ERRATA

EVIDENCE FOR A_1^0 PRODUCTION IN K^-p INTERACTIONS AT 4.6 AND 5.0 BeV/c. R. E. Juhala, R. A. Leacock, J. I. Rhode, J. B. Kopelman, L. Marshall Libby, and E. Urvater [Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 1355 (1967)].

The number of measured four-prong events (seventh line from the bottom, first column, p. 1355) should be changed from 5800 to 16 000. This has no bearing on the analysis.

On p. 1356, second column, eighth line from the bottom, the reference to Fig. 1(b) should be to Fig. 1(a) instead.

SECOND-HARMONIC GENERATION OF LIGHT AT THE BOUNDARY OF ALKALI HALIDES AND GLASSES. Charles C. Wang and A. N. Duminski [Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 668 (1968)].

The coefficient for the first erm in the right-hand side of Eq. (1) should be $(\alpha-\beta-2\gamma)$ instead of $(\alpha-\beta)$; the right-hand side of Eq. (2) should be multiplied by $(\epsilon_S^{1/2}c/8\pi)^{1/2}$; and the right-hand side of Eq. (3) should be multiplied by 2.

In Table II, the values of α/β were deduced under the assumption that $\gamma=0$. It was necessary to make this assumption as the sign of γ was not determined. For most insulating materials, the magnitude of γ is small so that this assumption does not seriously affect the values of α/β.

The authors are indebted to Professor N. Bloembergen for pointing out the errors that exist in Eqs. (1) and (3).

FOURTH TEST OF GENERAL RELATIVITY: PRELIMINARY RESULTS. Irwin I. Shapiro, Gordon H. Pettengill, Michael E. Ash, Melvin L. Stone, William B. Smith, Richard P. Ingalls, and Richard A. Brockelman [Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1265 (1968)].

The term "baud length" was consistently misprinted as "band length."

ICARUS: FURTHER CONFIRMATION OF THE RELATIVISTIC PERIHELION PRECESSION. Irwin I. Shapiro, Michael E. Ash, and William B. Smith [Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1517 (1968)].

The sentence "The post-fit residuals for our solution were quite small" was incorrectly printed as "The post-fit residuals for one solution were quite small."

In footnote 12, please change "approximation estimate" to "approximate estimate"; in Ref. 7, change the Duncombe reference from "Mercury" to "Venus."

SOME CONSIDERATIONS ON THE INTERMEDIATE-BOSON HYPOTHESIS AND *CP* NONCONSERVATION. S. Pakvasa, S. F. Tuan, and Tai Tsun Wu [Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1546 (1968)].

In Eq. (1) $P_\gamma \neq P_{\mathrm{st}}$ should be replaced by $P_\gamma = P_{\mathrm{st}}$.

In Eq. (6)

$$T_{\mathrm{st}}\begin{pmatrix}\psi_1\\ \psi_2\\ \psi_3\end{pmatrix}$$

should be replaced by

$$T_{\mathrm{st}}\begin{pmatrix}\psi_1\\ \psi_2\\ \psi_3\end{pmatrix}T_{\mathrm{st}}{}^{-1}.$$

In the explicit matrix for $U(\theta)$, the (1, 1) matrix element should read 0, not θ.