

Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations for $SU(r+1)$ quantum Seiberg-Witten curve: Higher-order Mathieu equation

Feiyu Peng¹ and Hongfei Shu^{1,2,3,*}

¹*Institute for Astrophysics, School of Physics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China*

²*Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Beijing 101408, China*

³*Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*



(Received 21 June 2026; accepted 9 September 2026; published 28 September 2026)

We develop the ordinary differential equation–integrable model correspondence for the higher-order Mathieu equation arising from the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve of the pure $SU(r+1)$ $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. From the subdominant solutions, we construct the Q and Y systems and derive the corresponding thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) equations. The dependence of the moduli parameters is found to be encoded in the boundary conditions of the Y functions at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$. From these boundary data, we derive an analytic expression for the effective central charge, which also governs the subleading contribution in the large- θ expansion of the TBA equations. Finally, we compare the large- θ expansion of the Q function derived from the TBA equations with that obtained from the WKB method, which yields analytic agreement at subleading order and precise numerical agreement at the higher-order corrections.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.114.066020](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.114.066020)

I. INTRODUCTION

Integrable structures in Seiberg-Witten (SW) theory provide a precise framework for understanding the non-perturbative phenomena in supersymmetric gauge theories [1,2]. Over the past decades, various correspondences between SW theory and the integrable model have been uncovered. The SW curve was found to be identified with the spectral curve of a classical integrable model, while the SW differential plays the role of the associated one-form differential [3–5]. In particular, the pure $SU(r+1)$ SW theory is related to the $r+1$ -particle periodic Toda chain. The corresponding integrable model will be quantized when we consider the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the Omega deformation, where the nonzero Omega deformation parameter plays the role of Planck constant [6,7]. In this limit, the SW curve is quantized to the Baxter TQ relation of the quantum integrable model.

Another remarkable connection between the SW theory and integrable model arises from applying the ordinary differential equation (ODE)–integrable model (IM) correspondence [8,9] to the quantum SW curves. In the case of the A_r Argyres-Douglas (AD) theory [10,11], the quantum

SW curve takes the form of a Schrödinger equation with degree $r+1$ polynomial potential [12,13]. For the monomial potential, the corresponding integrable model of A_{2n} AD theory is found to be the minimal model $M_{2,2n+3}$ [13]. Generalizing the ODE-IM correspondence to the Schrödinger equation with arbitrary polynomial potentials, one finds that the exact quantum periods of the corresponding AD theory satisfy the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) equations of the quantum integrable model [14], which provide a solution to the Riemann-Hilbert problem of the Borel resummed quantum period formulated in [15]. Remarkably, the same TBA equations also arise from the conformal limit of the nonlinear integral equations describing the wall crossing of the Bogomol’nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) particles in the Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke (GMN) formalism [16–18], which can be obtained, in principle, once the BPS spectrum in a given moduli chamber is known.¹ The TBA equations for the D -type, (A_m, A_n) -type AD theories and the supersymmetric quantum mechanics can be found in [23–30]. See [31] for the review on these directions.

The quantum SW curve of pure $SU(2)$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) appears as the modified Mathieu equation [32,33]. This equation is more complicated than the polynomial potential Schrödinger equation appearing in AD theory, because it has two irregular singular points. The TBA equations for the $SU(2)$ quantum SW curve have

*Contact author: shuphy124@gmail.com, shu@zzu.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article’s title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

¹Similar procedures have been applied to the Wilson loops and correlation functions in AdS/CFT correspondence [19–22].

been studied in two approaches. From the BPS spectrum viewpoint, the TBA equations have been derived in the GMN approach [34,35], which reproduce the resurgent properties of the quantum periods of the pure $SU(2)$ SYM in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili (NS) limit. From the integrability viewpoint, the ODE-IM correspondence has been generalized to the Mathieu equation obtained by rescaling the moduli parameter in the quantum SW curve. This construction leads to the massless sinh-Gordon TBA equation [36,37], which will be referred to as the integrability TBA in this paper. The integrable structure is related to the Liouville theory at a self-dual point. Restoring the moduli parameter, the integrability TBA decomposes into two TBA equations, which coincide with the GMN-type TBA in the strong coupling regime. Moreover, this structure has also been extended to the $SU(2)$ SYM with matter [38–40]. The Mathieu equation, thus, provides a unified framework in which quantum SW curves, exact WKB analysis, and integrable models meet in a fully nonperturbative way.

To further develop this unified framework, we study the quantum SW curve of pure $SU(r+1)$ SYM [41,42],² which can be rescaled to the higher-order Mathieu equation. The central objective of the present paper is to generalize the ODE-IM correspondence to the higher-order Mathieu equation and to derive the corresponding integrability TBA equations. Once the moduli parameters are restored, these TBA equations are expected to provide an exact nonperturbative representation of the quantum periods.

In this paper, we construct the Q and Y systems from the subdominant solutions of the higher-order Mathieu equation and then convert them to the TBA equations. The dependence on the moduli parameters is encoded in the boundary conditions of the Y functions as $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$. From these boundary data, we derive an analytic form for the effective central charge, which also governs the subleading large- θ behavior of the TBA equations. We further compare the large- θ expansion of the TBA equations with the WKB expansion of the quantum curve. At the subleading order, the two approaches agree analytically through the effective central charge, while higher-order corrections are shown to match with high numerical precision.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we first rescale the $SU(r+1)$ quantum SW curve to the higher-order Mathieu equation. We then construct the Q and Y systems from the subdominant solutions and convert them into the TBA equations. In Sec. III, we first compute the large- θ expansion of Q and Y functions by expanding the kernels. We then derive the boundary conditions at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$ of the Y functions and compute the effective central charge analytically. In Sec. IV, we apply the WKB method to compute the large- θ expansion of the Q function, which

will be tested against the expansion obtained from the TBA side. In the last section, we will conclude and discuss the future possible developments.

II. TBA FROM HIGHER-ORDER MATHIEU EQUATION

The pure $SU(r+1)$ SW curve of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM is given by [45,46]

$$\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{2}(e^y + e^{-y}) = p^{r+1} - \sum_{a=2}^{r+1} u_a p^{r+1-a}, \quad (1)$$

whose SW differential leads to a symplectic form $d\lambda = \text{idy} \wedge dp$ on the (y, p) phase space. The coefficients u_a parametrize the Coulomb branch moduli, while $\bar{\Lambda}$ is the dynamical scale of the theory. The SW differential associated with this curve determines the low-energy effective dynamics through its period integrals. In the NS limit of the Omega background, the SW curve is quantized by $p \rightarrow -\epsilon \partial_y$:

$$\left[(-1)^{r+2} \epsilon^{r+1} \partial_y^{r+1} - \sum_{a=2}^{r+1} (-1)^{r+2-a} u_a \epsilon^{r+1-a} \partial_y^{r+1-a} + \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{2} (e^y + e^{-y}) \right] \psi = 0, \quad (2)$$

where ϵ is the nonzero Ω -background parameter in NS limit. This equation is a higher-order generalization of the Schrödinger equation, where ϵ plays the role of Planck constant. Reparametrizing the parameters by

$$(-1)^{r+2-a} u_a \epsilon^{-a} = p_a, \quad u_{r+1} = P^{r+1} \epsilon^{r+1}, \quad \bar{\Lambda} = e^{(r+1)\theta} \epsilon^{r+1}, \quad (3)$$

one obtains the higher-order Mathieu equation

$$\left[(-1)^{r+2} \partial_y^{r+1} - \sum_{a=2}^r p_a \partial_y^{r+1-a} + P^{r+1} + \frac{e^{(r+1)\theta}}{2} (e^y + e^{-y}) \right] \psi = 0. \quad (4)$$

The central objective of the present paper is to develop the ODE-IM correspondence for this equation. In the following subsections, we first study the asymptotic behaviors of the subdominant solutions of the ODE (4) and introduce the Q and Y functions from them, which satisfy the Q and Y systems. Furthermore, we will convert the Y system to the TBA equations, whose effective central charge will also be introduced.

²Related attempts in this direction can be found in [43,44].

A. Q and Y systems

Since the ODE (4) has two irregular singularities, we evaluate the asymptotic subdominant solutions at $\text{Re}(y) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\text{Re}(y) \rightarrow -\infty$, respectively, which leads to

$$\psi_+(y, \theta) \sim N_+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{r\theta + \frac{r}{r+1}y}}} \exp\left(-(r+1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} e^{\theta + \frac{y}{r+1}}\right), \quad y \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\psi_-(y, \theta) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{N_-}{\sqrt{e^{r\theta + \frac{r}{r+1}y}}} \exp\left(-(r+1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} e^{\theta - \frac{y}{r+1}}\right) & y \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{odd } r, \\ \frac{N_-}{\sqrt{e^{r\theta + \frac{r}{r+1}y}}} \exp\left(-(r+1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r+1}} e^{\theta - \frac{y}{r+1}}\right) & y \rightarrow -\infty - i\pi, \quad \text{even } r. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Using the following invariance of the ODE:

$$\Omega_+: y \rightarrow y + i\pi, \quad \theta \rightarrow \theta + \frac{i\pi}{r+1}; \quad \Omega_-: y \rightarrow y - i\pi, \quad \theta \rightarrow \theta + \frac{i\pi}{r+1}, \quad (7)$$

we can generate the subdominant solutions in other strips of y :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{+,a} &:= \Omega_+^a \psi_+ = \psi_+\left(y + i\pi a, \theta + \frac{i\pi a}{r+1}\right), \\ \psi_{-,a} &:= \Omega_-^a \psi_- = \psi_-\left(y + i\pi a, \theta - \frac{i\pi a}{r+1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where a is an integer. Note that $\Omega_- \psi_+ = \psi_+$ and $\Omega_+ \psi_- = \psi_-$.

We fix the normalization by $W[\psi_{\pm,a}, \psi_{\pm,a+1}, \dots, \psi_{\pm,a+r}] = 1$,³ from which the normalization factors N_+ and N_- can be determined. $\psi_{-,a}$ can be expanded in terms of the basis $\{\psi_{+,a}\}$. For example, when r is even, we expand $\psi_{-,a}$ in terms of $\psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+1}, \psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+2}, \dots, \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-,a} &= W_{(a), -\frac{r}{2}+2, \dots, \frac{r}{2}+1} \psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+1} + W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1, (a), \dots, \frac{r}{2}+1} \psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+2} \\ &\quad + \dots + W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1, \dots, \frac{r}{2}, (a)} \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have used the following notation to represent the Wronskians:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1, \dots, b-1, (a), b+1, \dots, \frac{r}{2}+1} &:= W[\psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+1}, \dots, \psi_{+,b-1}, \\ &\quad \psi_{-,a}, \psi_{+,b+1}, \dots, \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}+1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Substituting the expansion of $\psi_{-,a}$ into the Wronskian of $\{\psi_{-,a}\}$, for example, $W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{-,1}]$, one finds that this

³The Wronskian with respect to y is the determinant of the matrix whose $(n+1)$ th row is given by $(\partial_y^n \psi_{\pm,a}, \partial_y^n \psi_{\pm,a+1}, \dots, \partial_y^n \psi_{\pm,a+r})$, where $n = 0, 1, \dots, r$.

Wronskian can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{-,1}] &= -\det \begin{pmatrix} W_{(0), -\frac{r}{2}+1, \dots, \frac{r}{2}} & W_{(1), -\frac{r}{2}+1, \dots, \frac{r}{2}} \\ W_{(0), -\frac{r}{2}+2, \dots, \frac{r}{2}+1} & W_{(1), -\frac{r}{2}+2, \dots, \frac{r}{2}+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times W[\psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+1}, \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}+1}] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

More generally, in the expansion of $W[\psi_{-,0}, \dots, \psi_{-,a}]$, there is always a coefficient which can be converted into the determinant of an $(a+1) \times (a+1)$ matrix, whose adjacent columns can be related by an Ω_-^{-1} , while its adjacent rows can be related by an Ω_+ . See the right-hand side of (11) for the corresponding coefficient in the case $a = 1$. The conversion procedure can refer to the Appendix. For odd r , one will find similar coefficients using $\psi_{+,-\frac{r-1}{2}}, \psi_{+,-\frac{r-3}{2}}, \dots, \psi_{+,\frac{r+1}{2}}$ as the basis. We then introduce the Q function to describe the connection between the solutions $\psi_{\pm,a}$. From the structure of (11), we define the Q function by the determinant

$$Q_{a+1} := \pm \det \begin{pmatrix} -Q_1 & -Q_1^{[-1]} & \dots & -Q_1^{[-a]} \\ -Q_1^{[+1]} & -Q_1 & \dots & -Q_1^{[-a+1]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -Q_1^{[+a]} & -Q_1^{[+a-1]} & \dots & -Q_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Here, the sign depends on the value of $(a+1)$, which is negative when $a+1 = 4n+1$ or $a+1 = 4n+2$ and positive when $a+1 = 4n+3$ or $a+1 = 4n+4$. The shifted Q functions are defined by $Q_a^{[j]} := Q_a(\theta + \frac{i\pi j}{r+1})$,

and Q_1 is defined by the Wronskian

$$Q_1 := \begin{cases} -W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{+,-\frac{r-1}{2}}, \dots, \psi_{+,\frac{r-1}{2}}] & \text{odd } r, \\ -W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{+,-\frac{r-2}{2}}, \dots, \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}}] & \text{even } r. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Using the Jacobi identity,⁴ we find the Q functions satisfy the Q system

$$Q_a^{[+1]} Q_a^{[-1]} = Q_a^2 + Q_{a+1} Q_{a-1}, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (15)$$

where $Q_0 = 1$, and, when the normalization is fixed by $W[\psi_{\pm,k}, \psi_{\pm,k+1}, \dots, \psi_{\pm,k+r}] = 1$, we find $Q_{r+1} = \pm 1$, where the sign depends on the choice in (12). See the Appendix for more details. Also, by defining $Y_a = Q_a^2 / (Q_{a+1} Q_{a-1})$, the Q system leads to the Y system:

$$Y_a^{[+1]} Y_a^{[-1]} = \frac{(Y_a + 1)^2}{(Y_{a+1}^{-1} + 1)(Y_{a-1}^{-1} + 1)}. \quad (16)$$

The boundary conditions for the Y system are $Y_0^{-1} = Y_{r+1}^{-1} = 0$, which are equivalent to $Q_{-1} = Q_{r+2} = 0$. When $r = 1$, (16) reproduces the previous results of [36,37].

B. TBA equations

We now convert the Y system into the TBA equations. According to the Y system, the pseudoenergy $\varepsilon_a(\theta) := -\log Y_a(\theta)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_a\left(\theta + \frac{i\pi}{r+1}\right) + \varepsilon_a\left(\theta - \frac{i\pi}{r+1}\right) \\ = \varepsilon_{a+1}(\theta) + \varepsilon_{a-1}(\theta) - 2L_a(\theta) + L_{a+1}(\theta) + L_{a-1}(\theta), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $L_a(\theta) := \log(e^{-\varepsilon_a(\theta)} + 1)$. It will be explained later that the pseudoenergy behaves as $\varepsilon_a(\theta) \sim m_a e^\theta$ with positive m_a at large θ , which can be obtained by using the WKB method. Substituting this into (17), we find the constraints on m_k :

$$m_a(e^{\frac{i\pi}{r+1}} + e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r+1}}) = m_{a+1} + m_{a-1} \quad (18)$$

with $m_0 = m_{r+1} = 0$. Here, we have used the fact that $L_k(\theta)$ approaches 0 at large θ . Defining $f_a(\theta) := \varepsilon_a(\theta) - m_a e^\theta$, which allows us to perform the Fourier

transform and inverse Fourier transform,⁵ Eq. (17) leads to the TBA equations:

$$\varepsilon_a(\theta) = m_a e^\theta - \sum_{b=1}^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{ab}(\theta - \theta') L_b(\theta') d\theta', \quad (19)$$

where the kernel matrix ϕ is obtained from the inverse Fourier transform of $\tilde{\phi}(\omega) = -A^{-1}(\omega)B$. Here, the matrices $A(\omega)$ and B are defined by $A(\omega) = 2\mathbb{I}_r \cosh(\frac{\omega\pi}{r+1}) - G_r$ and $B = -C_r$, respectively, where $\mathbb{I}_r$ is the identity matrix and G_r and C_r are the incidence matrix⁶ and the Cartan matrix, respectively, of the A_r Dynkin diagram. The kernel ϕ_{ab} for general r reads

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ab}(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^r \sin \frac{n\pi a}{r+1} \sin \frac{n\pi b}{r+1} \\ \times \tan \frac{n\pi}{2(r+1)} \frac{\sinh((r+1-n)\theta)}{\sinh((r+1)\theta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\theta \phi_{ab}(\theta) = \tilde{\phi}_{ab}(\omega = 0) = \delta_{ab}, \\ \int d\theta \theta \phi_{ab}(\theta) = i \frac{d}{d\omega} \tilde{\phi}(\omega)|_{\omega=0} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The nonlinear integral equations for Q functions can be obtained by rewriting the Q system as

$$Q_a^{[+1]} Q_a^{[-1]} = Q_{a+1} Q_{a-1} (1 + Y_a). \quad (22)$$

In analogy with the pseudoenergy, the logarithm of the Q functions behaves as $\log Q_a \sim -q_a e^\theta$ at large θ . According to the Q system (22), we find the leading-order contribution of $\log Q_a$ satisfies

$$q_a(e^{\frac{i\pi}{r+1}} + e^{-\frac{i\pi}{r+1}}) = q_{a+1} + q_{a-1}, \quad (23)$$

with $q_0 = q_{r+1} = 0$. Meanwhile, according to the definition of the Y function, the constraints between q_a and m_a are given by

$$m_a = 2q_a - q_{a+1} - q_{a-1}. \quad (24)$$

Following a similar procedure used to derive the TBA equations, the Q system (22) can be converted to the nonlinear integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Delta[1, a+1|1, a+1] &= \Delta[a+1|a+1]\Delta[1|1] \\ &\quad - \Delta[1|a+1]\Delta[a+1|1], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where Δ represents the determinant of an $(a+1) \times (a+1)$ matrix and $\Delta[a_1, a_2, \dots | b_1, b_2, \dots]$ represents the minor obtained from Δ by deleting $a_1, a_2, \dots$ th rows and $b_1, b_2, \dots$ th columns.

⁴Here we use the Jacobi identity:

⁵See [47] for more details, where the Fourier transform is defined by $\tilde{f}(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\theta) e^{-i\omega\theta} d\theta$.

⁶Here, the incidence matrix is defined by $(G_r)_{ab} = \delta_{a,b+1} + \delta_{a,b-1}$.

$$-\log Q_a = q_a e^\theta - \sum_{b=1}^r \int d\theta' \varphi_{ab}(\theta - \theta') L_b(\theta'), \quad (25)$$

where the kernel matrix φ is the inverse Fourier transform of $\tilde{\varphi} = A^{-1}(\omega)$, and the elements of the φ matrix can be expressed as

$$\varphi_{ab}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{\sin(\frac{ak\pi}{r+1}) \sin(\frac{bk\pi}{r+1})}{\sin(\frac{k\pi}{r+1})} \frac{\sinh((r+1-k)\theta)}{\sinh((r+1)\theta)}. \quad (26)$$

C. Boundary conditions and effective central charge

Note that the TBA equations are derived originally from the solutions of the higher-order Mathieu equation. However, the moduli parameters (P and p_a) do not appear explicitly in the TBA equations. Their dependence is instead encoded in the boundary condition of $\varepsilon_a(\theta)$. At $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$, we impose the boundary condition

$$\varepsilon_a(\theta) = h_a \theta + 2C_a + \dots, \quad \theta \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (27)$$

where h_a are positive constants depending on P and p_a . This boundary condition is consistent with the TBA equations (19) by noting (21). As we will explain in Sec. III C, the boundary condition can be obtained from the ODE (4). To impose the boundary condition, we use Zamolodchikov's trick to modify the TBA equations by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_a(\theta) = m_a e^\theta - f_{0,a} - \sum_{b=1}^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{ab}(\theta - \theta') (L_b(\theta') \\ - L_{0,b}(\theta')) d\theta', \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $L_{0,b} := \frac{h_b}{2} \log(1 + e^{-2\theta})$ and $f_{0,a}$ is given by

$$f_{0,a} = \sum_{b=1}^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{ab}(\theta - \theta') L_{0,b}(\theta') d\theta'. \quad (29)$$

Note that $f_{0,a}$, which depends on P and p_a , approaches $-h_a \theta$ at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$.

In the context of the integrable model, the effective central charge for TBA equations (19) is given by

$$c_{\text{eff}} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{a=1}^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta m_a e^\theta L_a(\theta). \quad (30)$$

Usually, the effective central charge can be computed using the standard dilogarithm method. However, due to the nontrivial boundary condition of $\varepsilon_a(\theta)$ at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$, the method has to be modified, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_a \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\varepsilon_a(-\infty)}}\right) + \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \sum_{a,b} h_a h_b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{ab}(\theta) \theta^2 d\theta \\ &= r - \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \sum_{a,b} h_a h_b \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \tilde{\phi}_{ab}(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the dilogarithm function defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x dt \left(\frac{\log t}{1-t} + \frac{\log(1-t)}{t} \right). \quad (32)$$

The effective central charges for $r = 1, 2, 3$ are

$$c_{\text{eff}} = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{3}{8} h_1^2 & r = 1, \\ 2 + \frac{2}{9} (h_1^2 + h_1 h_2 + h_2^2) & r = 2, \\ 3 + \frac{3}{64} (3h_1^2 + 4h_2 h_1 + 2h_3 h_1 + 4h_2^2 + 3h_3^2 + 4h_2 h_3) & r = 3. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

The large- θ asymptotic expansion of the ε_a and $\log Q_a$ can be obtained by expanding the kernels ϕ and φ . On the other hand, the asymptotic behaviors of the Y functions and the Q functions can also be computed by the WKB method, where the $e^{-\theta}$ plays the role of the Planck constant. In the following sections, we will illustrate these methods and compare them.

III. LARGE- θ EXPANSION FROM THE TBA SIDE

In this section, we perform the large- θ expansion of $\varepsilon_a(\theta)$ and $\log Q_a$ by expanding the kernels in the corresponding equations. The cases of $r = 2, 3$ will be illustrated in detail. We then derive the boundary condition of $\varepsilon_a(\theta)$ at

$\theta \rightarrow -\infty$ and compute the effective central charge analytically.

A. Large- θ expansion of $\varepsilon_a(\theta)$

At $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, we can expand the kernel of the TBA equation (19), which leads to

$$\varepsilon_a(\theta) = m_a e^\theta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_a^{(n)} e^{-n\theta}. \quad (34)$$

Since the expansion of the kernel differs for different r , we will illustrate it for the cases of $r = 2, 3$.

1. $r=2$

The matrix of kernel ϕ is given by

$$\phi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{2 \cosh(\theta)+3}{2 \cosh(2\theta)+1} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{2 \cosh(\theta)-3}{2 \cosh(2\theta)+1} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{2 \cosh(\theta)-3}{2 \cosh(2\theta)+1} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{2 \cosh(\theta)+3}{2 \cosh(2\theta)+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

where $\phi_{11} = \phi_{22}$ and $\phi_{12} = \phi_{21}$. Expanding them at $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{(1)} &= m_2^{(1)} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta} (L_1(\theta) + L_2(\theta)) d\theta, \\ m_1^{(2)} &= -m_2^{(2)} = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\theta} (L_1(\theta) - L_2(\theta)) d\theta, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

It is interesting to note that the subleading contribution $m_1^{(1)}$ is related to the effective central charge (30) by

$$m_1^{(1)} = -\frac{\pi\sqrt{3}}{12m_1} c_{\text{eff}}, \quad (37)$$

where we have used the constraints (18) on the masses, i.e., $m_1 = m_2$ for $r = 2$.

2. $r=3$

The matrix of kernel is given by

$$\phi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \cosh(\theta) + (\sqrt{2}-1) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} & -\frac{(\sqrt{2}-2) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} & \frac{-2 \cosh(\theta) + (\sqrt{2}-1) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} \\ -\frac{(\sqrt{2}-2) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} & \frac{2((\sqrt{2}-1) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2})}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} & -\frac{(\sqrt{2}-2) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} \\ \frac{-2 \cosh(\theta) + (\sqrt{2}-1) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} & -\frac{(\sqrt{2}-2) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} & \frac{2 \cosh(\theta) + (\sqrt{2}-1) \cosh(2\theta) + \sqrt{2}}{\pi(\cosh(\theta) + \cosh(3\theta))} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

where the independent elements are ϕ_{11} , ϕ_{12} , ϕ_{13} , ϕ_{21} , and ϕ_{22} . Expanding the kernel at $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, we find, at the order of $e^{-\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{(1)} &= m_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} m_2^{(1)} = -\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta} (L_1(\theta) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} L_2(\theta) + L_3(\theta)) d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

At the order of $e^{-2\theta}$,

$$m_1^{(2)} = -m_3^{(2)} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\theta} (L_1(\theta) - L_3(\theta)) d\theta, \quad m_2^{(2)} = 0. \quad (40)$$

$m_1^{(1)}$ is related to the effective central charge (30) by

$$m_1^{(1)} = -\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)\pi}{6m_1} c_{\text{eff}}, \quad (41)$$

where we have also used (18), i.e., $\sqrt{2}m_1 = \sqrt{2}m_3 = m_2$ for $r = 3$.

B. Large- θ Expansion Of The Q Function

Expanding the kernel φ in the integral equations for Q functions (25), we obtain the large- θ expansion of $\log Q_a$:

$$-\log Q_a = q_a e^{\theta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_a^{(n)} e^{-n\theta}. \quad (42)$$

Similarly, we can find how $q_1^{(1)}$ is related to the effective central charge. We will illustrate the expansions for the cases of $r = 2$ and $r = 3$.

1. $r=2$

The matrix φ reads

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2 \cosh \theta - 1} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2 \cosh \theta + 1} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2 \cosh \theta + 1} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2 \cosh \theta - 1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

We expand the kernel at large θ . At the order of $e^{-\theta}$, $q_1^{(1)}$ and $q_2^{(1)}$ are related to the effective central charge (30) as

$$q_1^{(1)} = q_2^{(1)} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta} (L_1(\theta) + L_2(\theta)) d\theta = -\frac{\pi\sqrt{3}}{12m_1} c_{\text{eff}}, \quad (44)$$

where $m_1 = m_2$ is used. At the order of $e^{-2\theta}$, the coefficients read

$$q_1^{(2)} = -q_2^{(2)} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\theta} (L_1(\theta) - L_2(\theta)) d\theta. \quad (45)$$

2. $r=3$

The matrix φ is given by

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\sqrt{2} \cosh(\theta)+1)}{2\pi \cosh(2\theta)} & \frac{1}{2\pi \cosh(\theta)} & \frac{(\sqrt{2} \cosh(\theta)-1)}{2\pi \cosh(2\theta)} \\ \frac{1}{2\pi \cosh(\theta)} & \frac{\sqrt{2} \cosh(\theta)}{\pi \cosh(2\theta)} & \frac{1}{2\pi \cosh(\theta)} \\ \frac{(\sqrt{2} \cosh(\theta)-1)}{2\pi \cosh(2\theta)} & \frac{1}{2\pi \cosh(\theta)} & \frac{(\sqrt{2} \cosh(\theta)+1)}{2\pi \cosh(2\theta)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

Expanding φ at large θ , the first several coefficients read

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^{(1)} &= q_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} q_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta} (L_1(\theta) + \sqrt{2} L_2(\theta) + L_3(\theta)) d\theta, \\ q_1^{(2)} &= -q_3^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\theta} (L_1(\theta) - L_3(\theta)) d\theta, \quad q_2^{(2)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The subleading contribution $q_1^{(1)}$ is related to the effective central charge (30) as

$$q_1^{(1)} = -\frac{\pi}{6\sqrt{2}m_1} c_{\text{eff}}, \quad (48)$$

where we have used $\sqrt{2}m_1 = \sqrt{2}m_3 = m_2$.

C. Boundary condition at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$

We then study the boundary condition of Q and Y functions at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$, where the solution of the ODE (4) can be expressed as

$$\psi_{\lambda_i} \sim e^{\lambda_i y}, \quad \theta \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (49)$$

Here, λ_i are the solutions of $(-1)^{r+2} \lambda_i^{r+1} - \sum_{a=2}^r p_a \lambda_i^{r+1-a} + P^{r+1} = 0$ ordered by $\text{Re}(\lambda_1) > \text{Re}(\lambda_2) > \dots > \text{Re}(\lambda_{r+1})$, which satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \lambda_i = 0$. Using the basis $\{\psi_{\lambda_i}\}$, $\psi_{-,0}$ and $\psi_{+,a}$ can be expanded by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-,0} &= \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} W[\psi_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{m-1}}, \psi_{-,0}, \psi_{\lambda_{m+1}}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{r+1}}] \psi_{\lambda_m}, \\ \psi_{+,a} &= \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} W[\psi_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{m-1}}, \psi_{+,a}, \psi_{\lambda_{m+1}}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{r+1}}] \psi_{\lambda_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

According to the properties of the coefficients under $\Omega_{\pm}$, the coefficients can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} W[\psi_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{m-1}}, \psi_{-,0}, \psi_{\lambda_{m+1}}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{r+1}}] &= e^{(r+1) \left(\sum_i \lambda_i - \lambda_m \right) \theta} A_{-,i} (e^{2(r+1)\theta}), \\ W[\psi_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{m-1}}, \psi_{+,a}, \psi_{\lambda_{m+1}}, \dots, \psi_{\lambda_{r+1}}] &= e^{-(r+1) \left(\sum_i \lambda_i - \lambda_m \right) \left(\theta + \frac{2a\pi i}{r+1} \right)} A_{+,i} (e^{2(r+1)\theta}), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where $A_{\pm,i}$ are invariant under the rotation $\Omega_{\pm}$. See [36] for the case of $r=1$. Substituting the expansions into the Q functions and Y functions, one finds the leading contributions of $\log Q_a$ and $\log Y_a$:

$$\begin{aligned} \log Q_a &\sim -2(r+1) \sum_{i=1}^a \lambda_i \theta, \\ \log Y_a &\sim -2(r+1) (\lambda_a - \lambda_{a+1}) \theta, \quad \theta \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We, thus, find the coefficients h_a in the boundary conditions (27) are given by

$$h_a = 2(r+1)(\lambda_a - \lambda_{a+1}). \quad (53)$$

Substituting h_a and λ_i into the effective central charge (30), we find

$$c_{\text{eff}} = r + 12 \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \lambda_i^2 = \begin{cases} 1 + 24P^2 & r=1, \\ r + 24p_2 & \text{even } r, \\ r - 24p_2 & \text{odd } r. \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

IV. LARGE- θ EXPANSION FROM THE WKB SIDE

In this section, we compute the asymptotic behaviors of the Q functions using the WKB expansion at $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, which will be compared with the large- θ expansion obtained from the TBA side.

We compute the asymptotic behavior of the $\log Q_a$ by using the WKB method. The WKB expansions at $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ start with the ansatz $\psi(y, \theta) = \exp(\int_{-\infty}^y S(x, \theta) dx)$, where $S(y, \theta) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} e^{-n\theta} S_n(y)$. Substituting this ansatz into (4), we obtain the Riccati-type equation

$$(-1)^{r+2} [\partial_y + S(y, \theta)]^r S(y, \theta) - \sum_{a=2}^r p_a [\partial_y + S(y, \theta)]^{r-a} S(y, \theta) + e^{(r+1)\theta} \cosh y + P^{r+1} = 0. \quad (55)$$

The first two orders are given by

$$S_{-1}^{r+1} = (-1)^{r+1} \cosh y, \quad S_0(y) = -\frac{r}{2} \partial_y \log S_{-1}(y). \quad (56)$$

Higher-order expansion will depend on the value of r . In particular, the P dependence will appear at S_r . At the leading order of large θ , the WKB solution of (4) reads

$$\psi(y, \theta) \sim N \exp \left(e^\theta \int_{-\infty}^y (-1)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} (\cosh x)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} dx \right), \quad (57)$$

where the branch should be chosen to match the asymptotic behaviors of $\psi_{\pm}$ given in (5) and (6). The Q functions connect ψ_- with ψ_+ . Evaluating this connection at certain value of y , the asymptotic behavior of $\log Q_1$ can be computed. At leading order, we find $\log Q_1 \sim e^\theta I$, where the function I is given by

$$I := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cosh x)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} - 2^{-\frac{1}{r+1}} (e^{-\frac{x}{r+1}} + e^{\frac{x}{r+1}}) dx = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-\frac{1}{2(r+1)})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2(r+1)})}. \quad (58)$$

See [37] for the case of $r = 1$. The function I is nothing but $-q_1$. Other q_a and m_a can be computed by using the constraints (23) and (24). Higher-order corrections of the Q function can be computed by substituting higher-order terms in the WKB ansatz. Since the detailed form of the higher-order corrections of the WKB ansatz depends on r , we will illustrate this in the cases of $r = 2, 3$. The computation for other values of r can be performed in a similar way.

A. $r = 2$

For later convenience, we shift θ by $i\pi/3$, which leads to a minus sign before the $e^{3\theta}$ term in the ODE and, thus, avoids the $(-1)^{1/3}$ in the solution ψ_- (6). We denote the WKB ansatz after the shift by $\psi(y, \theta + \frac{\pi i}{3}) = \exp(\int_{-\infty}^y \tilde{S}(x, \theta) dx)$. Here, $\tilde{S}(x, \theta) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} e^{-n\theta} \tilde{S}_n(x)$, and $\tilde{S}(y, \theta) = S(y, \theta + \frac{\pi i}{r+1}) = S(y + i\pi, \theta)$. The shifted solution $\psi_{-,0}(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3})$ can be expanded by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-,0} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) &= W_{(0),0,1} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) \psi_{+,-1} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) \\ &\quad + W_{-1,(0),1} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) \psi_{+,0} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) \\ &\quad + W_{-1,0,(0)} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) \psi_{+,1} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Since the Wronskian is independent of y , we can evaluate it at large y . At $y \rightarrow \infty$, only the third term cannot be ignored, which behaves as $\psi_{+,1}(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3}) \sim -N_+ e^{-\theta - \frac{\pi}{3}} e^{2 - \frac{1}{3} 3e^{\theta + \frac{\pi}{3}}}$. The coefficient of $\psi_{+,1}(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3})$ is nothing but $Q_1(\theta)$ by noting $W_{-1,0,(0)}(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3}) = W_{0,1,(0)}(\theta) = -Q_1(\theta)$. We, thus, can compute the Q function by

$$Q_1(\theta) = -\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{-,0}(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3})}{\psi_{+,1}(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3})}. \quad (60)$$

The numerator $\psi_{-,0}$ can be extended to $y \rightarrow \infty$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-,0} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) &= \tilde{N}_- \exp \left[-\frac{3}{\sqrt{32}} e^{\theta - \frac{\pi}{3}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{32}} e^{\theta + \frac{\pi}{3}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_{-\infty}^y \tilde{S}(x, \theta) - e^\theta \frac{1}{\sqrt{32}} (e^{-\frac{x}{3}} + e^{\frac{x}{3}}) dx \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where the branch of $\tilde{S}_{-1}(x)$ in $\tilde{S}(x)$ should be chosen to match the asymptotic behavior of $\psi_{-,0}$ at infinity; see (6). The term $(e^{\theta + \frac{\pi}{3}} + e^{\theta - \frac{\pi}{3}})/\sqrt{32}$ in the integrand is introduced to cancel the divergence of the integral. Substituting the first two orders of $\tilde{S}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{-,0} \left(\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} \right) &= N_- \frac{1}{e^{\theta + \frac{\pi i}{3} + \frac{\pi}{3}}} \exp \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{32}} e^{\theta + \frac{\pi}{3}} + e^\theta I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\theta}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where we have rescaled the normalization factor to N_- . Substituting (62) and $\psi_{+,1}$ into Q_1 (60), the leading orders of $\log Y_1$ and $\log Q_1$ are found to be

$$m_1 = q_1 = -I = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-\frac{1}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})}. \quad (63)$$

The higher-order corrections of the Q function can be computed by using the higher-order terms of the WKB ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_1 &= \frac{p_2}{3\tilde{S}_{-1}} - \frac{(\partial_y \tilde{S}_{-1})^2}{\tilde{S}_{-1}^3} + \frac{2\partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_{-1}}{3\tilde{S}_{-1}^2}, \\ \tilde{S}_2 &= -\frac{P^3}{3\tilde{S}_{-1}^2} + \frac{p_2}{3} \frac{\partial_y \tilde{S}_{-1}}{\tilde{S}_{-1}^3} - \frac{2(\partial_y \tilde{S}_{-1})^3}{\tilde{S}_{-1}^5} + \frac{2\partial_y \tilde{S}_{-1} \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_{-1}}{\tilde{S}_{-1}^4} - \frac{\partial_y^3 \tilde{S}_{-1}}{3\tilde{S}_{-1}^3}, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where the P dependence first appears at $\tilde{S}_2$. Substituting these into $\tilde{S}$ of (61), the higher-order corrections of $\log Q_1$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \log Q_1(\theta) &= e^\theta I + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \tilde{S}_0 + e^{-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \tilde{S}_1 + e^{-2\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \tilde{S}_2 + \dots \\ &= e^\theta \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-\frac{1}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} + 0 + e^{-\theta} \frac{(1+12p_2)}{36} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} - e^{-2\theta} \frac{4\sqrt{3}P^3}{9} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{3})} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

where the integrals of $\tilde{S}_1$ and $\tilde{S}_2$ should produce $-q_1^{(1)}$ and $-q_1^{(2)}$, respectively. Comparing this with the relation (44), the effective central charge is given by

$$c_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{12m_1}{\pi\sqrt{3}} q_1^{(1)} = 2 + 24p_2, \quad (66)$$

which reproduces (54) exactly for $r = 2$. The P dependence first appears at the order of $e^{-2\theta}$ and the p_2 dependence first appears at the order of $e^{-\theta}$. However, the analytical calculation of $q_1^{(2)}$ (45) from the TBA is not clear so far. On the other hand, we can solve the TBA equations numerically and test the expansion of Q_1 function (42) against the WKB expansion (65). In Table I, we compare the coefficients in the large- θ expansions of $Q_1(\theta)$ obtained from the TBA equations and the WKB expansion, which shows a precise coincidence.

TABLE I. Comparison of the large- θ expansions of $Q_1(\theta)$ based on the TBA equations and the WKB expansion at $p_2 = 1/2$, $P = 1/3$, and $r = 2$. The TBA equations are solved numerically by using the discretized Fourier transformation with 2^{12} points and the cutoff $(-250, 250)$.

n	$(q_1^{(n)})_{\text{WKB}}$	$(q_1^{(n)})_{\text{TBA}}$
1	$-\frac{\pi\sqrt{3}}{12m_1} \times 14$	$-\frac{\pi\sqrt{3}}{12m_1} \times 13.999866$
2	0.05193267	0.05193206

B. $r = 3$

$\psi_{-,0}$ can be expanded by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-,0} &= W_{(0),0,1,2}\psi_{+,-1} + W_{-1,(0),1,2}\psi_{+,0} + W_{-1,0,(0),2}\psi_{+,1} \\ &\quad + W_{-1,0,1,(0)}\psi_{+,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where only $\psi_{+,2}$ cannot be ignored at $y \rightarrow \infty$. In a similar way as the previous example, we can evaluate the Q function at $y \rightarrow \infty$ by

$$Q_1 = W_{-1,0,1,(0)} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{-,0}}{\psi_{+,2}}, \quad (68)$$

where $\psi_{+,2} \sim -iN_+ e^{-\frac{3}{2}\theta - \frac{3}{8}y} \exp(2^{-\frac{1}{4}} 4e^{\theta + \frac{y}{4}})$. The numerator $\psi_{-,0}$ can be evaluated at $y \rightarrow \infty$ by

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{-,0} = N_- \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{3\theta + \frac{1}{4}y}}} \exp(2^{-\frac{1}{4}} 4e^{\theta + \frac{y}{4}} + e^\theta I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\theta})). \quad (69)$$

Substituting (69) and $\psi_{+,1}$ into Q_1 , the leading contribution of $\log Q_1$ is given by

$$q_1 = -I = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-\frac{1}{8})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{8})}. \quad (70)$$

By substituting the higher-order correction of the WKB ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= -\frac{p_2}{4S_{-1}} + \frac{5\partial_y^2 S_{-1}}{4S_{-1}^2} - \frac{15(\partial_y S_{-1})^2}{8S_{-1}^3}, \\
S_2 &= -\frac{p_3}{4S_{-1}^2} + \frac{-p_2\partial_y S_{-1} - \frac{5}{2}\partial_y^3 S_{-1}}{4S_{-1}^3} + \frac{15\partial_y S_{-1}\partial_y^2 S_{-1}}{4S_{-1}^4} - \frac{15(\partial_y S_{-1})^3}{4S_{-1}^5}, \\
S_3 &= \frac{p_2^2 + 8P^4}{32S_{-1}^3} + \frac{-12p_3\partial_y S_{-1} - p_2\partial_y^2 S_{-1} + \partial_y^4 S_{-1}}{16S_{-1}^4} + \frac{75(\partial_y S_{-1})^2\partial_y^2 S_{-1}}{32S_{-1}^6} \\
&\quad + \frac{p_2(\partial_y S_{-1})^2 - 20\partial_y^3 S_{-1}\partial_y S_{-1} - 5(\partial_y^2 S_{-1})^2}{32S_{-1}^5} - \frac{225(\partial_y S_{-1})^4}{128S_{-1}^7}, \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

into $\psi_{-,0}$ (69), we can compute the higher-order correction of $\log Q_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\log Q_1 &= e^\theta I + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy S_0 + e^{-\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy S_1 + e^{-2\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy S_2 + e^{-3\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy S_3 + \dots \\
&= e^\theta \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-\frac{1}{8})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{8})} + 0 + e^{-\theta} \frac{(1-8p_2)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})}{32\Gamma(\frac{5}{8})} - e^{-2\theta} \frac{p_3\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}{4\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})} \\
&\quad + e^{-3\theta} \frac{(80p_2(4p_2-1) + 2560P^4 + 41)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{8})}{10240\Gamma(\frac{7}{8})} + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

where the p_2 , p_3 , and P dependence first appears at the order of $e^{-\theta}$, $e^{-2\theta}$, and $e^{-3\theta}$, respectively. Comparing this with the relation (48), the effective central charge is given by

$$c_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{6\sqrt{2}m_1}{\pi}q_1^{(1)} = 3 - 24p_2, \tag{73}$$

where we have used $m_1 = (2 - \sqrt{2})q_1$. This reproduces (54) exactly for $r = 3$. In Table II, we compare the coefficients $q_1^{(1)}$, $q_1^{(2)}$, and $q_1^{(3)}$ computed from the TBA approach and the WKB expansions, which also shows a precise match.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we have developed the ODE-IM correspondence for the higher-order Mathieu equation arising

TABLE II. Comparison of the large- θ expansions of Q function based on the TBA equations and the WKB expansion at $p_2 = -2$, $p_3 = -1$, $P = 1/2$, and $r = 3$. The TBA equations are solved numerically by using the discretized Fourier transformation with 2^{12} points and the cutoff $(-250, 250)$.

n	$(q_1^{(n)})_{\text{WKB}}$	$(q_1^{(n)})_{\text{TBA}}$
1	$-\frac{\pi}{6\sqrt{2}m_1} \times 51$	$-\frac{\pi}{6\sqrt{2}m_1} \times 51.000020$
2	-1.3110288	-1.3110287
3	-0.61790806	-0.61790783

from the quantum SW curve of the pure $SU(r+1)$ SYM (4). Starting from the subdominant solutions of the higher-order Mathieu equation, we constructed the Q and Y systems and converted them into the TBA equations. The dependence on the moduli parameters (P and p_a) is encoded in the boundary conditions of the Y function at $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$, which can be imposed into the TBA by using the Zamolodchikov's trick. Based on these boundary data, we have computed the effective central charge analytically, which also controls the subleading term in the large- θ expansion of the Y function. We further compared the large- θ expansion of the Q function derived from the TBA equations with that obtained from the WKB method. The agreement is exact at subleading order, where the WKB side reproduces the effective central charge, and it persists at higher orders, as confirmed by high-precision numerical tests.

The framework developed here opens a natural route toward more general quantum SW curves and their associated integrable structures. One immediate direction is to extend the present analysis to generalized higher-order Mathieu equations with potentials of the form $\mu_+ e^{\theta/b} + \mu_- e^{\theta b}$, which should be relevant for exploring the integrable structures of the corresponding CFTs. In this paper, we have transformed the quantum SW curve into the higher-order Mathieu equation. It would be worthwhile to revisit the problem by keeping the ODE in its original quantum SW curve form. In this formulation, the Y system and TBA equations will be more complicated due to the shifts of the moduli parameters. Such TBA equations are expected to encode the resurgent properties of the quantum

periods in the strong coupling of the SW theory. See the case of $r = 1$ in [37]. To extend the TBA into the whole moduli space, one should also consider the wall crossing of the corresponding TBA system.

It would also be interesting to extend our construction to $SU(r+1)$ quantum SW curve with matter, where regular singularities will be incorporated. Another promising direction is to consider an alternative quantization of the SW curve (1) by taking $y \rightarrow \epsilon \partial_p$. This prescription leads to difference equations rather than ordinary differential equations and, therefore, provides another framework in which exact WKB methods and the ODE-IM correspondence may be unified. Related developments can be found in [48–52].

A related nonlinear integral equation formulation of the higher-rank Mathieu problem was obtained in the study of analytic Langlands correspondence [53]. Although the equation derived there appears different from the ODE-IM-type equations obtained in this work, both approaches seem to describe the same underlying spectral problem. Understanding the precise relation between these Baxter-type and ODE-IM-type formulations is an interesting problem that we leave for future work. This comparison may reveal a deeper duality structure, possibly related to analytic Langlands correspondence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Davide Fioravanti, Daniele Gregori, Jie Gu, Katsushi Ito, Yong Li, Marco Rossi, Jingjing Yang, Wenhao Yuan, and Hao Zou for useful discussions. H. S. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12405087), Henan Postdoc Foundation (Grant No. 22120055), and the Startup Funding of Zhengzhou University (Grants No. 121-35220049 and No. 121-35220581).

DATA AVAILABILITY

There are no publicly available research data or software supporting this manuscript. Requests for further information or data should be sent to the authors.

APPENDIX: NORMALIZATION

In this section, we will explain why the determinant in Q_{r+1} is equal to 1. We take the case where r is even as the example; the case where r is odd could be done using the same procedure. Using the expansion (9) of $\psi_{-,a}$, we can write the expansions for $a = 0, 1, \dots, r$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{-,0} \\ \psi_{-,1} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{-,r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{(0),-\frac{r}{2}+2,\dots,\frac{r}{2}+1} & W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1,(0),\dots,\frac{r}{2}+1} & \cdots & W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1,\dots,\frac{r}{2},(0)} \\ W_{(1),-\frac{r}{2}+2,\dots,\frac{r}{2}+1} & W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1,(1),\dots,\frac{r}{2}+1} & \cdots & W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1,\dots,\frac{r}{2},(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{(r),-\frac{r}{2}+2,\dots,\frac{r}{2}+1} & W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1,(r),\dots,\frac{r}{2}+1} & \cdots & W_{-\frac{r}{2}+1,\dots,\frac{r}{2},(r)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+1} \\ \psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+2} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Taking the Wronskian of both sides, the matrix equation turns into

$$W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{-,1}, \dots, \psi_{-,r}] = \det(\cdots) \times W[\psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+1}, \psi_{+,-\frac{r}{2}+2}, \dots, \psi_{+,\frac{r}{2}+1}], \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $(\cdots)$ represents the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ coefficient matrix and the two Wronskians are 1 under our normalization. Therefore, the determinant of the coefficient matrix $\det(\cdots) = 1$. The equivalence between the determinant of the coefficient matrix and the determinant inside Q_{r+1} can be revealed by expanding the $\psi_{-\frac{r}{2}+1}, \psi_{-\frac{r}{2}+2}, \dots$ on the left of $\psi_{-,a}$ in the coefficients using the following expansion:

$$\psi_{+,a} = \sum_{\sigma=a+1}^{a+r+1} W[\psi_{+,a+1}, \dots, \psi_{+,\sigma-1}, \psi_{+,a}, \psi_{+,\sigma+1}, \dots, \psi_{+,a+r+1}] \psi_{+,\sigma} \quad (\text{A3})$$

We will illustrate this procedure in a simple example, the case of $r = 2$.

1. $r = 2$

When $r = 2$, using the expansion (9), $\psi_{-,a}$ can be expanded by

$$\psi_{-,a} = W_{(a),1,2} \psi_{+,0} + W_{0,(a),2} \psi_{+,1} + W_{0,1,(a)} \psi_{+,2}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Substituting this expansion into $W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{-,1}, \psi_{-,2}]$, we have

$$W[\psi_{-,0}, \psi_{-,1}, \psi_{-,2}] = \det \begin{pmatrix} W_{0,1,(0)} & W_{0,1,(1)} & W_{0,1,(2)} \\ W_{(0),1,2} & W_{(1),1,2} & W_{(2),1,2} \\ W_{0,(0),2} & W_{0,(1),2} & W_{0,(2),2} \end{pmatrix} \times W[\psi_{+,0}, \psi_{+,1}, \psi_{+,2}], \quad (\text{A5})$$

where the elements in the first two rows of the coefficient matrix can already be interpreted as $-Q_1^{[\pm j]}$. For the

elements in the third row $W_{0,(a),2}$, we expand the $\psi_{+,0}$ on the left of $\psi_{-,a}$ using the expansion

$$\psi_{+,0} = W_{0,2,3}\psi_{+,1} + W_{1,0,3}\psi_{+,2} + W_{1,2,0}\psi_{+,3}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Substituting the expansion, $W_{0,(a),2}$ turns into

$$W_{0,(a),2} = W_{0,2,3}W_{1,(a),2} + W_{1,0,3}W_{2,(a),2} + W_{1,2,0}W_{3,(a),2}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where $W_{1,(a),2}$ coincides with the second row and $W_{2,(a),2} = 0$. The only term left is $W_{3,(a),2} = W_{(a),2,3} = -Q_1^{[+2-a]}$. Therefore, the determinant in (A5)

is converted into

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} W_{0,1,(0)} & W_{0,1,(1)} & W_{0,1,(2)} \\ W_{(0),1,2} & W_{(1),1,2} & W_{(2),1,2} \\ W_{0,(0),2} & W_{0,(1),2} & W_{0,(2),2} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} -Q_1 & -Q_1^{[-1]} & -Q_1^{[-2]} \\ -Q_1^{[+1]} & -Q_1 & -Q_1^{[-1]} \\ -Q_1^{[+2]} & -Q_1^{[+1]} & -Q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

which proves the equivalence between the determinant of the coefficient matrix and the determinant in the Q_3 .

-
- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Electric—magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B426**, 19 (1994).
 - [2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in $N = 2$ supersymmetric QCD, *Nucl. Phys.* **B431**, 484 (1994).
 - [3] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution, *Phys. Lett. B* **355**, 466 (1995).
 - [4] E. J. Martinec and N. P. Warner, Integrable systems and supersymmetric gauge theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B459**, 97 (1996).
 - [5] R. Donagi and E. Witten, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems, *Nucl. Phys.* **B460**, 299 (1996).
 - [6] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, Quantization of integrable systems and four dimensional gauge theories, in *16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics* (2010), pp. 265–289, [arXiv:0908.4052](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.4052).
 - [7] N. Nekrasov, V. Pestun, and S. Shatashvili, Quantum geometry and quiver gauge theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **357**, 519 (2018).
 - [8] P. Dorey and R. Tateo, Anharmonic oscillators, the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz, and nonlinear integral equations, *J. Phys. A* **32**, L419 (1999).
 - [9] V. V. Bazhanov, S. L. Lukyanov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Spectral determinants for Schrodinger equation and Q operators of conformal field theory, *J. Stat. Phys.* **102**, 567 (2001).
 - [10] P. C. Argyres and M. R. Douglas, New phenomena in $SU(3)$ supersymmetric gauge theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B448**, 93 (1995).
 - [11] P. C. Argyres, M. R. Plesser, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, New $N = 2$ superconformal field theories in four-dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B461**, 71 (1996).
 - [12] D. Gaiotto, Opers and TBA, [arXiv:1403.6137](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.6137).
 - [13] K. Ito and H. Shu, ODE/IM correspondence and the Argyres-Douglas theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2017) 071.
 - [14] K. Ito, M. Mariño, and H. Shu, TBA equations and resurgent quantum mechanics, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2019) 228.
 - [15] A. Voros, The return of the quartic oscillator. The complex WKB method, *Ann. l'I. H. P. Phys. Théor.* **39**, 211 (1983), https://numdam.org/item/AIHPA_1983__39_3_211_0/.
 - [16] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, Four-dimensional wall-crossing via three-dimensional field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **299**, 163 (2010).
 - [17] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation, *Adv. Math.* **234**, 239 (2013).
 - [18] S. Cecotti and M. Del Zotto, Y systems, Q systems, and $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QFT, *J. Phys. A* **47**, 474001 (2014).
 - [19] L. F. Alday, J. Maldacena, A. Sever, and P. Vieira, Y-system for Scattering Amplitudes, *J. Phys. A* **43**, 485401 (2010).
 - [20] Y. Hatsuda, K. Ito, K. Sakai, and Y. Satoh, Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz equations for minimal surfaces in AdS_3 , *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2010) 108.
 - [21] J. Caetano and J. Toledo, χ -systems for correlation functions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2019) 050.
 - [22] H. Ouyang and H. Shu, TBA-like equations for non-planar scattering amplitude/Wilson lines duality at strong coupling, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2022) 099.
 - [23] K. Ito and H. Shu, TBA equations for the Schrödinger equation with a regular singularity, *J. Phys. A* **53**, 335201 (2020).
 - [24] K. Ito and J. Yang, Exact WKB analysis and TBA equations for the stark effect, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2024**, 013A02 (2024).
 - [25] K. Ito and J. Yang, TBA equations and quantum periods for D-type Argyres-Douglas theories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2025) 047.
 - [26] L. Hollands and A. Neitzke, Exact WKB and abelianization for the T_3 equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **380**, 131 (2020).
 - [27] K. Ito, T. Kondo, K. Kuroda, and H. Shu, WKB periods for higher order ODE and TBA equations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2021) 167.

- [28] K. Ito, T. Kondo, and H. Shu, Wall-crossing of TBA equations and WKB periods for the third order ODE, *Nucl. Phys. B* **979**, 115788 (2022).
- [29] K. Ito and H. Shu, TBA equations and exact WKB analysis in deformed supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2024) 122.
- [30] K. Ito, H. Shu, and J. Yang, Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz and wall crossing for deformed supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev. D* **113**, 046002 (2026).
- [31] K. Ito and H. Shu, *ODE/IM Correspondence and Quantum Periods*, Vol. 51 of SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics (Springer, New York, 2025), 10.1007/978-981-96-0499-9.
- [32] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Nekrasov functions and exact Bohr-Zommerfeld integrals, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2010) 040.
- [33] Y. Zenkevich, Nekrasov prepotential with fundamental matter from the quantum spin chain, *Phys. Lett. B* **701**, 630 (2011).
- [34] A. Grassi, J. Gu, and M. Mariño, Non-perturbative approaches to the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2020) 106.
- [35] Q. Hao, Exact WKB of solutions by Borel summation and open TBA, [arXiv:2507.06922](#).
- [36] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Generalized Mathieu equations and Liouville TBA, in *Quantum Field Theories in Two Dimensions* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2012), Vol. 2.
- [37] D. Fioravanti and D. Gregori, Integrability and cycles of deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **804**, 135376 (2020).
- [38] A. Grassi, Q. Hao, and A. Neitzke, Exact WKB methods in $SU(2)$ $N_f = 1$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2022) 046.
- [39] K. Imaizumi, Quantum periods and TBA equations for $\mathcal{N} = 2SU(2)N_f = 2$ SQCD with flavor symmetry, *Phys. Lett. B* **816**, 136270 (2021).
- [40] D. Fioravanti, D. Gregori, and H. Shu, Integrability, susy $SU(2)$ matter gauge theories and black holes, *Nucl. Phys. B* **1021**, 117200 (2025).
- [41] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Nekrasov functions from exact BS periods: The case of $SU(N)$, *J. Phys. A* **43**, 195401 (2010).
- [42] A. Popolitov, On relation between Nekrasov functions and BS periods in pure $SU(N)$ case, [arXiv:1001.1407](#).
- [43] D. Fioravanti, H. Poghosyan, and R. Poghossian, T , Q and periods in $SU(3)$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2020) 049.
- [44] F. Yan, Exact WKB and the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve for 4d $N = 2$ pure $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills. Abelianization, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2022) 164.
- [45] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Yankielowicz, and S. Theisen, Simple singularities and $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **344**, 169 (1995).
- [46] P. C. Argyres and A. E. Faraggi, The vacuum structure and spectrum of $N = 2$ supersymmetric $SU(N)$ gauge theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3931 (1995).
- [47] P. Dorey, C. Dunning, and R. Tateo, The ODE/IM correspondence, *J. Phys. A* **40**, R205 (2007).
- [48] A. Grassi and M. Mariño, A solvable deformation of quantum mechanics, *SIGMA* **15**, 025 (2019).
- [49] F. Del Monte and P. Longhi, The threefold way to quantum periods: WKB, TBA equations and q-Painlevé, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 112 (2023).
- [50] S. Baldino, WKB methods for finite difference Schrodinger equations, Ph.D. thesis, Lisbon, IST Maths, 2023, [arXiv:2410.14628](#).
- [51] F. Del Monte and P. Longhi, Monodromies of second order q -difference equations from the WKB approximation, [arXiv:2406.00175](#).
- [52] J. Gu and M. Marino, Thou shalt not tunnel: Complex instantons and tunneling suppression in deformed quantum mechanics, [arXiv:2602.20576](#).
- [53] J. Baerman, G. Ravazzini, and J. Teschner, Higher-rank Mathieu opers, Toda chain, and analytic Langlands correspondence, [arXiv:2512.18744](#).