First Measurement of Transverse-Spin-Dependent Azimuthal Asymmetries in the Drell-Yan Process
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According to quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of strong interactions, the internal structure of hadrons explored in hard (semi-)inclusive scattering is described by parton distribution functions (PDFs). For a polarized nucleon, within the twist-2 approximation there are eight transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) PDFs describing the distributions of longitudinal and transverse momenta of partons and their correlations with nucleon and quark polarizations. These PDFs can be experimentally accessed in hadron-hadron and lepton-hadron collisions; for recent reviews see, e.g., Refs. [1–3]. In this Letter, we consider the Drell-Yan process, i.e., massive lepton-pair production in hadron-nucleon collisions (hN \rightarrow ℓ^+ℓ^−X), hereafter referred to as DY, and semi-inclusive hadron measurements in deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering (ℓN \rightarrow ℓhX), hereafter referred to as SIDIS. For the DY and SIDIS cross sections, TMD factorization was proven to hold [4], which allows one to express them as convolutions of hard-scale dependent TMD PDFs, perturbatively calculable hard-scattering parton cross sections and (for SIDIS) parton fragmentation functions. The hard-scale Q in DY is given by the invariant mass of the lepton pair and in SIDIS by the square root of the virtuality of the photon exchanged in the DIS process.

The Sivers function [5] plays an important role among the TMD PDFs. It describes the left-right asymmetry in the distribution of unpolarized partons in the nucleon with respect to the plane spanned by the momentum and spin vectors of the nucleon. One of the recent significant theoretical advances in the TMD framework of QCD is the prediction that the two naively time-reversal odd TMD functions, i.e., the quark Sivers functions f_{T1}^{q} and Boer-Mulders functions h_{1}^{q}, have opposite sign when measured in SIDIS on the one hand and in DY or W- and Z-boson production on the other [6–8]. The experimental test of this fundamental prediction, which is a direct consequence of QCD gauge invariance, is a major challenge in hadron physics.

Nonzero quark Sivers TMD PDFs have been extracted from SIDIS single-differential results of HERMES [9], COMPASS [10–13], and JLab [14] using both collinear [15,16] and TMD evolution approaches [17–21]. The first measurement of the Sivers effect in W- and Z-boson production in collisions of transversely polarized protons at relativistic heavy ion collider (RHIC) was reported by the STAR Collaboration [22]; the hard scales of these measurements is Q \approx 80 \text{ GeV}/c and 90 \text{ GeV}/c. It is quite different from the one explored in fixed-target experiments where Q ranges approximately between 1 \text{ GeV}/c and 9 \text{ GeV}/c. Hence it is not excluded that TMD evolution

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article’s title, journal citation, and DOI.
effects may be sizable when describing the STAR results using Sivers TMD PDFs extracted from fixed-target SIDIS results.

The COMPASS experiment at CERN [23,24] has the unique capability to explore the transverse-spin structure of the nucleon in a similar kinematic region by two alternative experimental approaches, i.e., SIDIS and DY, using mostly the same setup. This offers the opportunity of minimizing uncertainties of TMD evolution in the comparison of the Sivers TMD PDFs when extracted from these two measurements to test the opposite-sign prediction by QCD.

Recently, COMPASS published the first multidifferential results of the Sivers asymmetry, which were extracted from SIDIS data at four different hard scales [25]. In particular for the range $4 \text{ GeV}/c < Q < 9 \text{ GeV}/c$, the Sivers asymmetry for positive hadrons was found to be above 0 by 3.2 standard deviations of the total experimental accuracy. This hard-scale range is very similar to the one used in this Letter to analyze the DY process.

When the polarizations of the produced leptons are summed over, the general expression for the cross section of pion-nucleon DY lepton-pair production off a transversely polarized nucleon comprises five transverse spin-dependent asymmetries (TSAs), including the Sivers TSA. Those three TSAs that can be described by contributions from only twist-2 TMD PDFs will be addressed in this Letter. Following the conventions of Refs. [24,26], the corresponding part of the differential cross section can be written as follows:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dq^4 d\Omega} \propto \hat{\sigma}_U (1 + S_T \{D_1 A_T^{\sin \varphi_3} \sin \varphi_S \\
+ D_2 [A_T^{\sin (2\varphi_{CS}-\varphi_3)} \sin (2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S) \\
+ A_T^{\sin (2\varphi_{CS}+\varphi_3)} \sin (2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)]\}).
$$

(1)

Here $q$ is the four-momentum of the exchanged virtual photon and $\hat{\sigma}_U = (F_U^2 + F_U^2)/(1 + \lambda \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$, with $F_U^1, F_U^2$ being the polarization and azimuth-independent structure functions, and the polar asymmetry $\lambda$ is given as $\lambda = (F_U^1 - F_U^2)/(F_U^1 + F_U^2)$. At the leading order of perturbative QCD, within the twist-2 approximation, $F_U^1 = 0$, and therefore $\lambda = 1$. The subscript $(U)T$ denotes transverse polarization (in)dependence. In analogy to SIDIS, the virtual-photon depolarization factors are defined as $D_1 = (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS})/(1 + \lambda \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$ and $D_2 = \sin^2 \theta_{CS}/(1 + \lambda \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$. The angles $\varphi_{CS}, \theta_{CS}, \Omega$, the solid angle of the lepton, are defined in the Collins-Soper frame as defined in Refs. [24,26], and $\varphi_S$ is the azimuthal angle of the direction of the nucleon polarization in the target rest frame; see Fig. 1.

The TSAs $A_T$ in Eq. (1) are defined as amplitudes of a given azimuthal modulation $w = w(\varphi_S, \varphi_{CS})$, divided by the spin and azimuth-independent part of the DY cross section and the corresponding depolarization factor.

In this analysis, the sign convention for TSAs is given by Eq. (1) together with the definitions of azimuthal and polar angles in Fig. 1. Note that the sign of the Sivers TSA is related to that of the Sivers TMD PDF only by the convention that fixes the direction of the $z$-axis, so that the above mentioned sign-change prediction for the Sivers TMD PDFs in our case results in the same sign as measured Sivers TSAs in SIDIS and DY.

In DY lepton-pair production with a transversely polarized nucleon in the initial state, the TSA $A_T^{\sin \varphi_3}$ is related to the nucleon Sivers TMD PDFs ($f_{1T}$) convoluted with the unpolarized pion TMD PDFs ($f_{1x}$). The other two TSAs, $A_T^{\sin (2\varphi_{CS}-\varphi_3)}$ and $A_T^{\sin (2\varphi_{CS}+\varphi_3)}$, are related to convolutions of the Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs ($h_{1T}$) of the pion with the nucleon TMD PDFs transversity ($h_1$) and pretzelosity ($h_2$), respectively [26,27]. All three aforementioned nucleon TMD PDFs induce analogous twist-2 TSAs in the general expression for the cross section of unpolarized-hadron production in SIDIS of leptons off transversely polarized nucleons [26–28]. These TSAs were studied by the HERMES and COMPASS experiments [9,11–13,25,29–34]. In contrast to the Sivers function, transversity and pretzelosity are predicted to be genuinely universal; i.e., they do not change sign between SIDIS and DY [4], which is yet another fundamental QCD prediction to be explored.

The analysis presented in this Letter is based on Drell–Yan data collected by COMPASS in the year 2015 using essentially the same spectrometer as was used during SIDIS data taking in previous years [23]. For this measurement, the 190 GeV/c $\pi^-$ beam with an average intensity of $0.6 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ from the CERN SPS was scattered off the COMPASS transversely polarized NH$_3$ target with proton polarization $<P_T> \approx 0.73$ and dilution factor $<f> \approx 0.18$, where the latter accounts for the fraction of polarizable nucleons in the target and the migration of reconstructed
events from one target cell to the other. The polarized target, placed in a 0.6 T dipole magnet, consisted of two longitudinally aligned cylindrical cells of 55 cm in length and 4 cm in diameter, separated by a 20 cm gap. The two cells were polarized vertically in opposite directions, so that data with both spin orientations were recorded simultaneously. In order to compensate for acceptance effects, the polarization was reversed every two weeks. The entire data-taking time of 18 weeks was divided into nine periods, each consisting of two consecutive weeks with opposite target polarizations. The proton polarization had a relaxation time of about 1000 hours, which was measured for each target cell in each data-taking period. A 240 cm long structure made mostly of alumina with a tungsten core, placed downstream of the target, acted as hadron absorber and beam dump. Outgoing charged particles were detected by a system of tracking detectors in the two-stage spectrometer. In each stage, muon identification was accomplished by a system of muon filters. The trigger required the hit pattern of several hodoscope planes to be consistent with at least two muon candidates originating from the target region. For any pair of candidates, either both have to be detected in the first stage of the spectrometer (25 mrad < \( \theta_\mu \) < 160 mrad) or one has to be detected in the first and the other in the second stage (8 mrad < \( \theta_\mu \) < 45 mrad).

In the data analysis, the selection of events requires a production vertex located within the polarized-target volume, with one incoming pion beam track and at least two oppositely charged outgoing particles that are consistent with the muon hypothesis; i.e., they crossed at least 30 radiation lengths of material along the spectrometer. The dimuon transverse momentum \( q_T \) is required to be above 0.4 GeV/c in order to obtain sufficient resolution in angular variables.

The reconstructed mass spectrum of events passing all analysis requirements is shown in Fig. 2 (in black). The combinatorial background originating from the decays of pions and kaons produced in the target is evaluated using like-sign dimuon events from real data and is shown in gray (dotted). Further contributions to the dimuon spectrum are evaluated with a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation, and their relative weights are obtained by a fit to the data. The Drell-Yan contribution is shown in blue (long dashed). The background contributions originate from charmonia, shown in red (dashed) and magenta (dot-dashed), and semimuonic open-charm decays shown in green (double dot dashed). The sum of all contributions, shown in violet, describes the experimental data well. The \( J/\psi \) peak is clearly visible with a shoulder from the \( \psi(2S) \) resonance. For the analysis we use the mass range 4.3 GeV/c\(^2\) < \( M_{\mu\mu} \) < 8.5 GeV/c\(^2\), where the upper limit avoids the contribution of \( \Upsilon \) resonances. In this range, the sum of all background contributions is estimated to be below 4%.

The two-dimensional distribution of the Bjorken scaling variables of pion and nucleon, \( x_N \) and \( x_N' \), for this mass range is presented in Fig. 3. The figure shows that the kinematic phase space explored by the COMPASS spectrometer matches the valence region in \( x_N \) and \( x_N' \). In this region, the DY cross section for a proton target is dominated by the contribution of nucleon \( u \)-quark and pion \( \bar{u} \)-quark TMD PDFs.

The distributions of the dimuon Feynman variable \( x_F \) and the dimuon transverse momentum \( q_T \) are presented in Fig. 4. The corresponding mean values of the kinematic variables are \( \langle x_N \rangle = 0.17, \langle x_F \rangle = 0.50, \langle x_F \rangle = 0.33, \langle q_T \rangle = 1.2 \text{ GeV}/c, \) and \( \langle M_{\mu\mu} \rangle = 5.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \).

About 35 \times 10^3 dimuons remain for the analysis. The three TSAs presented in this Letter are extracted period by period from the number of dimuons produced in each cell for the two directions of the target polarization. The double-cell target configuration in conjunction with the periodic polarization reversal allows for the simultaneous measurement of azimuthal asymmetries for both target spin orientations. Using an extended unbinned maximum likelihood estimator, all five TSAs are fitted simultaneously together with their correlation matrices. In this approach, flux and acceptance-dependent systematic uncertainties are minimized [31]. The final asymmetries are obtained by averaging the results of the nine periods. The asymmetries are evaluated in kinematic bins of \( x_N, x_F, q_T \), or \( q_T \), while always integrating over all the other variables.

![FIG. 2. The dimuon invariant mass distribution.](image-url)
that this first measurement of the DY Sivers asymmetry is SIDIS data for the Sivers TSA [9,11,12]. Figure 6 shows TMD PDFs, and the numerical values are based on a fit of obtained by using the sign-change hypothesis for the Sivers theoretical predictions for the DY Sivers asymmetry was evolution approaches. (Note that the kinematic constraints are based on standard DGLAP and two different TMD deviation of the total uncertainty. In Fig. 6, it is compared with recent theoretical predictions from Refs. [19,21] (DGLAP), [20] (TMD1), and [21] (TMD2). The dark-shaded (light-shaded) predictions are evaluated with (without) the sign-change hypothesis. Uncertainties are as described in Fig. 5.

The dilution factor \( f \) and the depolarization factor \( D_2 \) entering the definition of TSAs are calculated on an event-by-event basis and are used to weight the asymmetries. For the magnitude of the target polarization \( P_T \), an average value is used for each data-taking period in order to avoid possible systematic bias. In the evaluation of the depolarization factors, the approximation \( \lambda = 1 \) is used. Known deviations from this assumption with \( \lambda \) ranging between 0.5 and 1 [35,36] decrease the normalization factor by at most 5%.

The TSAs resulting from different periods are checked for possible systematic effects. The largest systematic uncertainty is due to possible residual variations of experimental conditions within a given period. They are quantified by evaluating various types of false asymmetries in a similar way as described in Refs. [12,30]. The systematic point-to-point uncertainties are found to be about 0.7 times the statistical uncertainties. The normalization uncertainties originating from the uncertainties on target polarization (5%) and dilution factor (8%) are not included in the quoted systematic uncertainties.

The TSAs \( A_T^{\sin \phi_5} \), \( A_T^{\sin (2\phi_{CS} - \phi_5)} \), and \( A_T^{\sin (2\phi_{CS} + \phi_5)} \) are shown in Fig. 5 as a function of the variables \( x_N \), \( x_p \), \( x_F \), and \( q_T \). Because of relatively large statistical uncertainties, no clear trend is observed for any of the TSAs. The full set of numerical values for all TSAs, including correlation coefficients and mean kinematic values from this measurement, is available on HepData [37]. The last column in Fig. 5 shows the results for the three extracted TSAs integrated over the entire kinematic range. The average Sivers asymmetry \( A_T^{\sin \phi_5} = 0.060 \pm 0.057_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.040_{\text{sys}} \) is found to be above 0 at about one standard deviation of the total uncertainty. In Fig. 6, it is compared with recent theoretical predictions from Refs. [19–21] that are based on standard DGLAP and two different TMD evolution approaches. (Note that the kinematic constraints used in Refs. [19–21] differ from one another and also from those used in our analysis.) The positive sign of these theoretical predictions for the DY Sivers asymmetry was obtained by using the sign-change hypothesis for the Sivers TMD PDFs, and the numerical values are based on a fit of SIDIS data for the Sivers TSA [9,11,12]. Figure 6 shows that this first measurement of the DY Sivers asymmetry is consistent with the predicted change of sign for the Sivers function.

The average value for the TSA \( A_T^{\sin (2\phi_{CS} - \phi_5)} \) is measured to be below 0 with a significance of about two standard deviations. The obtained magnitude of the asymmetry is in agreement with the model calculations of Ref. [38] and can be used to study the universality of the nucleon transversity, and pretzelosity TMD PDFs (top to bottom). Inner (outer) error bars represent statistical (total experimental) uncertainties. The normalization uncertainties due to target polarization (5%) and dilution factor (8%) are not included in the error bars.
sign. They may also be used to test the sign change of the nucleon Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs between SIDIS and DY as predicted by QCD [6–8], when combined with other past and future SIDIS and DY data related to target-spin-independent Boer-Mulders asymmetries [39–41]. In 2018, COMPASS will continue the measurements of the polarized Drell–Yan process for another data-taking year.
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