF tissue viscosity η_2 and that dissipation from CAF-CC friction ξ can be treated as a small perturbation. This choice is motivated by the experimental closure dynamics [Fig. 1(d)], where $V_c(t)$ appears approximately constant—independent of the initial CC size—at early times. Indeed for $\xi = 0$, one can show that $V_c(t) = \Gamma/(2\eta_2)$. Knowing orders of magnitude for both velocity and line tension, one gets $\eta_2/h_{\text{CAF}} \sim 10^7\text{--}10^9$ Pa s when dividing by CAF thickness h_{CAF} , a reasonable value for this type of tissues [30–33].

On the CAF-covered region, normal force balance includes the CAF tension and tension anisotropy due to CAF viscosity: $\gamma_{rr}(r) = \gamma + \gamma_{\text{CAF}}(r) + 2\eta_2 R_c V_c/r^2$ and $\gamma_{\theta\theta}(r) = \gamma + \gamma_{\text{CAF}}(r) - 2\eta_2 R_c V_c/r^2$. Following the thin film expansion as before, Eq. (2) thus becomes

$$P_{\text{cov}}(r) = k[h_{\text{cov}}(r) - h_0] - \gamma_{rr}(r)\partial_r^2 h_{\text{cov}}(r) - \gamma_{\theta\theta}(r)\frac{\partial_r}{r}h_{\text{cov}}(r). \quad (5)$$

The friction term modifies the horizontal force balance as

$$-h_0[\partial_r P_{\text{cov}}(r) + k\partial_r h_{\text{cov}}(r)] = (\xi + \xi_s)v_{\text{cov}}(r) - \xi v_{\text{CAF}}(r). \quad (6)$$

Eliminating the velocity and pressure fields to obtain a single PDE for the height field can be done as above in the small friction limit to obtain a final growth PDE for h_{cov} to least order in ξ [see Eq. (S18) of the Supplemental Material [26]].

Force balance at the boundary between CAF-covered and CAF-free regions ($r = R_c$) imposes a discontinuity of the slope $\partial_r h$. Vertical force balance reads $\gamma_{rr} \sin(\varphi) = \gamma \sin(\theta)$ [angles are defined in Fig. 2(b)]. Horizontal force balance involves the driving force for CAF closure Γ/R_c and controls ring dynamics: For a flat CC cluster mechanically decoupled from CAFs ($\xi = 0$), it reads $R_c \gamma_{\text{CAF}}(R_c) + 2\eta_2 V_c = \Gamma$.

Finally on the surrounding region, we describe the CAF tissue as a simple sheet under active tension γ_∞ [Fig. 2(b)]. A more complex CAF description was proposed in Ref. [17] to account for the traction force pattern on the substrate, but the present model is sufficient here as we focus on the CC cluster mechanics. The active tension modifies the (friction-free) closure dynamics according to $V_c = (\Gamma - \gamma_\infty R_c)/(2\eta_2)$. As the ring driving force decreases with the cluster size, there exists a maximal cluster initial radius $R_0 = \Gamma/\gamma_\infty$ beyond which the ring does not close. With $\Gamma \sim 0.5\text{--}1$ μN [17] and $\gamma_\infty \lesssim 1\text{--}3$ mN/m [34], CAF closure is expected for $R_0 \lesssim 500\text{--}1000$ μm . Indeed, we observe CAF closure initiation for all clusters of the largest pattern radius ($R_0 = 200$ μm), which implies $\gamma_\infty < 5$ mN/m.

II. RESULTS

The theoretical description of the CAF-CC system consists in the resolution of two growth PDEs for the CC monolayer height field $h(r, t)$ on evolving domains $[0; R_c(t)]$ and $[R_c(t); R_T(t)]$, with initial conditions $h(r, t=0) = h_0$ and $R_c(0) = R_T(0) = R_0$. Spatial boundary conditions are detailed in Sec. S3 of the Supplemental Material [26].




FIG. 3. Results of the flat covered limit (no deformation on the CAF-covered region). (a) Snapshots of the CC monolayer shape $h(r, t)$ at different times for $\xi_s = 2$, $R_0 = 15$. The CC peripheral radius $r = R_T$ is the black dot and the ring position $r = R_c$ is the orange dot (see Movie S2 of the Supplemental Material [26]). Inset: Sketch of the system. (b) Evolution of the maximal height $h_{\text{max}}(t)$ and the central height $h_m(t)$ with time for different pattern radii R_0 and $\xi_s = 0.1$. A maximum deformation h_{peak} , which increases with the pattern radius R_0 , is reached at some finite time and the deformation disappears at long time. (c) Phase diagram of h_{peak} in the parameter space (R_0, ξ_s) . A critical height $h^* = 1.3$ defines the CC budding threshold (blue line). (d) Phase diagram of h_{peak} in the parameter space (k, ξ_s) , for $R_0 = 20$. Black dots indicate the maximal deformation for different values of k . They occur when elastic and Laplace pressures are comparable, or when $\xi_s^* \sim k^{3/2} h_0^2 / (\gamma^{1/2} V_c)$ (dashed gray line, see text). The height h and r are in units $h_0 = 10$ μm . Other parameters are $k = \gamma = 0.01$, $\gamma_\infty = 0$, and $\xi = 0.1$.

Parameters are of mechanical ($k, \gamma, \gamma_\infty, \Gamma$), dissipative (η_2, ξ, ξ_s), and geometric (h_0, h^*, R_0) nature. The typical CC monolayer height is of order $h_0 \simeq 10$ μm (see Fig. S1 of the Supplemental Material [26]). CAF-CC friction can be treated as a perturbation for closure dynamics provided $\xi < \eta_2/R_0^2 \sim 10^{11}$ Pa s/m, which is expected for such systems [23,32,33,35]. We eliminate h_0, Γ , and η_2 to make all quantities dimensionless and use the same notation for the remaining parameters.

A. Flat covered limit

To assess the main features of the model, we first consider a simplified case where the CAF-covered region remains flat (Fig. 3): $h_{\text{cov}}(r, t) = h_0$. This corresponds to the formal limit where $\Gamma, \gamma_\infty, \eta_2 \rightarrow \infty$, while the initial closing velocity $V_c = (\Gamma - \gamma_\infty R_c)/(2\eta_2)$ remains finite (see Sec. S5 of the Supplemental Material [26]). The CAF frictional shear stress drives an inward displacement of the CCs ($V_T > 0$), which acts as a source of CC deformation in the CAF-free region [Fig. 3(a)]. In the CAF-covered region, both velocity fields are determined by the boundary dynamics: $v_{\text{cov}}(r) = -V_T R_T/r$ and $v_{\text{CAF}}(r) = -V_c R_c/r$. The integration of Eq. (6) gives a pressure field $h_0 P_{\text{cov}}(r) = [\xi R_c V_c - (\xi + \xi_s) R_T V_T] \ln(R_T/r)$ under the CAF layer.

In the flat covered limit, the displaced peripheral CC volume is entirely transferred into the deformation of the CAF-free region at a rate $dV_{\text{up}} = 2\pi h_0 R_T V_T$. The CC deformation is localized near the CAF ring due to friction with the substrate, forming a rim of width $\Delta(t)$ that initially grows as $\Delta(t) \sim (\lambda^2 D_s t)^{1/4}$ [Eq. (4)] before reaching a plateau value Δ_c . The characteristic length scales can be defined from the equations above: a mechanical length $\lambda = \sqrt{\gamma/k}$ and a dynamic length $\lambda_V = D_s/V_c = kh_0^2/(\xi_s V_c)$. The rim is asymmetric [(Fig. 3(a))] and a scaling analysis presented in Sec. S4 of the Supplemental Material [26] shows that it is characterized by two length scales: $\Delta_{c,1} \sim (\lambda^2 \lambda_V)^{1/3}$ and $\Delta_{c,2} \sim (\lambda \lambda_V)^{1/2}$ for finite λ . In addition, one gets $\Delta_c \sim \lambda_V$ in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta_c \sim (\lambda^2 \lambda_V)^{1/3}$ in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$. Increasing the CC substrate friction ξ_s results in a more localized deformation, i.e., a smaller rim width. At longer times, when $R_c(t) \simeq \Delta_c$, the CC rim merges into a bump covering the entire CAF-free region [Fig. 3(a)].

Figure 3(b) shows the evolution of the maximum CC deformation $h_{\text{max}}(t)$ (the top of the rim) with time. It exhibits an initial rise up to a peak value h_{peak} [Fig. 3(b)], followed by a relaxation to its initial value h_0 . The central height of the CC cluster $h_m(t)$ is also shown. It remains unperturbed as long as the rim is spatially localized, and grows toward h_{max} when the annular rim merges into a bump for $R_c(t) \simeq \Delta_c$ [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. The existence of a peak deformation indicates that the initial CC flow caused by CAF-CC friction reverses to an outward backflow when the CC pressure overcomes the frictional driving force. While the end state predicted by the model is always a closed CAF layer on top of an undeformed CC cluster, we assume that irreversible multilayering and the initiation of the budding phenotype occur when the CC peak height h_{peak} reaches a threshold distributed around a critical value h^* . This assumption is supported by the analysis of a vertex model in Eq. (S70) of the Supplemental Material [26]. Therefore, the system's final state can be understood from the study of how h_{peak} depends on the different parameters.

First, Fig. 3(b) shows that the peak height increases with the pattern radius R_0 . This behavior is recovered in the limit of small substrate friction: $D_s \gg V_c R_0$, where the shape of the CAF-free region can be calculated analytically. It predicts $\delta h_{\text{peak}} \propto \xi \Gamma R_0/(k\eta_2)$ for small tension $\lambda \ll R_0$, or $\delta h_{\text{peak}} \propto \xi \Gamma R_0^3/(\gamma\eta_2)$ for large tension $\lambda \gg R_0$ (see Sec. S5 of the Supplemental Material [26]). As expected, the deformation increases linearly with the CAF-CC friction coefficient ξ and decreases with the CC mechanical resistance (k and γ). The correlation between system's size and CC deformation agrees with the experimental observation and will be studied in more detail below. A rather nontrivial result is the influence of substrate friction on CC deformation, recapitulated in two phase diagrams [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)].

The first phase diagram, in the (R_0, ξ_s) parameter space [Fig. 3(c)], confirms that the peak deformation correlates with R_0 , but also shows that the CC-substrate friction parameter ξ_s has a nonmonotonic influence on the deformation peak. A maximal CC deformation occurs for a finite value of $\xi_s = \xi_s^*$. This nonmonotonic feature is the result of several antagonistic effects. First, substrate friction limits the inward flow of CC driven by CAF friction ($V_T \simeq \frac{\xi}{\xi + \xi_s} V_c$ at early times), which limits the volume transferred from the covered to the free

region. Second, the CC backflow at later stage is hindered by substrate friction, which tends to increase the peak deformation. Finally, the deformation is localized by substrate friction (the rim width Δ decreases with increasing ξ_s), which concentrates deformation, but also increases the rim pressure driving the backflow.

The relative importance of the different effects is studied in Sec. S5 of the Supplemental Material [26] using toy models of simplified geometries. They show that the peak deformation monotonically increases with substrate friction in the absence of localization or if the Laplace pressure is neglected ($\gamma \rightarrow 0$), but monotonically decreases with substrate friction if the backflow is driven solely by Laplace pressure ($k \rightarrow 0$). These results are confirmed by the second phase diagram in the (k, ξ_s) parameter space [Fig. 3(d)], which shows a monotonic decrease of the deformation with substrate friction for small k and a monotonic increase for large k . The largest deformation is obtained for a particular value of substrate friction ξ_s^* , which increases with the stiffness k . This optimum corresponds to the parameters for which the elastic pressure $k\delta h$ and the Laplace pressure $\sim \gamma\delta h''$ play comparable role in driving the backflow, that is, $\lambda \sim \lambda_V$ or $\xi_s^* \sim k^{3/2}h_0^2/(\gamma^{1/2}V_c)$ [gray dashed line in Fig. 3(d)].

B. Deformable CAF layer

In Sec. S5 of the Supplemental Material [26] we show using a toy model that the “flat covered” limit is approximately valid when $\Gamma \gg \gamma R_0(1 + (R_0/\lambda)^2)$. In both cases, this requires small surface tension $\gamma \ll 10^{-2}$ N/m and small CC stiffness $E \equiv kh_0 \ll 10$ Pa. The second condition is unlikely to be valid for the *in vitro* CAF-CC system, since one expects CC stiffness values $E \sim 0.1$ – 10 kPa [36]. It is thus necessary to consider the deformation of the CAF-covered region, obtained by enforcing local force balance throughout the CC cluster [Eq. (6)].

Numerical results accounting for CAF deformation are shown in Fig. 4. The extent of CAF deformation is a significant fraction of the maximum deformation when CAF pretension is small [$\gamma_\infty \rightarrow 0$, Fig. 4(a)]. If the substrate friction ξ_s is sufficiently large ($\Delta_c \ll R_0$), a rim develops ahead of the closing CAF ring as discussed before. The deformation then evolves in two qualitatively distinct fashions depending on the parameters [see sketches in Fig. 4(b)]. For small substrate friction, the rim persists until it merges into a bulge and the situation is qualitatively similar to the “flat covered” case. For large substrate friction, the rim becomes covered by the CAFs. This is illustrated by the evolution of the maximum height (h_{max}) and the ring height (h_c) with time [Fig. 4(b)]. The former is consistently higher than the latter for small substrate friction (left panel) indicating the persistence of the free rim, while the two heights are similar except near the final ring closure for high substrate friction, indicating that the rim is covered by CAFs (right panel). More examples of temporal evolution in the two regimes are shown in Sec. S6 of the Supplemental Material [26].

Unlike in the flat covered regime, the deformation does not vanish at the moment of ring closure, since it can be accommodated by the deformability of the CAF layer. There is nevertheless a clear peak deformation at a given time,




FIG. 4. Results with deformable covered region: with $\gamma_\infty = 0$, $\gamma = 0.01$, $\{k = 0.01, \xi = 0.5\}$ for panels (a) and (b), and $\{k = 0.05\}$ for panels (c) and (d). (a) Snapshot of the temporal evolution of the CC monolayer shape with height $h(r, t)$, CC peripheral radius R_T (black point), and ring position $(r = R_c, z = h_c)$ (orange point). $\xi_s = 0.5$, $R_0 = 10$ (see Movie S3 of the Supplemental Material [26]). (b) Evolution of the maximal height $h_{\max}$ and the ring height h_c with time. A free rim can evolve into a free bulge for low substrate friction ($h_{\max} > h_c$, left, $\xi_s = 0.1$) or a covered rim for high substrate friction ($h_{\max} = h_c$, right, $\xi_s = 5$). (c) Phase diagram of the maximal height h_{peak} in the parameter space (R_0, ξ) for $\xi_s = \xi$. The critical strain $\epsilon^* \equiv (h^* - h_0)/h_0$ has a normal distribution with a mean set to 5% (gray line) and $2 \times \text{s.d.} = 3.5\%$ indicated by the dashed gray lines. Violin plots are shown for $R_0 = [10, 15, 20]$, with red contour when the budding criterion $h_{\max}(t) < h^*$ is satisfied for $\xi = \xi_s = 0.1$ (black horizontal line). The theoretical budding probabilities are indicated in red and the experimental ones in black. (d) Theoretical bud projected area A_b for the three available pattern radii R_0 and different friction values $\xi = \xi_s$. Black dots are experimental mean $\pm$ s.d. of bud area reproduced from Fig. 1(f). One assumes the final bud to be cylindrical with two cell layers such that its height is $h_b = 2h_0$ (top). Using $V_b = \pi h_0 (R^*)^2 = A_b h_b$, one can compute a theoretical projected area with $R^* = R_c(h_{\max} = h^*)$.

confirming the existence of a CC backflow. The system continues to evolve after CAF closure and eventually returns to the undeformed state.

In the experiments, we observe a significant inward flux of CC, $V_T \simeq V_c/2$, at the onset of ring closure [see Fig. 1(d) and Fig. S2B of the Supplemental Material [26]]. Since $V_T \simeq \frac{\xi}{\xi + \xi_s} V_c$ at early times, this suggests that the two friction coefficients are of the same order ($\xi \simeq \xi_s$). Figure 4(c) shows that in this regime, the peak height h_{peak} increases with both the cluster size R_0 and the friction parameters. Interestingly, the correlation between cluster size and CC deformation is not necessarily observed for large substrate friction $\xi_s \gg \xi$, in which case most of the CC deformation is covered by CAFs [the covered rim regime; left panel of Fig. 4(b)] and the maximum CC deformation *decreases* with increasing cluster size. This feature is further discussed in Sec. S6 of the Supplemental Material [26] but is not believed to be relevant to our experimental situation.

The correlation between cluster size and peak CC deformation for small surface friction suggests that larger clusters should be more likely to exhibit CAF-driven budding, as observed experimentally [Fig. 1(e)]. To quantitatively assess the budding probability, we postulate that budding is triggered when the cell strain $\epsilon = (h_{\max} - h_0)/h_0$ reaches a threshold value ϵ^* , assumed to be Gaussian distributed about a mean value due to cell variability. Since the peak deformation increases with friction, the distribution of strain thresholds corresponds to a distribution of friction thresholds for each cluster size, represented as violin plots on the contour plot in Fig. 4(c). This allows to calculate the budding probability for a given size as the fraction of the population whose threshold friction is below the actual friction value. The model should also be able to reproduce the observed distribution of bud sizes as a function of the cluster size [Fig. 1(f)]. To obtain this, we postulate that if the budding threshold is met at a given time t^* : $h_{\max}(t^*) = h_0(1 + \epsilon^*)$, the volume of the resulting bud is the volume of the free CC layer at the budding threshold: $V_b = \pi h_0 R_c(t^*)^2$. A knowledge of the bud shape is required to convert the volume into the experimentally accessible bud projected area $A_b = \pi R_b^2$. While small buds can be equally described with a spherical or cylindrical geometry, both experimental observation and theoretical analysis on large buds favor a roughly cylindrical shape [Fig. 1(c)] with a height h_b estimated from confocal microscopy to be a few cell heights: $h_b \sim 2\text{--}4h_0$ [Ref. [17] and Fig. S1 of the Supplemental Material [26]]. Assuming h_b to be independent of the bud radius R_b for simplicity leads to a bud area $A_b = V_b/h_b$ that can be compared to experiments, as shown in Fig. 4(d).

Obtaining an appropriate fit for both the budding probability and the resulting bud size strongly constrains the model parameters. Parameter values are discussed extensively and tabulated in the Sec. S3.5 of the Supplemental Material [26]. The experimental budding probability [Fig. 1(f)] shows an almost linear dependence on the cluster size. This precludes large friction values, for which the budding probability shows a stronger dependence on cluster size [Fig. 4(c)]. Furthermore, large friction coefficients induce more localized deformations. Consequently, the peak deformation—which is close to the threshold $h_{\text{peak}} \sim h^*$ for intermediate cluster sizes, as the budding probability is closed to one half—occurs for a smaller ring radius. This means that larger friction coefficients result in small bud sizes incompatible with our observations [Fig. 4(d)]. Small buds are also obtained if the CC mechanical resistance is weak (small k and/or γ), because the backflow responsible for the deformation peak occurs for small ring radii [see Sec. S6 of the Supplemental Material [26]]. As shown in Fig. 4(c), the relevant regime corresponds to the small friction case with $\xi_s \sim \xi \lesssim 10^{-2}\text{--}10^{-1} \eta_2/h_0^2 \sim 10^{11}\text{--}10^{12} \text{ Pa s/m}$. Importantly, this estimate of the friction parameter agrees with one based on the dynamical rim size $\Delta \simeq \sqrt{D_s t} \simeq 30 \mu\text{m}$ at an intermediate time $t \sim 20 \text{ h}$ (Fig. 2, left), which gives $D_s = kh_0^2/\xi_s = 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, or $\xi_s = 10^{11}\text{--}10^{12} \text{ Pa s/m}$ with $kh_0 = 0.1\text{--}1 \text{ kPa}$ (see Sec. S3.5 of the Supplemental Material [26]). This provides an important consistency check of our model and supports our general conclusion on the relevance of friction force for the budding process.

III. DISCUSSION

Using an *in vitro* coculture of a patterned cluster of CCs surrounded by CAFs, we show that mechanical interactions between the two cell types are sufficient to generate rearrangement of the cancer cells leading to long-lived budlike structures. This CC budding phenotype originates indirectly from the contractility of a supracellular actomyosin ring that spontaneously assembles at the inner periphery of the CAF monolayer and drives its closure on top of the CC cluster in a purse-string mechanism.

We develop a theoretical model of this process based on the transmission of the mechanical stress generated by CAF closure to the cancer cells via a generic friction, which could originate from nonspecific as well as specific interactions. The latter includes heterotypic binding of E-cadherins and N-cadherins [13], or the matrix secreted by the CAFs [37]. Our theoretical approach adopts a continuous elastic description of the CC cluster as an epithelial sheet on a substrate that combines in-plane and out-of-plane elastic stresses, and includes viscous dissipation in the CAF layer. Comparison with a bubbly side vertex model of a cell monolayer shows that our continuous approach appropriately describes the balance of forces at the lateral interfaces of cancer cells and the modulation of cell height resulting from intracellular pressure gradients. The latter is central to the initiation of 3D cell rearrangements such as those accompanying monolayer budding. We describe the onset of multilayering with a generic strain threshold, beyond which plastic rearrangements occur. This simple approach is supported by a vertex model and could be extended to a more general yield-stress model in the spirit of our previous work [17]. Here, we restrict ourselves to friction-dominated CC dissipation without planar cell rearrangements within the monolayer. It assumes that these cell rearrangements can be neglected over the experimental timescale. These could be included through a fluid description of the CC monolayer with viscous dissipation in our continuous equations [38]. In the limit of the fluid CC layer with vanishing viscosity, the continuous equations remain unchanged up to the replacement $k \mapsto k/2$. This inviscid limit is obtained by eliminating lateral elasticity, whereas vertical elasticity still controls planar dynamics because the incompressibility condition couples normal and lateral displacement (see Secs. S3.1 and S7 of the Supplemental Material [26]).

The model shows that a generic dynamic friction between the CC and the CAFs is sufficient to explain important experimental features of the budding phenotype. The friction-driven CC inward flow accompanying CAF closure leads to a buildup of intracellular CC pressure and an increase of cell height. The pressure is maximum close to the CAF contractile ring, leading to the formation of a deformed CC rim as observed experimentally. The budding probability and the geometric features of the cancer buds may then be obtained by invoking the existence of a stress or strain threshold for CC multilayering.

Despite its simplicity, our conceptual approach leads to a surprisingly complex theoretical state space. Specifically, the observed correlation between CC budding frequency and pattern size is nontrivial. The CAF-CC and substrate-CC friction parameters are critical for this behavior. The former

is responsible for the transmission of stress between the two cell types, and the latter strongly affects the spatial distribution of intracellular CC pressure and controls both the appearance and the persistence of the CC rim. This behavior is also modulated by CC stiffness and CC surface tension. Experimental observations correlating bud properties to pattern size highly constrain the parameter space to specific regions of relevance. For instance, if the CC-substrate friction is too weak, no rim forms and the CC deformation spreads over the entire CAF-free region. Budding probabilities compatible with experimental data then require unreasonably small values of the critical strain for multilayering. If the CC-substrate friction is too large, the model predicts bud sizes that are too small, and possibly a negative correlation between the maximum CC deformation and the cluster size, inconsistent with the observed budding probabilities. Similarly, weak CC stiffness or surface tension predict buds that are too small, whereas too large values prevent monolayer deformation.

One strength of our *in vitro* approach is the ability to control geometric parameters such as the CC cluster shape and size. This is afforded by the presence of a substrate, which challenges the direct applicability of our model to physiological *in vivo* tumors interacting with their environment. CAFs have been shown to compress cancer cells *in vivo* using actomyosin contractility, leading to tumor compartmentalization [17]. However, a real tumor would be better described by a CC spheroid surrounded by extracellular matrix and CAFs [10]. Whether the CAF organization could be appropriately described as a monolayer in this context remains to be investigated, but the friction-induced shear stress between CAFs and cancer cells would nevertheless remain an important component of the mechanical interaction between the two cell types, in addition to other mechanisms such as direct infiltration within the tumor. On the other hand, the friction with the substrate, which we identify as an important parameter controlling budding *in vitro*, is absent in spheroids and should be replaced by viscouslike dissipation associated with cell rearrangements within the tumor.

The relevance of friction-induced tissue remodeling goes beyond tumor budding and could include morphogenetic processes during development. A promising example is epithelial branching, which has been shown to be driven by CAF contractility in the case of mammary gland morphogenesis [39]. The model presented here represents an initial step toward understanding how friction forces can shape tissues in both development and disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge funding from INSERM ITMO cancer 20CR110-00 (D.M.V., P.S.), H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 659776 (J.B.), Fondation ARC pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (C.P.-G.), ANR-11-LABX-0038 (M.D., J.B., C.P.-G., D.M.V., P.S.).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this article are openly available at [48].

- [1] A. D. Rhim, E. T. Mirek, N. M. Aiello, A. Maitra, J. M. Bailey, F. McAllister, M. Reichert, G. L. Beatty, A. K. Rustgi, R. H. Vonderheide, S. D. Leach, and B. Z. Stanger, EMT and dissemination Precede pancreatic tumor formation, *Cell* **148**, 349 (2012).
- [2] M. Yu, A. Bardia, B. S. Wittner, S. L. Stott, M. E. Smas, D. T. Ting, S. J. Isakoff, J. C. Ciciliano, M. N. Wells, A. M. Shah, K. F. Concannon, M. C. Donaldson, L. V. Sequist, E. Brachtel, D. Sgroi, J. Baselga, S. Ramaswamy, M. Toner, D. A. Haber, and S. Maheswaran, Circulating breast tumor cells exhibit dynamic changes in epithelial and mesenchymal composition, *Science* **339**, 580 (2013).
- [3] M. A. Nieto, R. Y.-J. Huang, R. A. Jackson, and J. P. Thiery, EMT: 2016, *Cell* **166**, 21 (2016).
- [4] L. S. Lino-Silva, R. A. Salcedo-Hernández, and A. Gamboa-Domínguez, Tumor budding in rectal cancer. A comprehensive review, *Contemp. Oncol. (Pozna)* **22**, 61 (2018).
- [5] N. Aceto, A. Bardia, D. T. Miyamoto, M. C. Donaldson, B. S. Wittner, J. A. Spencer, M. Yu, A. Pely, A. Engstrom, H. Zhu, B. W. Brannigan, R. Kapur, S. L. Stott, T. Shioda, S. Ramaswamy, D. T. Ting, C. P. Lin, M. Toner, D. A. Haber, and S. Maheswaran, Circulating tumor cell clusters are oligoclonal precursors of breast cancer metastasis, *Cell* **158**, 1110 (2014).
- [6] A. C. Rogers, D. C. Winter, A. Heeney, D. Gibbons, A. Lugli, G. Pappa, and K. Sheahan, Systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of tumor budding in colorectal cancer, *Br. J. Cancer* **115**, 831 (2016).
- [7] F. R. Balkwill, M. Capasso, and T. Hagemann, The tumor microenvironment at a glance, *J. Cell Sci.* **125**, 5591 (2012).
- [8] S. T. Boyle, M. Z. Johan, and M. S. Samuel, Tumor-directed microenvironment remodelling at a glance, *J. Cell Sci.* **133**, jcs247783 (2020).
- [9] A. Glentis, P. Oertle, P. Mariani, A. Chikina, F. El Marjou, Y. Attieh, F. Zaccarini, M. Lae, D. Loew, F. Dingli, P. Sirven, M. Schoumacher, B. G. Gurchenkov, M. Plodinec, and D. M. Vignjevic, Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce metalloprotease-independent cancer cell invasion of the basement membrane, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 924 (2017).
- [10] Y. Attieh, A. G. Clark, C. Grass, S. Richon, M. Pocard, P. Mariani, N. Elkhathib, T. Betz, B. Gurchenkov, and D. M. Vignjevic, Cancer-associated fibroblasts lead tumor invasion through integrin- β_3 -dependent fibronectin assembly, *J. Cell Biol.* **216**, 3509 (2017).
- [11] B. Erdogan, M. Ao, L. M. White, A. L. Means, B. M. Brewer, L. Yang, M. K. Washington, C. Shi, O. E. Franco, A. M. Weaver, S. W. Hayward, D. Li, and D. J. Webb, Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote directional cancer cell migration by aligning fibronectin CAFs direct cancer cell migration via fibronectin, *J. Cell Biol.* **216**, 3799 (2017).
- [12] C. Gaggioli, S. Hooper, C. Hidalgo-Carcedo, R. Grosse, J. F. Marshall, K. Harrington, and E. Sahai, Fibroblast-led collective invasion of carcinoma cells with differing roles for RhoGTPases in leading and following cells, *Nat. Cell Biol.* **9**, 1392 (2007).
- [13] A. Labernadie, T. Kato, A. Brugués, X. Serra-Picamal, S. Derzsi, E. Arwert, A. Weston, V. González-Tarragó, A. Elosegui-Artola, L. Albertazzi, J. Alcaraz, P. Roca-Cusachs, E. Sahai, and X. Trepat, A mechanically active heterotypic E-cadherin/N-cadherin adhesion enables fibroblasts to drive cancer cell invasion, *Nat. Cell Biol.* **19**, 224 (2017).
- [14] E. Sahai, I. Astsaturov, E. Cukierman, D. G. DeNardo, M. Egeblad, R. M. Evans, D. Fearon, F. R. Greten, S. R. Hingorani, T. Hunter, *et al.*, A framework for advancing our understanding of cancer-associated fibroblasts, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **20**, 174 (2020).
- [15] B. C. Özdemir, T. Pentcheva-Hoang, J. L. Carstens, X. Zheng, C.-C. Wu, T. R. Simpson, H. Laklai, H. Sugimoto, C. Kahlert, S. V. Novitskiy, *et al.*, Depletion of carcinoma-associated fibroblasts and fibrosis induces immunosuppression and accelerates pancreas cancer with reduced survival, *Cancer Cell* **25**, 719 (2014).
- [16] D. Öhlund, A. Handly-Santana, G. Biffi, E. Elyada, A. S. Almeida, M. Ponz-Sarvisé, V. Corbo, T. E. Oni, S. A. Hearn, E. J. Lee, *et al.*, Distinct populations of inflammatory fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in pancreatic cancer, *J. Exp. Med.* **214**, 579 (2017).
- [17] J. Barbazan, C. Pérez-González, M. Gómez-González, M. Dedenon, S. Richon, E. Latorre, M. Serra, P. Mariani, S. Descroix, P. Sens, X. Trepat, and D. M. Vignjevic, Cancer-associated fibroblasts actively compress cancer cells and modulate mechanotransduction, *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 6966 (2023).
- [18] J. Tommelein, L. Verset, T. Boterberg, P. Demetter, M. Bracke, and O. De Wever, Cancer-associated fibroblasts connect metastasis-promoting communication in colorectal cancer, *Front. Oncol.* **5**, 63 (2015).
- [19] S. Caballero-Mancebo, R. Shinde, M. Bolger-Munro, M. Peruzzo, G. Szep, I. Steccari, D. Labrousse-Arias, V. Zheden, J. Merrin, A. Callan-Jones, R. Voituriez, and C.-P. Heisenberg, Friction forces determine cytoplasmic reorganization and shape changes of ascidian oocytes upon fertilization, *Nat. Phys.* **20**, 310 (2024).
- [20] A. D'Angelo, K. Dierkes, C. Carolis, G. Salbreux, and J. Solon, *In vivo* force application reveals a fast tissue softening and external friction increase during early embryogenesis, *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 1564 (2019).
- [21] S. Münster, A. Jain, A. Mietke, A. Pavlopoulos, S. W. Grill, and P. Tomancak, Attachment of the blastoderm to the vitelline envelope affects gastrulation of insects, *Nature (London)* **568**, 395 (2019).
- [22] M. Smutny, Z. Ákos, S. Grigolon, S. Shamipour, V. Ruprecht, D. Čapek, M. Behrndt, E. Papusheva, M. Tada, B. Hof, T. Vicsek, G. Salbreux, and C.-P. Heisenberg, Friction forces position the neural anlage, *Nat. Cell Biol.* **19**, 306 (2017).
- [23] O. Cochet-Escartin, J. Ranft, P. Silberzan, and P. Marq, Border forces and friction control epithelial closure dynamics, *Biophys. J.* **106**, 65 (2014).
- [24] S. R. K. Vedula, G. Peyret, I. Cheddadi, T. Chen, A. Brugués, H. Hirata, H. Lopez-Menendez, Y. Toyama, L. N. d. Almeida, X. Trepat, C. T. Lim, and B. Ladoux, Mechanics of epithelial closure over non-adherent environments, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6111 (2015).
- [25] S. Begnaud, T. Chen, D. Delacour, R.-M. Mège, and B. Ladoux, Mechanics of epithelial tissues during gap closure, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. Cell dynamics*, **42**, 52 (2016).
- [26] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/kxwl-2tv1> for a summary of experimental methods, theoretical methods, supplementary results and movie captions, which includes Refs. [40–47].
- [27] C. Redon, F. Brochard-Wyart, and F. Rondelez, Dynamics of dewetting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 715 (1991).

- [28] T. Vilmin and E. Raphaël, Dewetting of thin polymer films, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **21**, 161 (2006).
- [29] A. M. J. Edwards, R. Ledesma-Aguilar, M. I. Newton, C. V. Brown, and G. McHale, Not spreading in reverse: The dewetting of a liquid film into a single drop, *Sci. Adv.* **2**, e1600183 (2016).
- [30] C. Blanch-Mercader, R. Vincent, E. Bazellieres, X. Serra-Picamal, X. Trepát, and J. Casademunt, Effective viscosity and dynamics of spreading epithelia: A solvable model, *Soft Matter* **13**, 1235 (2017).
- [31] G. Duclos, C. Blanch-Mercader, V. Yashunsky, G. Salbreux, J.-F. Joanny, J. Prost, and P. Silberzan, Spontaneous shear flow in confined cellular nematics, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 728 (2018).
- [32] C. Pérez-González, R. Alert, C. Blanch-Mercader, M. Gómez-González, T. Kolodziej, E. Bazellieres, J. Casademunt, and X. Trepát, Active wetting of epithelial tissues, *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 79 (2019).
- [33] C. Blanch-Mercader, P. Guillaumat, A. Roux, and K. Kruse, Quantifying material properties of cell monolayers by analyzing integer topological defects, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 028101 (2021).
- [34] N. Khalilgharibi, J. Fouchard, N. Asadipour, R. Barrientos, M. Duda, A. Bonfanti, A. Yonis, A. Harris, P. Mosaffa, Y. Fujita, A. Kabla, Y. Mao, B. Baum, J. J. Muñoz, M. Miodownik, and G. Charas, Stress relaxation in epithelial monolayers is controlled by the actomyosin cortex, *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 839 (2019).
- [35] S. Tlili, J. Yin, J.-F. Rupprecht, M. A. Mendieta-Serrano, G. Weissbart, N. Verma, X. Teng, Y. Toyama, J. Prost, and T. E. Saunders, Shaping the zebrafish myotome by intertissue friction and active stress, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 25430 (2019).
- [36] C. Alibert, B. Goud, and J.-B. Manneville, Are cancer cells really softer than normal cells? *Biol. Cell* **109**, 167 (2017).
- [37] Z. Peng, M. Hao, H. Tong, H. Yang, B. Huang, Z. Zhang, and K. Q. Luo, The interactions between integrin $\alpha 5 \beta 1$ of liver cancer cells and fibronectin of fibroblasts promote tumor growth and angiogenesis, *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **18**, 5019 (2022).
- [38] G. Erdemci-Tandogan and M. L. Manning, Effect of cellular rearrangement time delays on the rheology of vertex models for confluent tissues, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **17**, e1009049 (2021).
- [39] J. Sumbal, S. Fre, and Z. Sumbalova Koledova, Fibroblast-induced mammary epithelial branching depends on fibroblast contractility, *PLoS Biol.* **22**, e3002093 (2024).
- [40] Y. Ishimoto and Y. Morishita, Bubbly vertex dynamics: A dynamical and geometrical model for epithelial tissues with curved cell shapes, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 052711 (2014).
- [41] P. Lee and C. W. Wolgemuth, Crawling cells can close wounds without purse strings or signaling, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **7**, e1002007 (2011).
- [42] V. Nier, M. Deforet, G. Duclos, H. G. Yevick, O. Cochet-Escartin, P. Marcq, and P. Silberzan, Tissue fusion over nonadhering surfaces, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 9546 (2015).
- [43] A. Münch, B. A. Wagner, and T. P. Witelski, Lubrication models with small to large slip lengths, *J. Eng. Math.* **53**, 359 (2005).
- [44] T. Y. Hou and P. G. Le Floch, Why nonconservative schemes converge to wrong solutions: Error analysis, *Math. Comput.* **62**, 497 (1994).
- [45] O. Polyakov, B. He, M. Swan, J. W. Shaevitz, M. Kaschube, and E. Wieschaus, Passive mechanical forces control cell-shape change during *Drosophila* ventral furrow formation, *Biophys. J.* **107**, 998 (2014).
- [46] T. Kim, M. L. Gardel, and E. Munro, Determinants of fluidlike behavior and effective viscosity in cross-linked actin networks, *Biophys. J.* **106**, 526 (2014).
- [47] N. Harmand, 3D shape of epithelial cells on curved substrates, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 031028 (2021).
- [48] M. Dedenon, J. Barbazan, C. Prez-Gonzalez, D. Matic vignjevic, and P. Sens, Friction-induced budding of a cancer cell monolayer [Data set], In Physical Review Research (Version v1), Zenodo (2026), [10.5281/zenodo.18601899](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18601899)