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Energy recovering an electron beam after it has participated in a free-electron laser (FEL) interaction can be quite challenging because of the substantial FEL-induced energy spread and the energy antidamping that occurs during deceleration. In the Jefferson Lab infrared FEL driver accelerator, such an energy recovery scheme was implemented by properly matching the longitudinal phase space throughout the recirculation transport by employing the so-called energy compression scheme. In the present paper, after presenting a single-particle dynamics approach of the method used to energy recover the electron beam, we report on experimental validation of the method obtained by measurements of the so-called “compression efficiency” and “momentum compaction” lattice transfer maps at different locations in the recirculation transport line. We also compare these measurements with numerical tracking simulations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Free-electron lasers (FELs) driven by energy recovery linacs (ERLs) [1] are now established as a generic configuration for high average power light sources needed for industrial applications. In such types of light sources, the ability of a linac-based driver to provide high electron beam quality (small emittances and high peak current) is combined with the principal advantage of ERLs: the beam energy is reused. Because the energy is recovered (i) the radio-frequency (rf) power demand is considerably reduced (the rf power provides only rf regulation under steady state operation) and thus the wall-plug efficiency is improved, and (ii) the final beam energy can be low and thus issues pertaining to high average current beam dumps (dump design, radiological issues, . . .) are relaxed [2,3].

The operation of ERL-based FELs presents many beam dynamics challenges. The latter are principally related to energy jitter induced instabilities [4], and to the proper longitudinal phase space manipulation, the object of the present paper. During deceleration, the fractional momentum spread of the beam becomes larger due to antidamping and if not properly controlled, can yield momentum spread beyond the acceptance of the downstream beam line.

A high average power (kW level) infrared (∼5 μm) light source, the Ir-Demo, has recently concluded operations at Jefferson Lab. In this facility, which has served as a proof of principle for a FEL operated using the so-called same-cell energy-recovery scheme, careful measurement of the longitudinal dynamics was conducted.

In the present paper we address the issues pertaining to the longitudinal phase space manipulations necessary in such ERL FELs. The paper is organized as follows: we discuss, in Sec. II, general aspects of the longitudinal beam dynamics in an ERL-driven FEL and introduce the energy compression scheme. In Sec. III, we describe how in practice the longitudinal phase space is manipulated for the specific case of the Ir-Demo FEL. The experimental characterization of this ERL light source is presented in Sec. IV. Finally, we summarize our conclusions in Sec. V.

II. LONGITUDINAL DYNAMICS

A generic ERL-driven FEL is pictured in Fig. 1. The electron beam, assumed to be relativistic (so that β ≈ 1 and the momentum equals the energy), is injected in the ERL main linac with an energy of γ0 and rms energy spread σγ. The main linac consists of an rf-accelerating section providing an energy gain amplitude γrf and operating with a phase φrf (the phases being referenced to the maximum acceleration phase). The undulator is located

![FIG. 1. Generic configuration for an energy recovering driven free-electron laser.](image-url)
downstream from the linac, after the beam has been compressed in a magnetic bunch compressor. After participating in the FEL process, the beam is recirculated and reinjected into the accelerating section (on the decelerating phase $\pi - \varphi_{rf}$) via the so-called recirculator. The recirculator has several functions: (i) it bends the beam by a total angle of 360°, (ii) it provides tuning of the transport path length (to reinject the beam into the accelerating section with the proper phase), and (iii) it provides variable tuning of bunch time-energy chirp. In the IRDemo, the decelerated beam is split off the main beam line by a dipole and the beam is dumped.

The longitudinal dynamics is given by three requirements: (i) the beam incoming energy needs to be matched to the FEL resonance condition, (ii) the beam must have a high peak current at the undulator location, and (iii) the recirculator should be optimized to minimize the momentum spread after the deceleration so the spent beam is cleanly dumped.

A. Operation of the free-electron laser

1. Energy gain through the rf-accelerating section

Downstream from the accelerating section an electron, of coordinate $s$ with respect to the bunch centroid, after the accelerating pass gains the energy:

$$\gamma_U(s) = \gamma_0(s) + \gamma_{rf} \cos(k_{rf}s + \theta_{rf}).$$

(1)

where $k_{rf}$ stands for the rf-field wave vector ($k_{rf} = 2\pi/\lambda_{rf}$, $\lambda_{rf}$ being the rf wavelength). The average beam energy, $\langle \gamma_U \rangle$, at the undulator location, is $\langle \gamma_U \rangle = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle + \gamma_{rf} \cos \varphi_{rf}$.

2. The FEL resonance condition

Given the wavelength $\lambda$ at which the FEL must operate, the electron beam energy must fulfill the resonance condition:

$$\gamma_U = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\lambda} \left(1 + K^2/2\right).$$

(2)

where $\lambda_u$ is the undulator period and $K$ the undulator parameter.

3. The minimum bunch length condition

Since the injector cannot directly provide the required high-peak current, it is necessary to compress the electron bunch by means of magnetic compression. We characterize the compressor by its first order momentum compaction, $R_{56}^C$, defined as the linear dependence of the path length through the compressor on the fractional momentum offset $\delta$. Under such a linear assumption, an electron of initial coordinate $(s_i, \delta_i)$ is mapped to the final longitudinal position as $s_f = s_i + R_{56}^C \delta_i$. If we assume there is no local momentum spread, the minimum bunch length ($s_f = 0$) is achieved when the incoming longitudinal position-momentum correlation satisfies the relation:

$$\frac{d\delta}{ds}_{s=0} = -\frac{1}{R_{56}^C}.$$

(3)

In Eq. (3), we assume the fractional momentum offset is small enough that second order dependencies on this quantity are insignificant; i.e., we assume

$$|\delta| \ll \left| \frac{R_C^C}{T_{56}^C} \right|,$$

(4)

where $T_{56}^C$ denotes the second order dependence of the path length in the compressor on the fractional momentum offset. For an achromatic chicane, under the small bending angle approximation, we have $T_{56}^C/R_{56}^C \approx -3/2$.

Equations (1)–(3) yield the following values for the required phase and amplitude of the accelerating rf section:

$$\tan \varphi_{rf} = \frac{\lambda_{rf}}{2\pi R_{56}^C \gamma_U - \gamma_o},$$

(5)

and

$$\gamma_{rf} = \frac{\gamma_U - \gamma_o}{\cos \varphi_{rf}}.$$

(6)

B. Recirculation

1. Longitudinal phase space after the FEL interaction

After the electron bunch has contributed to the FEL process, an electron loses an energy of $\Delta \Gamma$ on average and the fractional momentum spread of the bunch is increased. The average energy downstream from the undulator is $\langle \gamma_{U+} \rangle = \langle \gamma_U \rangle - \Delta \Gamma$.

2. Longitudinal dynamics in the recirculator

As mentioned previously, the recirculator provides a zeroth order optics knob: it allows one to tune the path length in such a way that the beam centroid is injected in the rf section with the phase $\psi_{rf} = \pi - \varphi_{rf}$. In addition, the recirculator is used to manipulate the longitudinal phase space, because it provides 360° bending and generates dispersion (to arbitrary order) which can be used to control the linear and quadratic (and higher order) dependence of path length on fractional momentum spread in the recirculator. Because the incoming momentum spread is large, depending on the geometric arrangement of the bending system, a relation as in Eq. (4) may not be satisfied, and hence higher order contributions to the longitudinal transfer map need to be included. It is necessary to expand the longitudinal map to the second order in $\delta$. Let $x^{U+} = (x, x', y, y', s)$ be the coordinates of the electron at the undulator exit and $x^R$, those after the recirculation,
i.e., at the linac entrance. Under the single-particle-dynamics approximation, the transport from the undulator exit to the linac entrance can be modeled by the longitudinal map \( Z \) that is generally Taylor expanded:

\[
x_k^{U+} \rightarrow x_k^R = \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial x_{k,i}^{U+}} x_{k,i}^{U+} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 Z_k}{\partial x_{k,i}^{U+} \partial x_{j,j}^{U+}} x_{k,i}^{U+} x_{j,j}^{U+} + O(\mathbf{x}^{U+^2}).
\]

which maps the \( k \)th electron coordinate at the undulator exit to the entrance of the linac. We now define the first and second order Taylor coefficients (following the TRANSPORT convention) as \( R_{k,i} = (\partial Z_k)/\partial x_{k,i}^{U+} \) and \( T_{k,i,j} = 1/2 \times (\partial^2 Z_k)/(\partial x_{k,i}^{U+} \partial x_{j,j}^{U+}) \). Using these definitions and considering only the \( s \) coordinate we have

\[
s^{U+} \rightarrow s^R = \left( R_{s,s} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{s,j} x_j^{U+} \right) s^{U+} + T_{s,s}(s^{U+})^2
\]

\[+ \left( R_{s,s} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} T_{s,j} x_j^{U+} \right) \delta^{U+} + T_{s,s}(\delta^{U+})^2 + \cdots. \tag{8} \]

### 3. Deceleration for energy recovery

As the beam is decelerated in the rf section, an electron of coordinate \( s' \) downstream from the recirculator will have the energy

\[
\gamma_D(s') = \gamma_R(s') - \gamma_R \cos(k_{rf} s' + \psi_{rf}) \tag{9}
\]

downstream from the rf section. Here \( \psi_{rf} = \pi - \phi_{rf} \) and \( \gamma_R \) is the electron energy before deceleration (i.e., at the recirculator exit). From this latter equation the average energy takes the form: \( \langle \gamma_D \rangle = \langle \gamma_R \rangle - \Delta \Gamma \). The quantity of interest after deceleration is the fractional momentum offset, \( \delta^D(s') = \langle \gamma_D(s') \rangle / \langle \gamma_D \rangle - 1, \)

\[
\delta^D(s') = \frac{\gamma_{rf}[(k_{rf} s')^2/2 \cos(\psi_{rf}) + k_{rf} s' \sin(\psi_{rf})]}{\gamma_{U+} - \gamma_{rf} \cos(\psi_{rf})} + \frac{\delta^R(s')}{\gamma_{U+} - \gamma_{rf} \cos(\psi_{rf})}, \tag{10}
\]

with \( \delta^R(s') \) being the fractional momentum offset upstream from the rf section before the second pass. In Eq. (10), we have expanded the fractional momentum offset up to the second order in \( s' \) because the incoming bunch length, \( \sigma_{s'} \), does not a priori satisfy the condition \( \sigma_{s'} \ll 1/k_{rf} \). Equations (8) and (10) suggest a mechanism for counteracting the momentum spread generated during deceleration. The method consists of setting up the recirculator in a way to impart both a linear and a quadratic position-energy correlation and allowing the bunch to decompress before the decelerating pass. Formally one wants to match the linear and quadratic dependence of \( \delta^R \) to

FIG. 2. (Color) Energy compression scheme: The first row (from left to right) presents the longitudinal phase space at the linac exit, after the compression chicane, and just after the wiggler interaction has taken place; the second row shows longitudinal phase space at the entrance of the linac just prior to deceleration for three different choices of \( R_{s,s} \) and \( T_{s,s} \) [for (a) -0.2 and 0. m; for (b) 0.2 and 0 m; and for (c) 0.2 and 3.0 m]. The results for the three cases after deceleration are shown in the third row.
where the latter equation insures the rf-induced curvature is canceled. The technique is illustrated in Fig. 2. In this figure, the last row contains the longitudinal phase spaces downstream from the linac after deceleration for three different choices of recirculator optics. Plot (a) illustrates that the phase space slope should be properly chosen, as otherwise excessive energy spread results. Once the slope is properly chosen, plot (b) of this last row illustrates the importance of rf-induced curvature as a lower limit for momentum spread. In plot (c) we show how, using the recirculator to impart a quadratic dependence of the fractional momentum spread on the longitudinal position upstream from the linac, allows the compensation of rf-induced curvature which, in turn, greatly reduces the fractional momentum spread. In this latter case the fractional momentum spread is limited by a third order aberration (as seen by the “S” shape of the phase space).

III. EXAMPLE OF THE IR-DEMO

In the Ir-Demo (see top view in Fig. 3), the electron beam, is generated by a 350 keV photoemission electron gun [6], and accelerated [7] to ~10 MeV by two superconducting radio-frequency (SRF) CEBAF-type cavities (5-cell π-mode standing-wave cavities largely of the same design as those in the CEBAF accelerator [8]) mounted as a pair in the so-called “quarter cryounit.” The beam is then injected into the main linac which is composed of one cryomodule, containing eight CEBAF-type SRF cavities. The linac can provide a net energy gain of approximately 37 MeV (but is operated to provide 28 MeV energy gain for the results presented in this paper). The operating frequency of the rf system is 1,497 GHz, so $\lambda_f \approx 0.20026$ m. This cryomodule is followed by two 4-bend achromatic chicanes that bypass the FEL resonator mirrors and provide longitudinal phase space manipulation. The first chancne, upstream from the undulator, serves as bunch decompressor and the second chancne naturally decompresses the upright bunch. The undulator is located between the two chicanes. Soon after the downstream chancne the beam is recirculated by the means of a recirculator with variable (linear and quadratic) momentum compaction and path length, back to the entrance of the cryomodule. The path length is chosen so the second pass bunches have the proper time of arrival: the electron bunches are on the decelerating phase of the radio-frequency wave. The electrons are decelerated down to 10 MeV and are separated from the 48 MeV beam and dumped in the “energy recovery dump” by the means of the “extraction chicane.”

A complete description of the driver accelerator can be found in Refs. [2,9]. In terms of the longitudinal beam dynamics, the first matching point is at the undulator location where a longitudinal waist (minimum bunch length) is required. Given the longitudinal phase space at the injector front end, the SRF-linac settings must be tuned in amplitude and in phase for achieving the desired longitudinal phase space correlation, $\propto d\delta ds$, to match the momentum compaction $R_S = -28.8$ cm of the first chicane according to Eq. (3). In the nominal operating conditions, the aforementioned requirements result in operating the linac $\varphi_f = -10^\circ$ off crest for an accelerating voltage of approximately $\gamma_f m_e c^2 = 37$ MeV to provide an FEL wavelength $\lambda \approx 5 \mu$m. Downstream from the undulator the beam line consists of (i) a magnetic chicane similar to the one upstream but which now acts as a bunch decompressor, and (ii) a recirculation loop. The recirculation loop incorporates two $180^\circ$ arcs linked by a straight line section, the “return transport line,” which consists of six so-called FODO (focusing-drift–defocusing-drift) cells having a 90° betatron phase advance per cell. The arcs are based on the MIT-Bates accelerator design [10]; they each provide a total bending angle of 180°. They include four wedge-type dipoles, each bending the beam by an angle of about ±28° alternatively, installed in pairs symmetrically around a 180° dipole. In addition to providing the desired bending, the arcs are

![Diagram of the Ir-Demo free-electron laser at the Jefferson Lab.](image)
also used to adjust the total beam path length of the recirculated beam. For such purposes, the arc is instrumented with a pair of horizontal steerers located upstream and downstream from the 180° dipole to vary the reference orbit path length inside the 180° magnet. This provides a path length adjustment of the order of \( \pm \lambda_{rf} / 2 \) off the nominal length (\( \approx 501.5 \times \lambda_{rf} \)).

Two families of quadrupoles (the trim quadrupoles) and sextupoles are installed in the arc to provide both linear and quadratic energy dependent path length variation that are necessary in the “energy-compression” scheme needed to properly energy recover the beam [11]. The quadrupoles act both on the linear and quadratic momentum compaction of the recirculator (these quantities are henceforth noted \( R_{56}^{U+R} \) and \( T_{566}^{U+R} \)) while the sextupoles impact only the quadratic momentum compaction; the magnitude of the impact of these elements on the momentum compaction evolutions, for one arc of the recirculator, versus the settings of the trim quadrupoles and sextupoles.

\[
R_{56}^{U+R} = R_{56}^{C} + R_{56}^{A1} + R_{56}^{A2},
\]

where the superscripts \( C, A1, \) and \( A2 \) indicate the quantity corresponding, respectively, to the “decompressor” chicane, the first and second arc. Similar relations yield for the second order momentum compaction.

IV. EXPERIMENT IN THE IR-DEMO

A. Measurement of the initial conditions at the undulator

Experimentally, the accelerator module amplitude is set up accordingly to numerical simulation, then the phase is tuned to obtain the minimum bunch length at the undulator (i.e., the highest peak current). The bunch length is monitored [12] by detecting the coherent transition radiation (CTR) emitted in the backward direction as the electron bunch crosses an aluminum foil adjacent to the undulator. The power density radiated by a bunch of \( N \) electrons is

\[
\left[ \frac{dP}{d\omega d\Omega} \right]_N = \left[ \frac{dP}{d\omega d\Omega} \right]_1 \times \left( N + N(N - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dtS(t)\exp(-i\omega t) \right)^2,
\]

where \( \left[ \frac{dP}{d\omega d\Omega} \right]_1 \) is the single electron power density. Thus since the Fourier transform of a bunch with characteristic length \( \sigma_z \) extends to frequency \( \omega \sim c/\sigma_z \), detecting the CTR at frequencies close to this frequency provides indirect information on the bunch length. An example of such a measurement is presented in Fig. 5.

Once the phase is properly tuned, the bunch length is measured by performing Michelson interferometry [13,14] of the CTR signal, since the radiation pulse emitted by the electron bunch mirrors the electron distribution. From the measured interferogram, one can deduce the autocorrelation function and (in virtue of the Wiener-Khintchine theorem) the power spectrum of the CTR. A logarithmic Hilbert transform [15,16] of the latter power spectrum

FIG. 4. First \( (R_{56}) \) and second \( (T_{566}) \) order momentum compaction evolutions, for one arc of the recirculator, versus the settings of the trim quadrupoles and sextupoles.

FIG. 5. CTR signal versus SRF-linac phase. The maximum CTR signal coincides with the shortest achieved bunch length. For the corresponding phase, the longitudinal phase space is upright.
spectrum allows one to reconstruct the electron longitudinal charge density. A typical measurement of an interferogram along with the subsequent calculations is shown in Fig. 6. Routinely, the bunch length achieved in the Ir-Demo FEL is approximately 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) (rms) for a bunch charge of 60 pC.

The fractional momentum spread of the beam is deduced from a profile measured at the high dispersion point of the decompressor chicane; the three quadrupoles upstream from the chicane are used to insure the betatron contribution to the beam profile is insignificant. In Fig. 7 we compare the fractional momentum profiles with and without operating the FEL (the FEL process can be turned on/off by tuning/detuning the length of the optical resonator). The typical full width one-quarter maximum fractional momentum spread before the FEL interaction is about 1.5\% and grows to 5.5\% downstream from the FEL when the laser operates.

At the undulator location, the bunch length has been minimized, and the longitudinal phase space is thus expected to be upright; i.e., no position-energy correlation exists.

**B. Experimental setup to measure longitudinal transfer maps**

The measurement of the longitudinal transfer map \( Z \) is very difficult. Instead, we perform a perturbative measurement which provides information on the Taylor expansion of the map. The technique consists of perturbing the initial conditions at a given location \( i \) (initial rf phase, \( \phi^i = 2\pi s^i/\lambda_{\text{eff}} \), or initial energy \( \delta^i \)) and measuring...
the relative time of flight (TOF) to a downstream position \( f \) (the time of flight is also measured in rf-phase units). The two aforementioned excitations allow the perturbative measurement of the \( \frac{\partial s^f}{\partial s^i} \) or \( \frac{\partial s^f}{\partial \delta^i} \) expansions of the map which gives [see Eq. (8)]

\[
\frac{\partial s^f}{\partial s^i} = R_{55}^{f-i} + \sum_j T_{55j}^{f-i} x_j + 2T_{555}^{f-i} s^i, \tag{14}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial s^f}{\partial \delta^i} = R_{56}^{f-i} + \sum_j T_{56j}^{f-i} x_j + 2T_{566}^{f-i} \delta^i. \tag{15}
\]

Henceforth we will term \( \frac{\partial s^f}{\partial s^i} \) and \( \frac{\partial s^f}{\partial \delta^i} \), respectively, as “compression efficiency” and “momentum compaction” maps, and we will instead work in the rf-phase units: \( \frac{\partial s^f}{\partial s^i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi^f}{\partial \phi^i} \) and \( \frac{\partial s^f}{\partial \delta^i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi^f}{\partial \delta^i} \). In essence, the measurement of the compression efficiency or momentum compaction maps reduces to a relative TOF variation measurement.

Measurement of TOF is performed by detecting the phase of a signal produced by the TM_{1010} waves excited as the electron bunches traverse a resonant 1.497 GHz stainless steel cavity [17–19]. The principle of the TOF measurement is to measure the phase of the beam induced voltage since it has constant phase with respect to the electron bunches. The phase of the rf signal coming from the cavity is mixed with the reference signal, which may be phase shifted by means of a programmable phase shifter. The mixer output, after removal of the high frequency component with a low pass filter, is calibrated by performing a procedure that consists of varying the phase shifter to find the output maxima from the mixer. Once the measurement is calibrated, the phase shifter phase is set so that for the nominal conditions of the machine the cavity is operated at zero crossing of the rf signal. Hence, the measurement of the TOF provides the energy-phase correlation and again can be compared to the experimental data.

In order to generate energy-phase transfer maps, we use the arbitrarily high order code TLIE [21] based on a symplectic integrator: the energy offsets achieved when modulating the gradient of the last cryomodule cavity are directly used by the code to calculate the TOF up to the desired pickup cavities. The couple \( \{\phi^f_k, \phi^i_k\}_{k=1,...,N} \) directly gives the phase-phase transfer map which can be compared to the experimental data.

D. Path length adjustment

In passing we note that one of the installed detectors can also be used to precisely set up the path length of the recirculator; in such a case the detector C1 is used. The beam is first dumped in the “straight ahead dump” (see Fig. 3), and the phase of the reference signal used for C1 is shifted so that the signal at the mixer output is maximized. The beam is then recirculated and the path length is varied using the dedicated horizontal steerers located at both entrance and exit of the two 180° bends. The path length is optimized when the signal measured at C1 is zeroed: this corresponds to the case when the beam induced voltage generated by the first pass and recirculated beams exactly cancel.

E. Experiments and simulations

The compression efficiency and momentum compaction transfer map measurement have been extensively used during the commissioning of the Ir-Demo, to verify our model, but also in routine operation, to insure the
accelerator, and especially the recirculator loop, is properly set up.

1. Compression efficiency

In Fig. 8, we compare typical measurements of the $\partial \phi / \partial \phi^i$ maps at the three different detectors (C1, C2, and C3) with the maps generated via simulations. We generally observe a good agreement between the measurement and the expectations. The slight disagreement, e.g., as the one observed at detector C3, is attributed to mis-steering in the arc 2 which makes larger the contribution of the $T_{55j}$ terms (with $j = 1, \ldots, 4$) in Eq. (14). The second arc transport is more vulnerable to such misalignments since the bunch length is larger compared to arc 1.

More quantitative information can be obtained by performing a nonlinear fit of the transfer map presented in the figure. This can give some insight on the linear $R_{55}$ and quadratic $T_{555}$ compression efficiency coefficients between the photocathode and the pickup cavities; the results are presented in Table I.

The compression efficiency map measurement was also used as a troubleshooting tool. In Fig. 9 we present a series of measurements we performed using the detector C2: the compression efficiency was measured for three settings of one of the quadrupole pairs of arc 1: (a) the quadrupoles are excited to their nominal values, (b) they are turned off, and (c) they are powered to a value opposite to case (a). The comparison of the measured transfer map with the simulated one shows some disagreement (at that time we could not accurately know precisely the machine settings of the upstream beam line, e.g., in the injector). However, if one compares the difference measurement [i.e., calculated as (b)-(a) and (b)-(c)] with the corresponding difference simulations, the agreement becomes very good. Such an example illustrates how a measurement of transfer maps for various perturbations of the lattice (here using quadrupole pairs) can be used to verify that the different lattice elements that compose the beam line perform as expected. This method was in fact used to diagnose a reinjection phase error during commissioning [22].

2. Momentum compaction

The momentum compaction transfer map was measured by modulating the gradient of the last cryomodule cavity by $\pm 1\%$.

The linear expansion of the transfer map, $R_{56}$, was measured at both C2 and C3. In Fig. 10, we compare the expected evolution of the linear momentum compaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Linear coefficient</th>
<th>Quadratic coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
<td>$16 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Linear coefficient</th>
<th>Quadratic coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation C1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation C2</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation C3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 8. Comparison of the phase-phase beam transfer function between the photocathode surface and the three different pickup cavities (pickup C1, C2, and C3) (bottom row) with the one simulated using Parmela (top row).
for different excitations of the “trim quadrupoles” with the measurement obtained at C2 and C3: the agreement is excellent. A more quantitative measurement, reported in Fig. 11, was performed using C2; the momentum compaction from the cryomodule exit up to C2 was measured as a function of the trim quadrupole settings, the comparison of such a measurement with the expected values obtained with the second order optics code DIMAD [23], again the good agreement confirms the usefulness of time-of-flight diagnostics for setting up the recirculation.

The sensitivity of the momentum compaction map to second order changes in the optics, e.g., imparted by the sextupoles, was also investigated. Figure 12 depicts the

FIG. 9. (Color) Example of a difference compression efficiency transfer map measurement at the detector C2. The map is measured (a) and simulated (b) for three excitations of one pair of trim quadrupoles in the arc 1 (quadrupoles set up to their nominal values, turned off, and set up to a value opposite to their nominal value). Though the simulated and measured maps differ, the measured (c) and (d) simulated difference map results are in better agreement.

FIG. 10. (Color) Evolution of the linear momentum compaction along the beam line, from the linac exit to the linac entrance.
impact of exciting one of the sextupole pairs of arc 2 on the map measured by the detector C3. The effect observed in simulations and measurements is the same: in both cases exciting the sextupole impresses a positive curvature on the map, though the agreement is not absolute. This can again be explained by the sensitivity of the nonlinear terms to mis-steering via the second order chromatic functions $T_{56i}^i$ (with $i = 1, \ldots, 4$). Especially when the FEL is operating, the aforementioned effect is enhanced because of the large FEL-induced fractional momentum spread.

3. Other experimental evidence of energy compression

The primary evidence of the good performance of the energy compression scheme was our ability to recover a 5 mA average current beam while lasing at high gain with an average output power of 2.1 kW without any beam losses. The Ir-Demo is equipped with a high sensitivity protection system that can detect localized losses of beam as low as 1 μA [24].

Another validation of the method is to observe the beam transverse density on the energy recovery dump aluminum window. Such a measurement was performed by detecting the backward optical transition radiation (OTR) emitted at the interface vacuum/aluminum of the window. The OTR images are detected with a charge coupled device camera and digitized for analysis. At the window the dispersion (horizontal) is $\eta \approx 1$ m. The results of our observations are presented in Fig. 13: when the longitudinal compression is properly tuned the beam is tightly focused whereas by slightly mis-setting one pair of sextupoles (which does not affect —to first order—the lattice functions), the beam horizontal size starts to blow up, indicating relatively poor energy spread.

V. CONCLUSION

We have successfully characterized the energy compression scheme to recover the “spent” electron beam after the FEL process in the IR-demo. Such techniques are well adapted for energy recovering an electron beam in a moderate power FEL. For very high power FELs anticipated in the future, higher order corrections and/or the use of a dedicated “accelerating” section to impart the required position energy correlation might be needed. As an example the forthcoming upgrade of the Ir-Demo to 10 kW requires the use of octupoles [25].

The techniques we have developed for characterizing the longitudinal lattice maps have proven to be a valuable tool both during the commissioning of the Ir-Demo FEL but also for its day-to-day operation: under the nominal setup of the recirculator the map pattern is well defined and changes of this pattern indicate one of the components in the recirculator or rf system is not properly set. However there is room for improvement: we have shown that in some cases, e.g., due to mis-steering of the beam in the arcs, the extraction of quantitative information from

FIG. 12. (Color) Effect of the sextupole of arc 2 on the momentum compaction transfer map measured with the detector C3.

FIG. 13. Beam density on the energy recovery dump aluminum window observed via optical transition radiation. The sextupoles in arc 2 are turned off (a), and excited to their nominal value (b).
the transfer map may turn out to be difficult. A way of improving this situation is to measure the transfer map for different steering conditions. By doing such a “two dimensional difference orbit” measurement, one could get information on the coupling terms (e.g., T_{55} or T_{56}) and thus correct accordingly the measurement to be less sensitive to misalignment.
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