Synthesis of the isotopes of elements 118 and 116 in the $^{249}$Cf and $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca fusion reactions
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The decay properties of $^{290}$116 and $^{291}$116, and the dependence of their production cross sections on the excitation energies of the compound nucleus, $^{290}$116, have been measured in the $^{249}$Cm($^{48}$Ca,$x$n)$^{293}$116 reaction. We performed the element 118 experiment at two projectile energies, corresponding to $^{297}$118 compound nucleus excitation energies of $E^\ast = 29.2 \pm 2.5$ and $34.4 \pm 2.3$ MeV. During an irradiation with a total beam dose of $4.1 \times 10^{19}$ $^{48}$Ca projectiles, three similar decay chains consisting of two or three consecutive $\alpha$ decays and terminated by a spontaneous fission (SF) with high total kinetic energy of about 230 MeV were observed. The three decay chains originated from the even-even isotope $^{294}$118 ($T_\alpha = 11.65 \pm 0.06$ MeV, $T_\alpha = 0.89^{+1.07}_{-0.31}$ ms) produced in the $3n$-evaporation channel of the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca reaction with a maximum cross section of $0.5^{+1.6}_{-0.3}$ pb.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of an enhanced stability in the region of the superheavy nuclei, which has been developed in various theoretical approaches and hypothesized for about 40 years, has been validated by recent experiments. Decay energies and lifetimes of 28 new nuclides with $Z = 104–116$ and $N = 162–177$ that have been synthesized in the complete-fusion reactions of $^{238}$U, $^{242}$Pu, $^{243}$Am, and $^{245,246}$Cm targets with $^{48}$Ca beams indicate a considerable increase of the stability of the heaviest nuclei substantially depends on the magic proton proton number $Z_{\text{shell}}$. The difference between the models increases when the decay properties of the isotopes of elements beyond $Z = 116$ are predicted.

For instance, according to the macroscopic-microscopic model (MM) with $Z_{\text{shell}} = 114$ [4,5], the even-even nucleus with $Z = 118$ and $A = 294$ would undergo $\alpha$ decay with an energy $Q_\alpha = 11.9–12.1$ MeV and a half-life $T_\alpha \approx 0.1$ ms. In the purely microscopic Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) model, with $Z_{\text{shell}} = 124, 126 [6–10]$, the values $Q_\alpha = 11.2–11.6$ MeV and $T_\alpha \approx 1–10$ ms are predicted. The relativistic mean-field (RMF) calculations with $Z_{\text{shell}} = 120 [11,12]$ give $Q_\alpha = 11.0–11.2$ MeV and $T_\alpha \approx 10–50$ ms. The decay energies arising in the three calculations covers more than 1 MeV and, accordingly, the half-life value $T_\alpha$ varies by more than two orders of magnitude.

The differences between fission barrier heights that are predicted by various models for the isotopes of element 118 would also significantly influence the survivability of the compound nucleus and, accordingly, the cross section for the production of evaporation residues (ER). Because lower fission barriers are predicted in the MM model, the expected formation cross sections of nuclei with $Z = 118$ should be lower than those of the isotopes of element 114, while the microscopic models with the proton shell at $Z \geq 118$ predict higher fission barriers for $Z = 118$ nuclei which might, on the contrary, result in larger cross sections for $xn$-evaporation channels.

The first attempt to synthesize element 118 was undertaken in 1999 using the $^{208}$Pb($^{86}$Kr,$n$)$^{293}$118 cold fusion reaction. The theoretically expected high fusion-evaporation cross section of the reaction with the magic $^{86}$Kr projectile [13] was later disproved and several experiments yielded a cross section limit of $\sigma_n \leq 0.2$ pb [14–17].

In 2002, we attempted to produce element 118 in the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca reaction at a $^{297}$118 compound nucleus excitation energy of $E^\ast = 29$ MeV, which was formed essentially at the Coulomb barrier of the reaction. In a continuous 2300-h experiment, with an accumulated beam dose of $2.5 \times 10^{19}$ particles, we detected a single decay chain of correlated decays ($\alpha$-$\alpha$-SF) with decay energies and times close to those expected for the even-even isotope $^{294}$118—the product of the $3n$-evaporation channel of the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca reaction [18]. During the next two years, using the $^{238}$U, $^{242,244}$Pu and $^{245,246}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca reactions, we performed a series of experiments to synthesize and determine the decay
properties of a number of isotopes of elements 112, 114 and 116, including the even-even nuclides $^{282,286,286,290,290}_{112,114}$ and $^{291,294}_{116}$—the members of the $^{291,294}_{118}$ decay chain [1,2]. The properties of the nuclei produced in these experiments corroborated the assignment of the first observed event to the decay of $^{294}_{118}$.

In the present work, the investigation of the synthesis of element 118 was continued. In February–March and May–June, 2005, we carried out two experimental runs with the $^{245}_{48}$Cm+$^{48}_{20}$Ca and $^{240}_{48}$Cr+$^{48}_{20}$Ca reactions. These two experiments were motivated by the fact that the compound nuclei $^{293,291,293,297}_{116,116}$ and $^{291}_{118}$ formed in these reactions differ in charge to the front (beam) side. The position-averaged detection for full-energy to the front (beam) side. The position-averaged detection detectors without position sensitivity, forming a box open position-sensitive strips surrounded by eight 4-cm $^a_4$ side (with detection efficiency of 99\%).

The 206Pb ($^{48}_{20}$Ca, $xn$) and $^{nat}_{48}$Yb ($^{48}_{20}$Ca, $xn$), respectively. The energy resolutions were 70–120 keV (depending on strip) for $\alpha$ particles absorbed in the focal-plane detector, 280–410 keV for $\alpha$ particles that escaped this detector with a low energy release and registered by a side detector, and 0.5 MeV for $\alpha$ particles detected only by a side detector (without a focal-plane position signal). Fission fragments from the decay of $^{252}_{92}$No implants produced in the $^{206}_{48}$Pb+$^{48}_{20}$Ca reaction were used for the total kinetic energy (TKE) calibration. The measured fragment energies presented in this work were not corrected for the pulse-height defect of the detectors or for energy losses of escaping fragments in the detectors and the pentane gas filling the detection system. The mean sum energy loss of fission fragments emitted in the SF decay of $^{252}_{92}$No was about 20 MeV. From the data of previously registered SF nuclei in $^{48}_{20}$Ca-induced reactions (see Refs. [1–3] and references therein) it follows that the signals of SF fragments of nuclei heavier than $^{252}_{92}$No are expected to have energies $E_{F1} > 130$ MeV for SF events absorbed only in the focal-plane detector and $E_{F1} + E_{F2} \geq 170$ MeV for fragments registered by both detectors. For most of the strips, the FWHM position resolutions of the signals of correlated decays of nuclei implanted in the detectors were 0.8–1.3 mm for ER-$\alpha$ signals and 0.4–0.8 mm for ER-SF signals. If an $\alpha$ particle was detected by both the focal-plane and a side detector, the position resolution depended on the amplitude of the signal in the focal-plane detector (see, e.g., Fig. 4 in Ref. [18]), but was generally inferior to that obtained for the full-energy signal.

The experimental conditions are summarized in Table I. Excitation energies of the compound nuclei at given projectile energies are calculated using the masses of Ref. [21], taking into account the thickness of the targets and the energy spread of the incident cyclotron beam. The beam energy losses in the separator’s entrance window and target backing (both 1.5-\textmu m Ti foils) and target layer were calculated using available data of Hubert et al. or Northcliffe and Schilling in other cases [22]. For detection of expected sequential decays of the daughter nuclides in the absence of beam-associated background, the beam was switched off after a recoil signal was detected with parameters of implantation energy $E_{ER} = 7–16$ MeV expected for complete-fusion evaporation residues, followed by an $\alpha$-like signal with an energy of 9.9 MeV $\leq E_{\alpha 1} \leq 12.0$ MeV or 9.9 MeV $\leq E_{\alpha 1} \leq 11.3$ MeV for $Z = 118$ and 116 recoils, respectively, in the same strip, within a 1.8–2.5-mm wide position window and a time interval of

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Reaction} & \textbf{Target thickness (mg/cm²)} & \textbf{$E_{beam}$ (MeV)} & \textbf{$E^*$ (MeV)} & \textbf{Beam dose Reference} \\
\hline
$^{249}_{48}$Cf+$^{48}_{20}$Ca & 0.23 & 245 & 26.6–31.7 & 2.5 \times 10^{19} [18] \\
 & 0.34 & 251 & 32.1–36.6 & 1.6 \times 10^{19} this work \\
\hline
$^{245}_{48}$Cm+$^{48}_{20}$Ca & 0.35 & 243 & 30.9–35.0 & 1.2 \times 10^{19} [1] \\
 & 0.34 & 249 & 35.9–39.9 & 5.4 \times 10^{18} this work \\
 & 0.34 & 255 & 40.7–44.8 & 8.3 \times 10^{18} this work \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Target thicknesses corresponding to isotope quantity, reaction-specific lab-frame beam energies in the middle of the target layers, excitation energy intervals and total beam doses for the given reactions.}
\end{table}

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

The experimental set up is analogous to that used in our previous experiments [1–3]. The $^{48}_{20}$Ca-ion beam was accelerated by the U400 cyclotron of the Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research in Dubna. The typical beam intensity at the target was 1.2 pA. The beam energy was determined and controlled by employing a time-of-flight system with a systematic uncertainty of 1 MeV.

The 32-cm² rotating targets consisted of the enriched isotopes $^{249}_{92}$Cf (>98\%) and $^{245}_{92}$Cm (98.7\%) deposited as oxides onto 1.5-\textmu m Ti foils. The enrichment of the target layers was checked periodically by measuring the $^{249}_{92}$Cf and $^{245}_{92}$Cm $\alpha$-particle counting rate.

The 245Cm and 249Cf recoils recoiling from the target were separated in flight from $^{48}_{20}$Ca beam ions, scattered particles and transfer-reaction products by the Dubna gas-filled recoil separator [20]. The transmission efficiency of the separator for $Z = 116$ and 118 nuclei is estimated to be approximately 35\% [20]. ERs passed through a time-of-flight system (TOF) (with detection efficiency of 99.9\%) and were implanted in a 4-cm×12-cm semiconductor detector array with 12 vertical position-sensitive strips surrounded by eight 4-cm×4-cm side detectors without position sensitivity, forming a box open to the front (beam) side. The position-averaged detection efficiency for full-energy $\alpha$ particles emitted in the decays of implanted nuclei was 87\%.

The detection system was tested by registering the recoil nuclei and decays ($\alpha$ or SF) of known isotopes of No and Th, as well as their descendants, produced in the reactions $^{206}_{126}$Pb ($^{48}_{20}$Ca, $xn$) and $^{nat}_{48}$Yb ($^{48}_{20}$Ca, $xn$), respectively. The energy resolutions were 70–120 keV (depending on strip) for
\[ \Delta t \leq 1 \text{ s}. \] If, during the first 1-min beam-off time interval, an \( \alpha \)-particle with \( E_{\alpha} = 9.5-11.15 \text{ MeV} \) was registered in any position of the same strip, the beam-off interval was automatically extended to 12 min.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Experiments with a \( ^{245}\text{Cm} \) target

In two series of \( ^{245}\text{Cm} + ^{48}\text{Ca} \) irradiations, approximately 1990 beam-off intervals occurred, for a total of 33 h. The spectrum of \( \alpha \)-like signals (all events without a registered TOF signal) in all strips in the energy range \( 7 \leq E_\alpha \leq 12 \text{ MeV} \) accumulated over the whole 1000-hour \( ^{245}\text{Cm} + ^{48}\text{Ca} \) experiment is shown in Fig. 1(a). This figure also shows the \( \alpha \)-particle spectrum detected during beam-off time intervals. In the high-energy part of the \( \alpha \)-particle spectrum, where the decays of daughter nuclei of isotopes \( ^{289-291}\text{In} \) (see Table II) are expected, only 11 events were detected. Two of them (shown by arrows), as we will demonstrate in the following discussion, belong to the decay of \( ^{286}\text{In} \), the daughter isotope of \( ^{290}\text{In} \).

The total spectrum of high-energy signals with \( E \geq 50 \text{ MeV} \) (without an associated TOF signal) is presented in Fig. 1(b). In cases when fission signals were registered by both the focal-plane and the side detector, the sum energy is given. In the \( ^{245}\text{Cm} + ^{48}\text{Ca} \) reaction, of the 24 signals detected with energies \( E_\alpha \geq 130 \text{ MeV} \), only 11 events were detected. The measured parameters of the members of the decay chains observed in the \( ^{245}\text{Cm} \) irradiations are presented in the second part of Table II. In these experiments, we registered nine new decay chains of \( ^{290}\text{In} \): five chains at the \( ^{48}\text{Ca} \) energy \( E_{\text{lab}} = 249 \text{ MeV} \), and four decays at the higher beam energy \( E_{\text{lab}} = 255 \text{ MeV} \). We postulate that in three of the decay chains, the \( \alpha \)-particles arising from the decay of \( ^{286}\text{In} \) escaped the detector array with signals in the focal-plane detector below the threshold (\( \approx 1 \text{ MeV} \)). In Table II, such events are marked “Missing \( \alpha \).” Three missing \( \alpha \)-particles out of 23 \( \alpha \)-decays observed during both experiments is entirely consistent with the 87%-efficiency for the detection of \( \alpha \) particles by our detector array. One “missing \( \alpha \)” occurred at \( E_{\text{lab}} = 249 \text{ MeV} \) and two others at \( E_{\text{lab}} = 255 \text{ MeV} \). In the first case, the location of the missing \( \alpha \) within the decay chain of type ER-\( \alpha \)-SF can be easily determined by comparison with the other four chains, and the energies and decay times of the observed \( \alpha \) particle and SF decay: \( E_{\alpha} = 10.22 \text{ MeV} \), \( \delta t_{\alpha} = 62.9 \text{ ms} \) and \( E_{\text{SF}} = 209 \text{ MeV} \), \( \delta t_{\text{SF}} = 746 \text{ ms} \), respectively. In the other two cases where ER-SF chains were observed, the probability of losing two \( \alpha \) particles in each decay chain is less than 2% and the probability of random ER-SF correlations is less than 1%. Taking into account registration times \( \delta t_{\alpha} = 876.5 \text{ ms} \) and 252.3 ms, which are comparable with the lifetime of the daughter isotope \( ^{286}\text{In} \), and \( 50\% \) probability of spontaneous fission for \( ^{286}\text{In} \) observed in previous experiments [2], we assign the SF to the daughter isotope \( ^{286}\text{In} \), assuming that in both of these cases the \( \alpha \) particles of \( ^{290}\text{In} \) were not registered.

For all observed decay chains, the position deviations of the detected signals of \( Z = 116 \) recoiling nuclei and subsequent sequential decays (\( \alpha \) and SF) are consistent with position resolutions of that particular strip detector (see Table II). The correlated positions coupled with short decay times indicate true, nonrandom correlations between registered events. Only two SF signals, with position deviations of 5.1 and 3.4 mm, exceed the ER-SF position resolution for those strips.

FIG. 1. (a) Total beam-on and beam-off \( \alpha \)-particle energy spectra of events registered by the focal-plane detector and by both the focal-plane and side detectors in the \( ^{245}\text{Cm} + ^{48}\text{Ca} \) reaction. In the beam-off \( \alpha \)-particle spectrum we observe the peaks originating from isotopes of Po, the decay products of long-lived isotopes of Ra-Th produced in transfer reactions, and \( ^{211}\text{Po} \), the descendant nucleus of \( ^{219}\text{Po} \) produced in the calibrations with a \( \text{nat} \text{Yb} \) target. The energies of events observed during beam-off periods in the correlated decay chains are shown by arrows (see Table II). (b) Total fission-fragment energy spectrum in the \( ^{245}\text{Cm} + ^{48}\text{Ca} \) reaction; the arrows show the energies of events observed in the correlated decay chains.
TABLE II. Observed decay chains. The first four columns show detector strip numbers, lab-frame beam energies in the middle of the target layers, ER energies, and ER positions with respect to the top of the strips. For the following decays the time intervals between events (δt), α-particle and SF fragment energies (E), and the differences in vertical positions relative to the ER (δy) are shown. Bold events were registered during a beam-off period. The α-particle energy errors are shown in parentheses. Time intervals for events following a “missing α” were measured from preceding registered events and are shown in italic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Str</th>
<th>Ei (MeV)</th>
<th>EER(MeV)</th>
<th>PER (mm)</th>
<th>δtER (s)</th>
<th>Eα (MeV)</th>
<th>δyER (mm)</th>
<th>tER (ms)</th>
<th>δyER/δySF (mm)</th>
<th>EESF (MeV)</th>
<th>δyESF (mm)</th>
<th>δPESF/δySF (mm)</th>
<th>δtESF (ms)</th>
<th>δyESF/δySF (mm)</th>
<th>δPESF/δySF (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.549</td>
<td>11.6(5)</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>10.7(17)</td>
<td>517.6</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>11.6(5)</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>10.84(10)</td>
<td>153.0</td>
<td>10.16(9)</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>11.8(5)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>10.80(9)</td>
<td>153.0</td>
<td>10.16(9)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, one of them was detected during a beam-off period and the probability of observing it as a random event is extremely low. For the second SF, this probability is about 1%.

In one of the decay chains observed at the 255-MeV 48Ca beam energy, the implantation of the ER in strip 1 was followed by an α particle with Eα = 10.87 MeV that switched the beam off (see Table II). During the 1-min pause, the next α particle was observed with Eα = 10.23 MeV in the same detector position, which prolonged the beam-off interval to 12 minutes. During this 12-min time interval only one α particle (Eα = 8.92 MeV) was registered in the side detector 4.6 min after the α particle with Eα = 10.23 MeV. No other α decays with Eα ≥ 8 MeV were observed in strip 1 during the beam-off interval, nor were any SF events. The missing SF event can be explained by a short decay time (during the 84 microseconds dead time of the electronic data acquisition system following detection of the α particle with Eα = 10.23 MeV, tESF < tREG). As for the longer time interval (tSF > 12 min), a SF decay with EESF = 185 MeV and δPESF/δySF = 1.3 mm was observed in strip 1 only after 17.3 min after the second α particle. The number of SF events that could be randomly detected after any beam-off interval in the same strip, position, and during a time interval of 17.3 min is about ~0.2. The energies of the first two α particles, as well as their lifetimes, agree with those previously measured for 290116 and 290114. Taking into account the uncertainty in the half-life of 282112 and the number of previously observed 286114(α) → 282112(SF) decays, we can estimate the number of possibly lost SF events during the course of this experiment as 0.12–0.21. Therefore, we conclude that the SF decay of 282112 was missed, and suggest that it occurred during the electronic dead time tESF < tREG.

In the experiment at Elab = 249 MeV, we observed a long decay chain ER-α1-...-α6-SF consisting of six consecutive α decays and terminated by a SF with a measured total fission-fragment energy of 240 MeV. The total decay time of all nuclei in this chain is about 0.4 h. This sequence of decays belongs to the parent isotope 291116 produced via the
2n-evaporation channel of the $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca reaction. The decay properties of $^{291}$116 were determined in a previous experiment [1]. In addition, the daughter isotope, $^{287}$114, was observed in two reactions, $^{242}$Pu($^{48}$Ca, 3n) and $^{244}$Pu($^{48}$Ca, 5n), and finally the granddaughter isotope, $^{283}$112, was produced in the $^{238}$U($^{48}$Ca, 5n) reaction [1,2]. The decay chains of isotopes $^{291}$116, $^{287}$114, and $^{283}$112 usually end in the spontaneous fission of $^{270}$Ds ($T_{1/2} = 0.2$ s). However, in three cases out of 26 observed decays (including this one), $^{279}$Ds underwent $\alpha$ decay ($b_\alpha \approx 10\%$), which was followed by the further $\alpha$ decay of $^{275}$Hs and terminated in one case by the SF of $^{271}$Sg ($T_{1/2} = 1.9$ min) or in two other cases by another $\alpha$ decay and the SF of $^{267}$Rf ($T_{1/2} = 1.3$ h) [2]. One should note that assignment of an event with $E_\alpha = 8.84$ MeV to $^{271}$Sg in the decay chain observed in this experiment is somewhat tentative because its registration probability in a 1.6-min time interval as a random signal was about 0.25. The long decay chain of the even-odd nucleus, $^{291}$116, is an interesting case of the transition from the region of heaviest nuclei ($^{291}$116 and $^{287}$114), whose stability is determined by the influence of possible spherical shell closures at $Z = 114$ and $N = 184$, to isotopes ($^{271}$Sg or $^{267}$Rf) that are located near the deformed shells at $Z = 108$ and $N = 162$.

The production cross sections for the $^{245}$Cm($^{48}$Ca, 2–4n) reactions are shown in Fig. 2 together with the Bass reaction barrier [19] and the calculated excitation functions [23] for the $xn$-channels. The measured cross sections at excitation energy $E^* = 37.9$ MeV are $\sigma_{2n} = 0.7^{+2.0}_{-0.6}$ pb and $\sigma_{3n} = 3.7^{+1.6}_{-1.8}$ pb. At the excitation energy $E^* = 42.7$ MeV, which corresponds to the maximum cross section of the 4n-evaporation channel of the $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca reaction, four events of $^{290}$116 were observed, $\sigma_{4n} = 1.9^{+2.1}_{-1.0}$ pb (see Table II), but no chain could be attributed to the decay of the neighboring even-odd nucleus $^{289}$116, the product of the 4n-evaporation. For this reaction chain we give an upper cross section limit of $\sigma_{4n} \leq 1.0$ pb at $E_{lab} = 255$ MeV.

B. Experiments with a $^{249}$Cf target

From the results of the experiments with the $^{245}$Cm target, we determined the optimum conditions for an experiment aimed at the synthesis of element 118 in the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca reaction. In this reaction, one could not expect a noticeable yield of the 4n-evaporation products. The two- or three-neutron evaporation channels, leading to $^{295}$118 and $^{294}$118, respectively, look most probable. The maximum cross section, as in the $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca reaction, is expected for the 3n channel ($^{294}$118) at an excitation energy of the compound nucleus of about 35 MeV. In the present work, the energy of $^{48}$Ca ions was increased by 6 MeV as compared with the first experiment that was run at $E_{lab} = 245$ MeV in 2002; we expected that this would result in an increase in the yield of $^{294}$118 by a factor of 2–4. In the present experiment, the beam energy was 251 MeV, which corresponds to the excitation energy of 32.1–36.6 MeV of the compound nucleus, $^{295}$118 (see Table I).

The $^{249}$Cf target was irradiated by $^{48}$Ca ions for 1080 h, with a total accumulated beam dose of $1.6 \times 10^{19}$ particles. During the irradiation, 3790 beam stops occurred, with the beam off for a total of 64.5 h.

The spectrum of $\alpha$-like signals detected during the course of the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca experiment is shown in Fig. 3(a) with beam on the target and during beam-off periods. In beam-off intervals, over the course of the whole experiment, eight signals were detected in the $10 \leq E_\alpha \leq 11$ MeV energy interval corresponding to the $\alpha$ decays of daughters of $Z = 118$ isotopes; two of them, as will be shown below, belong to the decays of $^{296}$114 and $^{290}$116. The spectrum of fission-like signals is shown in Fig. 3(b): 178 events occurred in the energy range expected for the SF of heavy nuclei. Compared with the $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca reaction, the yield of fission events increased by about an order of magnitude. But even with such a fission-counting rate ($\approx 5 \times 10^5$/s over the total sensitive area of detector, and $\approx 5 \times 10^3$/s within a $\Delta y = 3$ mm position window) the probability of random $\alpha$-SF correlations (within $\Delta t = 1$ s) with beam-off $\alpha$ particles ($10 \leq E_\alpha \leq 11$ MeV) is negligible ($\approx 10^{-30}$).

In the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca experiment, with a beam energy of $E_{lab} = 251$ MeV, two correlated decay chains were detected (see Table II). Also given in Table II is the decay chain observed during the prior experiment at a beam energy of $E_{lab} = 245$ MeV [18]. The decay patterns and characteristics of all three events coincide within the accuracy of energy measurement and statistical fluctuations of the decay times in the observed chains. Indeed, the implantation of the recoil nucleus in the detector with an expected energy of $E_{ER} = 7–16$ MeV was followed in an average time interval of $T_1 \approx 1.3$ ms by emission of an $\alpha$ particle with $E_\alpha = 11.65$ MeV. In $T_2 \approx 14$ ms, the first decay was followed by another $\alpha$ decay, with $E_\alpha = 10.80$ MeV, and then, in $T_3 \approx 0.23$ s by the decay of the granddaugther nuclide. In two of the chains, the granddaughter nucleus undergoes spontaneous fission; for one of them, both fission fragments were detected $E_{F1} + E_{F2} = 207$ MeV (TKE $\approx 230$ MeV). In the third chain, the terminating spontaneous fission ($E_{F1} + E_{F2} = 202$ MeV) was detected 2.7 ms after the emission of a third $\alpha$ particle with $E_\alpha = 10.16$ MeV.
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FIG. 4. Time sequences in the decay chains of $^{294}\text{118}$ (left), $^{290}\text{116}$ (middle), and $^{291}\text{116}$ (right) observed in the $^{249}\text{Cf} + ^{48}\text{Ca}$ and $^{245}\text{Cm} + ^{48}\text{Ca}$ reactions. The nuclei observed in cross bombardments of $^{242}\text{Pu}$ and $^{238}\text{U}$ by $^{48}\text{Ca}$ are shown by arrows. The average measured $\alpha$-particle energies, half-lives, and SF branching ratios of the observed nuclei are shown separately for three decay chains of $^{294}\text{118}$ and all nuclei in the chains originating from parent isotopes $^{290}\text{116}$ and $^{291}\text{116}$.

ER-SF events have been found. So, we can conclude that $\alpha$ decay is the prevalent decay mode of $^{294}\text{118}$ and set the SF branch upper limit of $b_{\text{SF}} \leq 0.5$.

In Table III, the values of the half-lives, $\alpha$-decay energies and fission TKE values of the isotopes listed in Table II are averaged together with the data obtained for the same isotopes in our previous experiments, which were summarized earlier (Table IV of Ref. [2]).

The $^{294}\text{118}$ isotope was produced via the $3n$-evaporation channel of the $^{249}\text{Cf} + ^{48}\text{Ca}$ complete fusion reaction with a cross section of $0.5^{+1.6}_{-0.3}$ pb at $E^* = 32.1–36.6$ MeV. An increase of the $^{48}\text{Ca}$ energy from 245 MeV ($\sigma_{3n} = 0.3^{+1.0}_{-0.27}$ pb) to 251 MeV resulted in an increase of the production of element 118 nuclei by a factor of about two. This was expected for the $3n$-evaporation channel of the $^{249}\text{Cf} + ^{48}\text{Ca}$ reaction because similar behavior was observed in the $^{245}\text{Cm} (48\text{Ca}, 3n)^{290}\text{116}$ reaction (reported in this work) as well as in other reactions with $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{242,244}\text{Pu}$, and $^{248}\text{Cm}$ target nuclei [1,2]. In two experiments with the $^{249}\text{Cf}$ target in the excitation energy range $E^* = 26.6–36.6$ MeV, no decay chains of the neighboring $^{295}\text{118}$ isotope, the product of the $^{249}\text{Cf} (48\text{Ca}, 2n)^{295}\text{118}$ reaction, were observed ($\sigma_{2n} \leq 0.9$ pb at 251-MeV $^{48}\text{Ca}$). The lower yield of the $2n$ channel is in agreement with the data obtained with the $^{245}\text{Cm}$ target in the energy range $E^* = 30.9–44.8$ MeV, where the cross section ratio for the production of the isotopes of element 116 was measured to be $\sigma_{2n}/\sigma_{3n} \approx 1/4$.

Experimental $Q_\alpha (\text{exp})$ values measured for the even-even nuclei $^{294,290}\text{118}$, and $^{286}\text{114}$ can be directly compared with those calculated in various microscopic nuclear models, $Q_\alpha (\text{th})$. In comparing experiment with theory, we limit ourselves to two recent calculations with the MM model [4,5], five calculations with the HFB model (with different Skyrme or Gogny forces) [6–10] and two RMF calculations [11,12]. On average, the $\Delta Q_\alpha = Q_\alpha (\text{exp}) - Q_\alpha (\text{th})$ difference varies within 1 MeV (see Fig. 5). The best agreement is observed with the MM calculations, especially with the version of [5] ($\Delta Q_\alpha \leq 0.2$ MeV).

Another aspect of proton shell effects is related to the production cross sections for the isotopes $^{290,291}\text{116}$ and $^{294}\text{118}$. Detailed measurements of the excitation functions of $xn$-evaporation channels of the $^{233,238}\text{U}$, $^{242,244}\text{Pu}$, $^{243}\text{Am}$, $^{247}\text{Rf}$, and $^{242}\text{Cm}$ target nuclei [1,2]. In two experiments with the $^{249}\text{Cf}$ target in the excitation energy range $E^* = 26.6–36.6$ MeV, no decay chains of the neighboring $^{295}\text{118}$ isotope, the product of the $^{249}\text{Cf} (48\text{Ca}, 2n)^{295}\text{118}$ reaction, were observed ($\sigma_{2n} \leq 0.9$ pb at 251-MeV $^{48}\text{Ca}$). The lower yield of the $2n$ channel is in agreement with the data obtained with the $^{245}\text{Cm}$ target in the energy range $E^* = 30.9–44.8$ MeV, where the cross section ratio for the production of the isotopes of element 116 was measured to be $\sigma_{2n}/\sigma_{3n} \approx 1/4$.

Experimental $Q_\alpha (\text{exp})$ values measured for the even-even nuclei $^{294,290}\text{118}$, and $^{286}\text{114}$ can be directly compared with those calculated in various microscopic nuclear models, $Q_\alpha (\text{th})$. In comparing experiment with theory, we limit ourselves to two recent calculations with the MM model [4,5], five calculations with the HFB model (with different Skyrme or Gogny forces) [6–10] and two RMF calculations [11,12]. On average, the $\Delta Q_\alpha = Q_\alpha (\text{exp}) - Q_\alpha (\text{th})$ difference varies within 1 MeV (see Fig. 5). The best agreement is observed with the MM calculations, especially with the version of [5] ($\Delta Q_\alpha \leq 0.2$ MeV).
TABLE III. Decay properties of nuclei.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>No. observed</th>
<th>Decay mode, branch (%)</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>Expected half-life</th>
<th>$E_\alpha$ (MeV)</th>
<th>$Q_\alpha$ (MeV)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>3(3/3)</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.07 ms</td>
<td>0.4 ms</td>
<td>11.65 ± 0.06</td>
<td>11.81 ± 0.06</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>3(3/3)</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>18 ± 22 ms</td>
<td>20 ms</td>
<td>10.74 ± 0.07</td>
<td>10.89 ± 0.07</td>
<td>this work, [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>10(10/10)</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.7 ms</td>
<td>10 ms</td>
<td>10.84 ± 0.08</td>
<td>11.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>this work, [1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>16(16/16)</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.16 s</td>
<td>0.5 s</td>
<td>10.02 ± 0.06</td>
<td>10.16 ± 0.06</td>
<td>this work, [1,2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>24(19/12/7)</td>
<td>$\alpha$: 50 SF:50</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04 s</td>
<td>0.2 s</td>
<td>10.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.06</td>
<td>this work, [1,2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>22(19/19)</td>
<td>$\alpha$: 100 SF:≤10</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.2 s</td>
<td>3 s</td>
<td>9.54 ± 0.06</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.06</td>
<td>this work, [1,2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>12(12/-5)</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.18 ms</td>
<td>231$^a$</td>
<td>≤ 10.69</td>
<td>this work, [1,2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>26(22/3/9)</td>
<td>$\alpha$: 10 SF:90</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.05 s</td>
<td>0.2 s</td>
<td>9.70 ± 0.06</td>
<td>9.84 ± 0.06</td>
<td>this work, [1,2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>3(3/3)</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.22 s</td>
<td>0.8 s</td>
<td>9.30 ± 0.06</td>
<td>9.44 ± 0.06</td>
<td>this work, [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>3(3/2/1)</td>
<td>$\alpha$: 70 SF:30</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.24 min</td>
<td>0.7 min</td>
<td>8.54 ± 0.08</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.08</td>
<td>this work, [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>2 (2/-1)</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0 h</td>
<td>248$^c$</td>
<td>≤ 8.21</td>
<td>this work, [2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Number of observed decays and number of events used for calculations of half-lives / $\alpha$-particle energies / TKE, respectively.

$^b$Branching ratio is not shown if only one decay mode was observed.

$^c$Error bars correspond to 68%-confidence level.

$^d$Half-lives calculated using the Viola-Seaborg formula (see text) for $\alpha$-decay energies, given in the next column.

$^e$TKE obtained as the mean value of two registered fission fragments sum energies $E_{\text{TOT}}$± 0.5 MeV) + 20 MeV.

and $^{245,248}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca [1–3] reactions reveal an increase in the fusion-evaporation cross sections $\sigma_{\text{ER}} = \Sigma \sigma_{\text{in}}$ with an increase in the neutron number of the compound nucleus. The growth of $\sigma_{\text{ER}}$ is explained by an increased survivability of the compound nuclei that is associated with an increasing height of the fission barrier [2,23]. Indeed, according to the MM [5,25] and self-consistent HFB or RMF [10,26,27] calculations, the fission barrier height of nuclei with $Z > 112$ increases considerably with increasing $N$ as one approaches the neutron shell $N = 184$. However, in the MM approach, the fission barrier heights of nuclei with $Z > 116$ decrease because they deviate from the magic proton number $N_{\text{shell}} = 114$. Qualitatively, this is in agreement with the cross sections measured in this work for $^{290}$116 ($N_{\text{CN}} = 177$) and $^{294}$118 ($N_{\text{CN}} = 179$) nuclei produced in the $3n$-evaporation channels of the $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca and $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca reactions, respectively. In contrast, the self-consistent models predict an increase of the fission barrier for nuclei with $Z > 116$ up to $Z = 122–124$. Accordingly, the expected cross sections for producing compound nuclei with $Z = 118$, or at least their survival probabilities, should be larger than those associated with the production of isotopes of elements 114 and 116 ($N_{\text{CN}} = 176–180$) measured in the $^{242,244}$Pu, $^{245,248}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca reactions. This was not observed in our experiments; on the contrary, the yield of $^{290}$118 nuclei is about one order of magnitude lower than that of $^{290}$116 nuclei, as well as other previously observed isotopes of elements 114 and 116. In terms of shell structure, such a difference in the magnitude of the cross sections could indicate the influence of a proton shell at $Z < 118$. Unfortunately, the quantitative analysis of all the factors determining final production cross sections of the superheavy nuclei is rather complicated. As a consequence, empirical evaluation of the fission barriers from the data on fusion-fission reactions and ER-production cross sections needs far more investigation.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A new element with atomic number 118 was synthesized for the first time in the $^{249}$Cf+$^{48}$Ca reaction. Atomic and mass numbers of the isotope of element 118 were determined from the measured excitation functions and decay characteristics of the daughter nuclei produced in cross-bombardments. The isotope of the element 116 daughter was studied in the $^{245}$Cm+$^{48}$Ca, $^{3n}$)290116 reaction and the isotope of the element 114 granddaughter was studied in the $^{242}$Pu+$^{48}$Ca, $^{4n}$)294118 reaction. The even-even $^{294}$118 nucleus undergoes consecutive decays, $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \rightarrow \text{SF}/\alpha_3 \rightarrow \text{SF}$. The decay chains are terminated by the spontaneous fission of the granddaughter or great-granddaughter nuclei, $^{286}$114 or $^{282}$112, respectively. The $\alpha$-decay energy of $^{294}$118 is $Q_\alpha = 11.81 ± 0.06$ MeV and the half-life is $T_\alpha = 0.89 ± 0.07$ ms; these decay properties are in agreement with that one would expect for the ground-to-ground state $\alpha$ transition of an element 118 isotope.

044602-8
from the systematic behavior of $T_a$ vs. $Q_a$ for even-even isotopes [2,18]. The measured $Q_a$, $T_a$ and $T_{\text{sp}}$ values of the 294$^{118}$, 290$^{116}$, 286$^{114}$, and 282$^{112}$ nuclei agree well with the properties of other previously synthesized isotopes [1–3] and with the theoretically predicted properties of the superheavy nuclei in the region of $Z = 110–118$ and $N = 169–177$.

The nuclei of element 118 are produced in the 3$n$ evaporation channel of the $^{249}$Cf + $^{48}$Ca complete fusion reaction with a cross section of $0.5^{+1.6}_{−0.3}$ pb at $E^* = 32.1–36.6$ MeV. The magnitude of the cross section, compared with those obtained from other reactions with $^{48}$Ca projectiles, could indicate the influence of a closed proton shell at $Z < 118$.
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